



On the novelty of “Contracting Perimeters of Triangles in Metric Space”

Erdal Karapınar

Atilim University, Incek 06836 Ankara, Turkey.

In this note, we investigate whether the newly introduced notion of “contracting perimeters of triangles” in the context of standard metric spaces is novel or equivalent to “a variant” of Banach contraction in the setting of G -metric spaces. By using the fact that G -metric spaces are equivalent to quasi-metric spaces, we reconsider our main question as whether the fixed-point theorems via “contracting perimeters of triangles” is equivalent to a fixed point of the same mapping in the context of quasi-metric spaces.

Key words and Phrases: Fixed Point, Metric space, Interpolative metric space, Contraction.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 47H10, 54H25

1. Introduction

In mathematics and all quantitative sciences, it is natural to research and examine the existence of new constructions and new frameworks to improve the existing results obtained in the literature. One of the most significant instances of this motivation in mathematics is investigating the uniqueness and existence of fixed points within the framework of various extensions of the notion of a metric. Under this motivation, the notion of metric has been improved and generalized in various distinct ways. Among them, we may recall the concepts of quasi-metric, b -metric, strong b -metric, θ -metric, symmetric, fuzzy metric, probabilistic metric, partial metric, dislocated metric, metric-like, 2-metric, D -metric, G -metric, S -metric, cone metric (Banach-Valued metric), TVS-metric, complex-valued metric, quaternion metric, and so on (for more, see e.g. [1, 2, 35, 36, 37])

In this short note, we shall mainly deal with the interesting notion of G -metric which was considered first in the paper of Mustafa and Sims [5] in 2007 to repair and improve the notion of D -metric,

Email addresses: erdalkarapinar@yahoo.com, erdal.karapinar@atilim.edu.tr (Erdal KARAPINAR)

defined by Dhage [4]. Roughly speaking, Mustafa and Sims [5] observed that all topological properties (convergence, completeness, Cauchy, etc.) could be set up in this new abstract space. In addition, there is a nice connection between the standard metric and the G -metric. For more details on G -metric and related fixed point results on such construction, we refer to e.g. [2],[5]-[42] and the related references therein.

Recently, Samet et al. [33] and Jleli-Samet [34] reported a significant observation that under certain conditions, the notions of quasi-metric and G -metric coincide.

Very recently, Petrov [78] introduced the notion of “contracting perimeters of triangles” in the context of standard metric spaces. Several authors proved certain fixed-point theorems in this style; see e.g. [78, 79, 80, 76, 77, 81].

The goal of this note is to prove that fixed-point theorems in the context of the G -metric space that were suggested in [35, 36, 37] imply the corresponding fixed point theory via the “contracting perimeters of triangles,” defined by Petrov [78], in the setting of a standard metric space.

2. Preliminaries

For the sake of the self-content paper, we recall and recollect certain basic definitions together with the fundamental results that shall be considered in the main section.

First, let us fix some basic notation and definitions as follows:

\mathbb{N} denotes the set of positive integers.

\mathbb{R} denotes the set of reals.

\mathbb{R}^+ denotes the set of nonnegative reals.

In what follows, we state the notion of contraction perimeter mappings of triangles introduced by Petrov in [78]. The author called [78] claimed that it is a generalization of the renowned Banach contraction mapping principle [3].

Definition 2.1. (Petrov [78]). *Let (X,d) be a metric space with $|X| \geq 3$. We shall say that $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a mapping contracting perimeters of triangles defined on X if there exists $\alpha \in [0,1)$ such that the inequality*

$$d(Tx, Ty) + d(Ty, Tz) + d(Tz, Tx) \leq \alpha[d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x)],$$

holds for all three pairwise distinct points $x, y, z \in X$.

Petrov proved in [78] a fixed-point theorem for these kinds of mappings:

Theorem 2.1. (Petrov [78]). *Let (X,d) , $|X| \geq 3$ be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping contracting perimeters of triangles on X . Then, T has a fixed point if and only if T does not possess periodic points of prime period 2. The number of fixed points is at most 2.*

The notion of mapping contracting perimeters of triangles has been studied and extended by several authors; see e.g. [78, 79, 80, 76, 77] and so on. We examine the result of Petrov [78] by involving the notion of G -metric in the discussion. Before declaring our assertion, let us give a short brief on the G -metric notion.

