Results in Nonlinear Analysis  $4(2021)$  No. 2,  $105-115$  $105-115$ https://doi.org/10.53006/rna.908113 Available online at www.resultsinnonlinearanalysis.com



# On pricing variance swaps in discretely-sampled with high volatility model

Youssef El-Khatib<sup>a</sup>, Mariam Zuwaid AlShamsi<sup>a</sup>, Jun Fan<sup>a</sup>

a Department of Mathematical Sciences, United Arab Emirates University Al-Ain, United Arab Emirates.

# Abstract

In this paper, we investigate valuation of discretely-sampled variance swaps in a financial asset price model with increase in volatility. More precisely, we consider a stochastic differential equation model with an additional parameter which augments volatility. This is to cover the impact of financial crunches on pricing a given asset. Under these settings, calculation of annualized delivery price of a variance swap is not sure in a closed form. Following the literature, the delivery price can be written as a finite sum of conditional expectations. We focus on the computation of these expectations and obtain some interesting results. This leads to a semi-analytical solution to the variance swaps pricing problems. We also show the advantage of our model.

Keywords: stochastic differential equations, discretely-sampled variance swaps, high volatility model.

# 1. Introduction

Managing the risk is one of the most important research topic in financial mathematics. Financial derivatives are central tools utilized in risk management. There are different types of derivatives such as options, futures, forwards, and variance swaps. We are interested in this paper on variance swaps which are built on the volatility. Volatility can be seen as an indicator of discrepancies in prices of a product. We can distinguish two sort of volatilities, namely the implied volatility and the realized volatility. The implied volatility is the current market price of the volatility, which acts like the unbiased volatility price built on the expectation of the marketplace for movement over a period of time.

Email addresses: youssef\_elkhatib@uaeu.ac.ae. (Youssef El-Khatib), 201001095@uaeu.ac.ae. (Mariam Zuwaid AlShamsi), johnkiller900823@163.com. (Jun Fan)

The realized volatility is obtained from the variations in the underlying price over a stated stage. It is called the historical volatility when the time is in the past and the realized volatility if it is in the future.

Variance and volatility swaps are forward contracts. The underlying is not a financial asset but the future realized volatility of its returns. Variance swaps are forward contracts like volatility swaps, but built on realized variance which is equal to the square of the future volatility. We are interested in this work on the valuation of variance swaps. These derivatives allow traders to buy or to sell a volatility just as buying or selling any given asset. They allow to buy or sell future realized volatility in contrast with the actual value of the implied volatility.

However, volatility and variance swaps are not regular swaps that consist of a simple swap of money movements. Actually, the payoff for a long position of a volatility swap at settlement equals to the annualized realized volatility over a given period minus the volatility strike of the contract times a notional amount of the swap in dollars per annualized volatility point. The payoff at maturity is Notional Amount  $\times$ (VolatilityVolatility Strike).

There exist many articles dealing with managing the risk, see for instance on portfolio optimization or on calculating a stochastic hedge ratio [\[8\]](#page-10-1). On the other hand, the literature contains an abundant amount of research papers on pricing financial derivatives. About pricing variance swaps with different models predicting the underlying asset price trajectory  $S_t,$  we refer to  $[1], [2], [11]$  $[1], [2], [11]$  $[1], [2], [11]$  $[1], [2], [11]$  $[1], [2], [11]$  and  $[10], [13], [14]$  $[10], [13], [14]$  $[10], [13], [14]$  $[10], [13], [14]$  $[10], [13], [14]$  and  $[15]$  for more details about suggested models and methods in the literature. To the best of our knowledge, pricing variance swap under a high volatility model has previously never been addressed. In this paper, we price the variance swap in discretely-sampled for markets with high volatility. The model considered in this work allows the underlying asset price to have a usual increase of volatility which is more general than normal situations. An augmented volatility implies a higher risk. This is the importance of considering such a model, which could cover markets with crunch. We study the crisis model introduced in [\[3\]](#page-9-2) first. In [\[5\]](#page-10-7), the authors provide a closed form solution for the European option price under a particular function  $q(t)$  which represents the increase in the volatility. Sensitivities for prices of the same crisis model has been addressed in the work of [\[4\]](#page-9-3). Recently, option pricing under an illiquid with increased volatility model has been shown in [\[6\]](#page-10-8). Then, we investigate the valuation of variance swaps under a high volatility model where the market is under stress in this paper. More precisely, the problem of determining the fair price for discretely sampled variance swap is explored. This price is known to be written as sum of expectations and the valuation problem turns out to calculate these expectations. In this paper, we have obtained a partial formula for these expectations.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 briefly presents a review on discretely-sampled variance swaps. We investigate the valuation of variance swaps with an increased volatility and we obtain our main result on calculating the fair variance delivery price in Section 3. In Section 4, a numerical application of our obtained formula is performed with a comparison to the price during normal situations. Section 5 concludes the paper.

## 2. Discretely-sampled variance swaps

We first review an approach in the case of the Heston stochastic volatility model on finding the value of a discretely-sampled variance swap. The method provided here can be found in [\[13\]](#page-10-4) and [\[14\]](#page-10-5).

From now on, the following assumptions and notations are used (unless otherwise stated). We work on a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, (\mathcal F_t)_{t\in [0,T]}, \mathcal F_T, Q)$ , a standard Wiener process  $(W_t)_{t\in [0,T]}$  with  $\mathcal F_t:=\sigma(W_t)$  for any  $t\in[0,T]$  and a known risk-neutral probability  $Q$ . The underlying asset price is denoted by  $(S_t)_{t\in[0,T]},$ the notional amount of the variance swap in dollars per annualized volatility point squared is L. Moreover, let  $\sigma_R$  be the realized volatility (in annual terms) of the underlying asset S computed using arithmetic return.

The formula for the realized variance defining the pay-off is then given by

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\sigma_R^2 = \frac{AF}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \frac{S_{t_i} - S_{t_{i-1}}}{S_{t_{i-1}}} \right)^2 \times 100^2.
$$
\n(1)

Assume that there are total of  $N$  closing prices  $S_{t_i}$  of the underlying asset observed at an equally-spaced time  $t_i$ . In this case, we multiply by  $AF = N/T$  to get the annualized variance in the above formula. Let the strike be  $K_{var}$ . At time t the value of variance swap is

$$
V_t = e^{-r(T-t)} E^Q \left[ L(\sigma_R^2 - k_{var}) | \mathcal{F}_t \right] = e^{-r(T-t)} E_t^Q [L(\sigma_R^2 - k_{var})],
$$

where  $E_t^Q = E^Q[.|\mathcal{F}_t]$  is the conditional expectation at time t. Since at inception  $V_0 = 0$ , we obtain

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
K_{var} = \frac{AF}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_0^Q \left[ \left( \frac{S_{t_i} - S_{t_{i-1}}}{S_{t_{i-1}}} \right)^2 \right] \times 100^2 \tag{2}
$$

by the definition of the fair variance delivery price and  $(1)$ . The problem of pricing variance swap consists in finding the fair variance delivery price  $K_{var}$ . In other words, we need to compute all the conditional expectations

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
E_0^Q \left[ \left( \frac{S_{t_i} - S_{t_{i-1}}}{S_{t_{i-1}}} \right)^2 \right]
$$
\n
$$
(3)
$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , which depends essentially on the SDE employed to predict the future values of the underlying asset  $S_{t_i}$  for  $i = 1, \cdots, N$ . To compute the expectation [\(3\)](#page-2-1), we use the same way as Rujivan and Zhu did for the case of Heston model [\[13\]](#page-10-4). We start first by expanding the above expectation into three parts in the following lemma.

# <span id="page-2-4"></span>Lemma 2.1. We have

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
E_0^Q \left[ \left( \frac{S_{t_i} - S_{t_{i-1}}}{S_{t_{i-1}}} \right)^2 \right] = 1 + E_0^Q \left[ \frac{1}{S_{t_{i-1}}^2} E_{t_{i-1}}^Q [S_{t_i}^2] \right] - 2E_0^Q \left[ \frac{1}{S_{t_{i-1}}} E_{t_{i-1}}^Q [S_{t_i}] \right].
$$
 (4)

*Proof.* By the tower property, the conditional expectation  $(3)$  can be transformed into equation  $(4)$ , which has been shown by equation  $(2.5)$  in [\[13\]](#page-10-4).  $\Box$ 

Now the key idea to compute the two right hand expectations of equation [\(4\)](#page-2-2) can be summarized in two steps as follows

- Step 1: calculate the expectations  $E_{t_i}^Q$  $E_{t_{i-1}}^{Q}[S_{t_i}]$  and  $E_{t_i}^{Q}$  $t_{i-1}^{Q}[S_{t_i}^2].$
- Step 2: plug the values of expectations obtained in step 1 into [\(4\)](#page-2-2) then evaluate the outcome expectation in the form  $E_0^Q$  $0^{\mathcal{Q}}[.]$ .