2.1. G -metric

The notion of G -metric spaces is defined as follows:

Definition 2.2. (See [5]). *For X a non-empty set, let $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function satisfying the following properties:*

(G1) $G(x, y, z) = 0$ if $x = y = z$,

(G2) $0 < G(x, x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$,

(G3) $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $y \neq z$,

- (G4) $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$ (symmetry in all three variables),
- (G5) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$ (rectangle inequality) for all $x, y, z, a \in X$.

Here, the function G shall be called a generalized metric or, more commonly, a G -metric on X . In addition, the pair (X, G) is called a G -metric space.

Notice the fact that every G -metric on X induces a metric d_G on X defined by

$$d_G(x, y) = G(x, y, y) + G(y, x, x), \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \tag{1}$$

We shall state the following basic examples to illustrate the connection between standard metric and G -metric.

Example 2.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space. The function $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, defined by

$$G(x, y, z) = \max\{d(x, y), d(y, z), d(z, x)\},$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, is a G -metric on X .

Example 2.2. (See e.g. [5]) Let $X = [0, \infty)$. The function $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, defined by

$$G(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|,$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, is a G -metric on X .

In their initial paper, Mustafa and Sims [5] also defined the basic topological concepts in G -metric spaces as follows (See [5]):

Let (X, G) be a G -metric space, and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of points of X . We say that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ G -converges to $x \in X$ if

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} G(x, x_n, x_m) = 0,$$

that is, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$, for all $n, m \geq N$. We call x the limit of the sequence and write $x_n \rightarrow x$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n = x$. In addition, a sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called a G -Cauchy sequence if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m, l \geq N$, that is, $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m, l \rightarrow +\infty$. Furthermore, a G -metric space (X, G) is called G -complete if every G -Cauchy sequence is G -convergent in (X, G) .

Proposition 2.1. (See [5]) Let the pair (X, G) denote a G -metric space. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $\{x_n\}$ is G -convergent to x ,
- (2) $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$,
- (3) $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$,
- (4) $G(x_n, x_m, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proposition 2.2. (See [5]). Let the pair (X, G) denote a G -metric space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is G -Cauchy,
- (2) for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon$, for all $m, n \geq N$.

Definition 2.3. Let the pair (X, G) denote a G -metric space. A mapping $F : X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$ is said to be continuous if for any three G -convergent sequences $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ converging to x, y and z respectively, $\{F(x_n, y_n, z_n)\}$ is G -convergent to $F(x, y, z)$.

Mustafa [7] extended the well-known Banach Contraction Principle Mapping in the framework of G -metric spaces as follows:

Theorem 2.2. (See [7]) Let (X, G) be a complete G -metric space, and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying one of the following conditions (equalities) for all $x, y, z \in X$:

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq kG(x, y, z), \text{ or,} \tag{2}$$

$$G(Tx, Ty, Ty) \leq kG(x, y, y), \tag{3}$$

where $k \in [0, 1)$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Remark 2.1. Observe that the condition (2) implies the condition (3). The converse is true only if $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$. For details, see [7].

Definition 2.4. Let X be a nonempty and let $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function that satisfies:

(d1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,

(d2) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$. Then d is called a quasi-metric, and the pair (X, d) is called a quasi-metric space.

Remark 2.2. Any metric space is a quasi-metric space, but the converse is not true in general.

Now, we recollect some basic topological notions and related results on quasi-metric spaces.

Definition 2.5. (See e.g. [34]) Let (X, d) be a quasi-metric space, $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X , and $x \in X$. The sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x_n) = 0 \tag{4}$$

Definition 2.6. (See e.g. [34]) Let (X, d) be a quasi-metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . We say that $\{x_n\}$ is left-Cauchy if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer $N = N(\epsilon)$ such that $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq m > N$.

Definition 2.7. (See e.g. [34]) Let (X, d) be a quasi-metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . We say that $\{x_n\}$ is right-Cauchy if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer $N = N(\epsilon)$ such that $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for all $m \geq n > N$.

Definition 2.8. (See e.g. [34]) Let (X, d) be a quasi-metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . We say that $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer $N = N(\epsilon)$ such that $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for all $m, n > N$.