Step 1 can be done by computing the conditional expectation of  $Y_t := S_t^{\gamma}$  $t^{\gamma}$ , which is

$$
E_{t_{i-1}}^Q[Y_t] = E_{t_{i-1}}^Q \left[ Y_t | (Y_{t_{i-1}} = y, v_{t_{i-1}} = v) \right]
$$

for all  $t\in[t_{i-1},t_i],$  where  $\gamma$  can be any non-zero real number especially  $1$  and  $2$  (cf. Proposition  $2.1$  in  $[13]).$  $[13]).$ The second step is accomplished in Proposition 2.2 in [\[14\]](#page-10-5).

#### 3. Valuation of variance swaps in discretely-sampled for markets with increased volatility

The main result of this section is to address the issue of pricing discretely-sampled variance swaps under high volatile model. We derive partially the value of the discretely-sampled variance swap.

#### 3.1. Variance swaps in an increased volatile model

To examine the impact of a high volatility on the value of fair variance delivery strike  $K_{var}$ , we look into the variance swap pricing problem when the underlying asset price has an augmented volatility. More precisely, here we assume that  $(S_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$  is given by the SDE

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
dS_t = rS_t dt + (\sigma S_t + \beta e^{rt})dW_t,
$$
\n<sup>(5)</sup>

where r is a fixed short-run risk free rate,  $\sigma$  is the volatility of the asset,  $\beta$  is a constant and the initial value of the asset  $S_0 > 0$ . This model presents some practical advantages such as accounting for crisis situations where the prices are suffering from unusual and sudden depreciation. Moreover, there exists a closed form solution for pricing European option in the case of this model. An additional advantage of using this model is that it is a stochastic volatility model that satisfies the leverage effect where the volatility and the asset price are inversely proportional. The SDE [\(5\)](#page-3-0) has the solution

$$
S_t = (S_0 + \frac{\beta}{\sigma})e^{(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})t + \sigma W_t} - \frac{\beta e^{rt}}{\sigma}.
$$
\n
$$
(6)
$$

(See [\[5\]](#page-10-7) for more details on the above solution and derivation of prices for European options.)

## 3.2. Valuation of discretely-sampled variance swap

This subsection deals with pricing variance swap under the high volatility model [\(5\)](#page-3-0) by investigating the valuation of strike  $K_{var}$  given by [\(2\)](#page-2-3) which is reduced to the calculation of the conditional expectations [\(3\)](#page-2-1). Our way to do this is inspired from the method of [\[13\]](#page-10-4) employed for Heston model stated in Lemma [2.1](#page-2-4) and steps 1 and 2 in the previous section.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>Theorem 3.1. Let AF be the annualized factor and N be the number of observations. Assume that

$$
C = \frac{\left(S_0 + \frac{\beta}{\sigma}\right)^2}{S_0 + \frac{\beta}{2\sigma}} e^{2r\Delta t} - \frac{2\beta}{\sigma} e^{r(2t_i - t_{i-1})} \quad \text{and} \quad D = \frac{\left(S_0 + \frac{\beta}{\sigma}\right)^2}{S_0 + \frac{\beta}{2\sigma}} \frac{\beta}{2\sigma} e^{2rt_i} - \frac{\beta^2}{\sigma^2} e^{2rt_i}.
$$

Then, the annualized delivery price for the variance swap of the high volatile model is given by

$$
K_{var} = \frac{AF}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ 1 + E_0^Q \left[ \frac{1}{S_{t_{i-1}}^2} E_{t_{i-1}}^Q [S_{t_i}^2] \right] - 2E_0^Q \left[ \frac{1}{S_{t_{i-1}}} E_{t_{i-1}}^Q [S_{t_i}] \right] \right] \times 100^2,
$$

where

$$
E_0^Q\left[\frac{1}{S_{t_{i-1}}}E_{t_{i-1}}^Q[S_{t_i}]\right] = e^{r(t_i - t_{i-1})}
$$

and

$$
E^Q_0\left[\frac{1}{S^2_{t_{i-1}}}E^Q_{t_{i-1}}[S^2_{t_i}]\right] = CE^Q_0\left[\frac{1}{S_{t_{i-1}}}\right] + DE^Q_0\left[\frac{1}{S^2_{t_{i-1}}}\right].
$$