Remark 2.3. (See e.g. [34]) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a quasi-metric space is Cauchy if and only if it is left-Cauchy and right-Cauchy.

Definition 2.9. (See e.g. [34]) Let (X, d) be a quasi-metric space. We say that

- (1) (X, d) is left-complete if and only if each left-Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.
- (2) (X, d) is right-complete if and only if each right-Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.
- (3) (X, d) is complete if and only if each Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Theorem 2.3. (See e.g. [34]) Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. Let $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the function defined by $d(x, y) = G(x, y, y)$. Then

- 1. (X, d) is a quasi-metric space;
- 2. $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is G -convergent to $x \in X$ if and only if $\{x_n\}$ is convergent to x in the quasi-metric space (X, d) ;
- 3. $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is G -Cauchy if and only if $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in the quasi-metric space (X, d) ;
- 4. (X, G) is G -complete if and only if the quasi-metric space (X, d) is complete.

Every quasi-metric induces a metric, that is, if (X, d) is a quasi-metric space, then the function $\delta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$\delta(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(y, x)\}$$

is a metric on X .

As an immediate consequence of the definition above, and Theorem 2.3, the following theorem is obtained:

Theorem 2.4. (See e.g. [34]) *Let the pair (X,G) denote a G -metric space. Let $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the function defined by $d(x, y) = G(x, y, y)$. Then*

1. (X,d) is a quasi-metric space;
2. a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is G -convergent to $x \in X$ if and only if the same sequence $\{x_n\}$ is convergent to the same point x in (X,δ) ;
3. a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is G -Cauchy if and only if the same sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in (X,δ) ;
4. (X,G) is G -complete if and only if the corresponding metric (X,δ) is complete.

3. Main Results

We shall state our main result as follow:

Theorem 3.1. Theorem 2.1 follows from Theorem 2.2.

Proof. Suppose that the statements of Theorem 2.1 hold. That is, there exists $\alpha \in [0,1)$ such that the inequality

$$d(Tx, Ty) + d(Ty, Tz) + d(Tz, Tx) \leq \alpha[d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x)], \tag{5}$$

holds for all three pairwise distinct points $x, y, z \in X$.

Define a G -metric over X as follows:

$$G(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x) \tag{6}$$

for all three pairwise distinct points $x, y, z \in X$. Hence, the inequality (5) of Theorem 2.1 turns into

$$\begin{aligned} G(Tx, Ty, Tz) &= d(Tx, Ty) + d(Ty, Tz) + d(Tz, Tx) \\ &\leq \alpha[d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x)] \\ &= G(x, y, z) \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

for all three pairwise distinct points $x, y, z \in X$. Thus, the conditions of Theorem 2.2 are fulfilled. Consequently, by taking Theorem 2.2 into account, the operator T has a unique fixed point $x^* \in X$.

Remark 3.1. *It is very important to underline that in Theorem 2.2, the statement has no restriction as “all three pairwise distinct points $x, y, z \in X$.” That means, the results of Theorem 2.1 are very weak.*

As it is mentioned in the previous section, the following Theorem 2.1 of Petrov [78], several results were given in this direction, see e.g. [78, 79, 80, 76]. In the literature, it is crystal clear that all these fixed-point results are also equivalent derived from the corresponding fixed point theorem in the context of G -metric.

We also recall the fact that G -metric can be derived from quasi-metric; see, e.g. Theorem 2.3. Apparently, the fixed point of a perimeter contraction is the same fixed point that satisfies the corresponding relation in the setting of quasi-metric spaces.

Notice also by Theorem 2.4 that topological concepts (convergence of the sequence, being Cauchy of the sequence, etc) in the quasi-metric spaces work equivalently, and they are valid in the set-up of standard metric spaces.

4. Further Results via Auxiliary Functions

In this section, we shall give a list of the fixed-point theorems in G -metric spaces and state the corresponding fixed-point result in the setting of “perimeter contraction” in standard metric spaces.

Theorem 4.1. (See 17) *Let (X,G) be a G -metric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be mapping such that*

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq aG(x, y, z) + bG(x, Tx, Tx) + cG(y, Ty, Ty) + dG(z, Tz, Tz) \tag{8}$$

for all x, y, z where a, b, c, d are positive constants such that $k = a + b + c + d < 1$. Then there is a unique $x \in X$ such that $Tx = x$.