To prove the Theorem [3.1,](#page-3-1) we start by applying Itô formula to have the SDE of stochastic processes power of  $S_t$  as provided in the next corollary.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $\gamma$  be a non-zero real number and let  $(Y_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$  be the process defined by  $Y_t = S_t^{\gamma}$  $\hat{u}^{\gamma}$ . Then, we have

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
dY_t = \gamma Y_t \left[ \left( r + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} (\sigma + \beta e^{rt} Y_t^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}})^2 \right) dt + \left( \sigma + \beta e^{rt} Y_t^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right) dW_t \right]. \tag{7}
$$

*Proof.* Applying Itô formula with the function  $f(x) = x^{\gamma}$  and  $S_t$  given by [\(5\)](#page-3-0). Then

$$
dY_t = d(S_t^{\gamma}) = d(f(S_t)) = f'(S_t) dS_t + \frac{1}{2} f''(S_t) d\langle S_t, S_t \rangle
$$
  
=  $\gamma(S_t)^{\gamma - 1} \left[ r S_t dt + (\sigma S_t + \beta e^{rt}) dW_t \right] + \frac{1}{2} \gamma(\gamma - 1)(S_t)^{\gamma - 2} \left[ (\sigma S_t + \beta e^{rt})^2 dt \right]$ 

Since

$$
\langle dS_t, dS_t \rangle = \langle rS_t dt + (\sigma S_t + \beta e^{rt}) dW_t, rS_t dt + (\sigma S_t + \beta e^{rt}) dW_t \rangle
$$
  
= 
$$
(\sigma S_t + \beta e^{rt})^2 dt.
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
dY_t = d(S_t^{\gamma}) = \gamma r S_t^{\gamma} dt + \gamma (S_t)^{\gamma - 1} (\sigma S_t + \beta e^{rt}) dW_t + \frac{1}{2} \gamma (\gamma - 1) (S_t)^{\gamma - 2} (\sigma S_t + \beta e^{rt})^2 dt
$$
  
\n
$$
= \left[ \left( r + \frac{1}{2} (\gamma - 1) S_t^{-2} (\sigma S_t + \beta e^{rt})^2 \right) \gamma S_t^{\gamma} \right] dt + \left[ \gamma (S_t)^{\gamma - 1} (\sigma S_t + \beta e^{rt}) \right] dW_t.
$$
  
\n
$$
= \left[ \left( r + \frac{1}{2} (\gamma - 1) \left( Y_t^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right)^{-2} (\sigma Y_t^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} + \beta e^{rt})^2 \right) \gamma \left( Y_t^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right)^{\gamma} \right] dt
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \left[ \gamma \left( Y_t^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right)^{\gamma - 1} (\sigma Y_t^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} + \beta e^{rt}) \right] dW_t.
$$

The last equation can be simplified to get  $(7)$ . This ends the proof.

Next proposition shows that conditional expectation of the form  $E_{t_i}^Q$  $t_{t_{i-1}}^{\mathcal{Q}}[Y_t]$ , where  $t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$  is the solution of the PDE.

<span id="page-4-2"></span>**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$ , then there exists a function  $U_i^{\gamma} \in C^{1,2}([t_{i-1}, t_i] \times ]0, \infty[)$  such that  $\overline{E_{t_{i-1}}}[Y_t] = U_i^{\gamma}$  $\hat{U}_i^{\gamma}(t, Y_t)$ . Moreover  $U_i^{\gamma}$  $\hat{I}_i^{\gamma}(t,y)$  is solution of the following PDE

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\begin{cases} \partial_t U_i^{\gamma} + \gamma Y_t \partial_y U_i^{\gamma} \left( r + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} (\sigma + \beta e^{rt} Y_t^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}})^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2 Y_t^2 \left( \sigma + \beta e^{rt} Y_t^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right)^2 \partial_{yy} U_i^{\gamma} = 0, \\ U_i^{\gamma}(t_i, y) = y. \end{cases} \tag{8}
$$

*Proof.* Using the Markov property of  $(Y_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$  we have for any  $s \in [0,t]$ ,  $E_s[f(Y_t)] = E[f(Y_t)|Y_s = y] =$  $U^{\gamma}(s, y)$ , with  $U \in C^{1,2}([0, t] \times ]0, \infty[)$ . Consider the function

$$
U_i^{\gamma}: [t_{i-1}, t_i] \times ]0, \infty[ \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathbb{R}
$$
  

$$
U_i^{\gamma}(t, y) = E[Y_{t_i}|Y_t = y].
$$

We have  $U_i^{\gamma}$  $\hat{I}_i^{\gamma}(t_{i-1}, y) = E\left[Y_{t_i} | Y_{t_{i-1}} = y\right]$  and  $U_i^{\gamma}$  $\hat{I}_i^{\gamma}(t_i, y) = E[Y_{t_i}|Y_{t_i} = y] = E[y] = y.$  Applying Itô formula to  $U_i^{\gamma}$  $\hat{i}^{\gamma}(t,Y_t)$  we obtain

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
dU_i^{\gamma} = \partial_t U_i^{\gamma} dt + \partial_y U_i^{\gamma} dY_t + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{yy} U_i^{\gamma} d \langle Y_t, Y_t \rangle
$$
\n(9)

Using [\(7\)](#page-3-2) we have

$$
d\langle Y_t, Y_t \rangle = \gamma^2 Y_t^2 \left( \sigma + \beta e^{rt} Y_t^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right)^2 dt.
$$

.

 $\Box$ 

Therefore [\(9\)](#page-4-0) becomes

$$
dU_i^{\gamma} = \left[ \partial_t U_i^{\gamma} + \gamma Y_t \partial_y U_i^{\gamma} \left( r + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} (\sigma + \beta e^{rt} Y_t^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}})^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2 Y_t^2 \left( \sigma + \beta e^{rt} Y_t^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right)^2 \partial_{yy} U_i^{\gamma} \right] dt + \gamma Y_t \partial_y U_i^{\gamma} \left( \sigma + \beta e^{rt} Y_t^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right) dW_t.
$$
\n(10)

Since the process  $(E[Y_t|\mathcal{F}_s])_{s\in[t_{i-1},t_i]}$  is a martingale, then by the martingale representation theorem, the term in dt of the above equation must vanish. This leads to the PDE [\(8\)](#page-4-1).  $\Box$ 

Now we can get the third term of [\(4\)](#page-2-2).

Lemma 3.4. We have  $E_{t_{i-1}}[S_{t_i}] = S_{t_{i-1}}e^{r(t_i-t_{i-1})}$  and

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
E_0^Q \left[ \frac{S_{t_i}}{S_{t_{i-1}}} \right] = e^{r(t_i - t_{i-1})},\tag{11}
$$

where  $S_{t_i}$  is the closing price of the asset at the *i*-th observation time.

*Proof.* If we take we apply Proposition [3.3](#page-4-2) for  $\gamma = 1$  then  $Y_t = S_t$  and  $E_{t_{i-1}}[S_{t_i}]$  satisfies the PDE

$$
\begin{cases} \partial_t U_i + ry \partial_y U_i + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_y + \beta e^{rt})^2 \partial_{yy} U_i = 0 \\ U_i(y, t_i) = y. \end{cases}
$$

Let  $\tau = t_i - t$ . Assume that the solution of the PDE is of the form  $U_i(t, y) = ye^{c(\tau)}$ . Then,

$$
\partial_t U_i = \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial \tau} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t} = -ye^{c(\tau)} \frac{dc}{d\tau}, \ \partial_y U_i = e^{c(\tau)} \text{ and } \partial_{yy} U_i = 0.
$$

Substituting the above PDE to get the ODE

$$
e^{c(\tau)}\frac{dc(\tau)}{d\tau} + re^{c(\tau)} = 0
$$

subject to the initial condition  $c(0) = 0$ . This gives  $dc(\tau) = r d\tau$  and  $c(\tau) = r\tau$ . Thus,  $U_i(t, y) = y e^{r\tau}$ . Therefore,

$$
E_0^Q\left[\frac{S_{t_i}}{S_{t_{i-1}}}\right] = E_0^Q\left[\frac{1}{S_{t_{i-1}}}U_i(t_{i-1}, y)\right] = E_0^Q\left[\frac{1}{S_{t_{i-1}}}S_{t_{i-1}}e^{r(t_i - t_{i-1})}\right] = e^{r\Delta t}.
$$

The proof is complete.

The second term in [\(4\)](#page-2-2) can't be computed using the PDE in Proposition [3.3,](#page-4-2) however it can be reduced to the computation of conditional expectation in  $S_t^{-1}$ .

### Proposition 3.5. Let

$$
C = \frac{\left(S_0 + \frac{\beta}{\sigma}\right)^2}{S_0 + \frac{\beta}{2\sigma}} e^{2r\Delta t} - \frac{2\beta}{\sigma} e^{r(2t_i - t_{i-1})} \quad \text{and} \quad D = \frac{\left(S_0 + \frac{\beta}{\sigma}\right)^2}{S_0 + \frac{\beta}{2\sigma}} \frac{\beta}{2\sigma} e^{2rt_i} - \frac{\beta^2}{\sigma^2} e^{2rt_i}.
$$

Then we have

$$
E_0^Q \left[ \left( \frac{S_{t_i}}{S_{t_{i-1}}} \right)^2 \right] = CE_0^Q \left[ \frac{1}{S_{t_{i-1}}} \right] + DE_0^Q \left[ \frac{1}{S_{t_{i-1}}^2} \right].
$$
 (12)

 $\Box$ 

*Proof.* By equation  $(4)$ , we have

$$
E_0^Q \left[ \frac{S_{t_i}^2}{S_{t_{i-1}}^2} \right] = E_0^Q \left[ \frac{1}{S_{t_{i-1}}^2} E_{t_{i-1}}^Q [S_{t_i}^2] \right].
$$

Since

$$
S_{t_i} = \left(S_0 + \frac{\beta}{\sigma}\right) e^{(r-\frac{\sigma}{2})t_i + \sigma W_{t_i}} - \frac{\beta}{\sigma} e^{rt_i} = \alpha \xi_{t_i} - \frac{\beta}{\sigma} e^{rt_i},
$$

where  $\alpha = S_0 + \frac{\beta}{\sigma}$  $\frac{\beta}{\sigma}$  and  $\xi_{t_i} = e^{(r-\frac{\sigma}{2})t_i + \sigma W_{t_i}}$ . Then,

$$
S_{t_i}^2 = \alpha^2 \xi_{t_i}^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{\sigma^2} e^{2rt_i} - 2\frac{\beta}{\sigma} \alpha \xi_{t_i} e^{rt_i}.
$$

Moreover,

$$
E_{t_{i-1}}^Q[S_{t_i}^2] = E_{t_{i-1}}^Q[\alpha^2 \xi_{t_i}^2] + \frac{\beta^2}{\sigma^2} e^{2rt_i} - 2\frac{\beta}{\sigma} e^{rt_i} E_{t_{i-1}}^Q[\alpha \xi_{t_i}].
$$

Now we investigate the calculation of these two conditional expectations in the above equality. The second expectation can be easily computed using [\(11\)](#page-5-0). In fact, we have

$$
E_{t_{i-1}}^Q[\alpha \xi_{t_i}] = E_{t_{i-1}}^Q[S_{t_i} + \frac{\beta}{\sigma}e^{rt_i}] = E_{t_{i-1}}^Q[S_{t_i}] + \frac{\beta}{\sigma}e^{rt_i} = S_{t_{i-1}}e^{r\Delta t} + \frac{\beta}{\sigma}e^{rt_i}.
$$

To find the first conditional expectation  $E^Q_{t_k}$  $\sum_{t_{i-1}}^{Q} [\alpha^2 \xi_{t_i}^2]$ , we first notice that

$$
\alpha^{2} \xi_{t_{i}}^{2} = \alpha^{2} e^{2(r - \frac{\sigma}{2})t_{i} + 2\sigma W_{t_{i}}} = \alpha^{2} e^{(r_{2} - \frac{\sigma_{2}}{2})t_{i} + \sigma_{2}W_{t_{i}}}
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{S_{0} + \frac{\beta}{2\sigma}}\right) \left[\left(S_{0} + \frac{\beta}{\sigma_{2}}\right) e^{(r_{2} - \frac{\sigma_{2}}{2})t_{i} + \sigma_{2}W_{t_{i}}} + \gamma_{2}(t_{i})\right] - \frac{\alpha^{2}}{S_{0} + \frac{\beta}{2\sigma}} \gamma_{2}(t_{i}).
$$

Then

$$
E_{t_{i-1}}^Q[\alpha^2 \xi_{t_i}^2] = \frac{\alpha^2}{S_0 + \frac{\beta}{2\sigma}} \left[ E_{t_{i-1}}^Q[S_{2t_i}] - \gamma_2(t_i) \right],
$$

where  $S_{2t_i} = S_{t_i}(r_2, \sigma_2)$  and  $\gamma_2(t_i) = \frac{-\beta}{2\sigma}e^{2rt_i}$ . Let  $\gamma(t_i) = \frac{-\beta}{\sigma}e^{rt_i}$ . Then,

$$
E_{t_{i-1}}^Q[\alpha^2 \xi_{t_i}^2] = \frac{\alpha^2}{S_0 + \frac{\beta}{2\sigma}} \left( S_{t_{i-1}} e^{2r\Delta t} + \frac{\beta}{2\sigma} e^{2rt_i} \right),
$$

and

$$
E_{t_{i-1}}^{Q}[S_{t_i}^2] = AS_{t_{i-1}} + B + \gamma^2(t_i) + 2\gamma(t_i)[S_{t_{i-1}}e^{r\Delta t} - \gamma(t_i)]
$$
  
\n
$$
= [A + 2\gamma(t_i)e^{r\Delta t}]S_{t_{i-1}} + B - \gamma^2(t_i),
$$
  
\nwhere  $A = \frac{\alpha^2}{S_0 + \frac{\beta}{2\sigma}}e^{2r\Delta t}$  and  $B = \frac{\beta}{2\sigma}e^{2rt_i}\frac{\alpha^2}{S_0 + \frac{\beta}{2\sigma}}$ . Thus, we have

$$
E_{t_{i-1}}^Q[S_{t_i}^2] = CS_{t_{i-1}} + D,
$$

where 
$$
C = \frac{(S_0 + \frac{\beta}{\sigma})^2}{S_0 + \frac{\beta}{2\sigma}} e^{2r\Delta t} - \frac{2\beta}{\sigma} e^{r(2t_i - t_{i-1})} \text{ and } D = \frac{(S_0 + \frac{\beta}{\sigma})^2}{S_0 + \frac{\beta}{2\sigma}} \frac{\beta}{2\sigma} e^{2rt_i} - \frac{\beta^2}{\sigma^2} e^{2rt_i} \text{ Finally, we get}
$$

$$
E_0^Q \left[ \left( \frac{S_{t_i}}{S_{t_{i-1}}} \right)^2 \right] = E_0^Q \left[ \frac{1}{S_{t_{i-1}}^2} (CS_{t_{i-1}} + D) \right] = CE_0^Q \left[ \frac{1}{S_{t_{i-1}}^2} \right] + DE_0^Q \left[ \frac{1}{S_{t_{i-1}}^2} \right].
$$

This ends the proof.

Combining all aforementioned results, we finish the proof of Theorem [3.1.](#page-3-1)



#### 4. Applications

In this section, we apply our result from previous section on valuation of variance swaps. An illustration for the sensitivity of fair delivery price to the additional parameter  $\beta$  is provided. It shows the volatility increase's impact on the a variance swap delivery price.

Let the annualized risk-free interest rate  $r = 0.02$ , the number of observations  $N = 252$  (trading days) and the life time  $T = 1$  (year). The annualized factor  $AF = N/T = 252$ . Assume that the parameter  $\sigma$  of the underlying asset  $S$  is 0.01.

Then, we use the Monte Carlo method to simulate the annualized delivery price for the variance swap of the high volatile model with respect to  $\beta$ . After running 5000 times for each  $\beta$ , we have the following Figure [1.](#page-7-0) From this figure, we can see that the annualized delivery price for the variance swap of the high volatile model is proportional to the value of  $\beta$ .



<span id="page-7-0"></span>Figure 1: The annualized variance delivery price  $K_{var}$  with respect to  $\beta$ .

Now we fix the parameter  $\beta = 0.0001$ . After running 5000 times for each  $\sigma$  of the underlying asset S, we have the following Figure [2.](#page-8-0) From this figure, we can see that there is no significant change in the annualized delivery price for the variance swap of the high volatile model with small difference of the value of  $\sigma$ .



<span id="page-8-0"></span>Figure 2: The annualized variance delivery price  $K_{var}$  with respect to  $\sigma$ .

Note that when we take  $\beta = 0$ , the high volatile model degenerates to the Black Scholes model.



Table 1: Comparison of the annualized variance delivery price  $K_{var}$  between the high volatile model and the Black-Scholes model.

The above table shows the annualized delivery price for the variance swap of these two models under the same assumptions.

Finally, we compare the annualized delivery price for the variance swap of the Black Scholes model and the high volatile model with respect to  $\sigma$  by the following figure.



Figure 3: Comparison of the annualized variance delivery price  $K_{var}$  between the Black Scholes model and the high volatile model with respect to  $\sigma$ .

## 5. Conclusions

Pricing financial derivatives is an important problem in mathematical finance since these instruments are used extensively in hedging against risk. Therefore, obtaining an accurate price for a financial derivative product is decisive in risk management. However, the valuation of such products is primary depending on modeling its underlying asset.

In this paper, we study the evaluation of variance swaps during situations where the volatility is abnormally increased. A financial crunch is a typical example of such situation. During this period when risk is higher, an accurate price for the swaps is essential. The paper investigates a solution to the pricing of discretelysampled variance swaps in markets with high volatility. The obtained result is expected to improve the accuracy of the fair delivery price during financial crisis. Numerical simulations show that variance swap prices are proportional to volatility increase.

### 6. Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their sincere appreciation to the United Arab Emirates University Research Office for the financial support UPAR Grant No. 31S369.

#### References

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[1] M. Broadie and A. Jain, The effect of jumps and discrete sampling on volatility and variance swaps, International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance,  $11(8)(2008)$  761-797.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[2] K. Demeterfi, E. Derman, M. Kamal and J. Zou, More than you ever wanted to know about volatility swaps, Goldman Sachs Quantitative Strategies Research Notes, (1999).
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>[3] G. Dibeh, H-M. Harmanani, Option pricing during post-crash relaxation times, Physica A., 380(2007), 357-365.
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>[4] Y. El-Khatib, and Hatemi-J, A., Computations of Price Sensitivities After a Financial Market Crash, In Ao SI., Gelman L. (eds) Electrical Engineering and Intelligent Systems., lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, (2013),vol 130. Springer, New York, NY.
- <span id="page-10-7"></span><span id="page-10-0"></span>[5] Y. El-Khatib, and Hatemi-J, A., Option valuation and hedging in markets with a crunch, Journal of Economic Studies,  $44(5)(2017)$  801-815.
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>[6] Y. El-Khatib, and Hatemi-J, A., Option pricing in high volatile markets with illiquidity, AIP Conference Proceedings 2019 Jul 24, 2116(1), AIP Publishing LLC.
- [7] Hatemi-J, A and Y. El-Khatib, Stochastic optimal hedge ratio: Theory and evidence, Applied Economics Letters, 19(8)2012 699-703.
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>[8] Hatemi-J, A and Y. El-Khatib, Portfolio selection: An alternative approach, Economics Letters, 135(2015) 141–143.
- [9] S. Heston, A closed-form solution for option pricing with stochastic volatility with application to bond and currency options, Review of Financial Studies,  $6(2)(1993)$ ,  $327-343$ .
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>[10] A. Javaheri, P. Wilmott, and E. Haug, GARCH and volatility swaps, Quantitative Finance,  $4(5)(2004)$ , 589-595.
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>[11] T. Little and V. Pant, A finite-difference method for the valuation of variance swaps, The Journal of Computational Finance,  $5(1)(2001)$ , 81-101.
- [12] N. Privault, Understanding Markov Chains Examples and Applications, (2013) Springer Singapore, 2nd edition.
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>[13] S. Rujivan and S. Zhu, A simplied analytical approach for pricing discretely-sampled variance swaps with stochastic volatility, Applied Mathematics Letters,  $25(11)(2012)$ ,  $1644-1650$ .
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>[14] S. Zhu and G. Lian, A closed-form exact solution for pricing variance swaps with stochastic volatility, Mathematical Finance,  $21(2)(2011)$   $233-256$ .
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>[15] S.P. Zhu, A. Badran, and X. Lu, A new exact solution for pricing European options in a two-state regime-switching economy, Computers and Mathematics with Applications,  $64(8)(2012)$ ,  $2744-2755$ .