The above theorem can be transformed in the “perimeter contraction” in standard metric spaces, as follows:

Theorem 4.2. *Let (X,d) be a standard metric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be mapping such that*

$$d(Tx, Ty) + d(Ty, Tz) + d(Tz, Tx) \leq a(d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x)) + b(2d(x, Tx) + d(Tx, x)) + c(2d(y, Ty)) + d(2d(z, Tz)) \tag{9}$$

holds for all three pairwise distinct points $x, y, z \in X$, where a, b, c, d are positive constants such that $k = a + b + c + d < 1$. Then there is a unique $x \in X$ such that $Tx = x$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) + d(Ty, Tz) + d(Tz, Tx) &= G(Tx, Ty, Tz) aG(x, y, z) + bG(x, Tx, Tx) + cG(y, Ty, Ty) + dG(z, Tz, Tz) \\ &\leq a(d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x)) + b(d(x, Tx) + d(Tx, Tx) + d(Tx, x)) \\ &\quad + c(d(y, Ty) + d(Ty, Ty) + d(Ty, y)) + d((d(z, Tz) + d(Tz, Tz) + d(Tz, z))) \\ &= a(d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x)) + b(2d(x, Tx) + d(Tx, x)) \\ &\quad + c(2d(y, Ty)) + d(2d(z, Tz)) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Thus, we have

$$d(Tx, Ty) + d(Ty, Tz) + d(Tz, Tx) \leq a(d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x)) + b(2d(x, Tx) + d(Tx, x)) + c(2d(y, Ty)) + d(2d(z, Tz)) \tag{11}$$

By employing the same techniques to the following theorems, we may get a number of fixed-point theorems in the setting of “perimeter contraction” in standard metric spaces:

Theorem 4.3. (See [16]) *Let (X,G) be a G -metric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be mapping such that*

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq k[G(x, Tx, Tx) + G(y, Ty, Ty) + G(z, Tz, Tz)] \tag{12}$$

for all x, y, z where $k \in [0, \frac{1}{3})$. Then there is a unique $x \in X$ such that $Tx = x$.

Theorem 4.4. (See [17]) *Let (X,G) be a G -metric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be mapping such that*

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq aG(x, y, z) + b[G(x, Tx, Tx) + G(y, Ty, Ty) + G(z, Tz, Tz)] \tag{13}$$

for all x, y, z where a, b are positive constants such that $k = a + b < 1$. Then there is a unique $x \in X$ such that $Tx = x$.

Theorem 4.5. (See [17]) *Let (X,G) be a G -metric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be mapping such that*

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq aG(x, y, z) + b \max\{G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz)\} \tag{14}$$

for all x, y, z where a, b are positive constants such that $k = a + b < 1$. Then there is a unique $x \in X$ such that $Tx = x$.

Theorem 4.6. (See [6]) *Let (X,G) be a G -metric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be mapping such that*

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq k \max\left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, y, z), G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz), \\ G(z, Tx, Tx), G(x, Ty, Ty), G(y, Tz, Tz) \end{array} \right\} \tag{15}$$

for all x, y, z where $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$. Then there is a unique $x \in X$ such that $Tx = x$.

Theorem 4.7. (See e.g. [34]) *Let (X,G) be a complete G -metric space, and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a given mapping satisfying*

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq G(x, y, z) - \varphi(G(x, y, z)) \tag{16}$$

if for all $x, y \in X$, where $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous with $\varphi^{-1}(\{0\}) = 0$. Then there is a unique $x \in X$ such that $Tx = x$.

Theorem 4.8. *Let (X,d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping with the property:*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq q \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\} \quad (17)$$

for all $x \in X$, where q is a constant such that $q \in [0, 1)$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

4.1. Remarks and Conclusion

It is clear that the list of fixed-point theorems in this section is not complete and it is not needed to be complete either. On the other hand, by the main theorem and Theorem 4.2, we conclude that the fixed point theorems via “perimeter contraction” in standard metric spaces are not novel. Indeed, they are weak consequences of fixed point theorems in the context of G -metric metric spaces.

Competing interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this article.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- [1] E.Karapınar, and R.P.Agarwal, Fixed Point Theory in Generalized Metric Spaces, (2023), *Synthesis Lectures on Mathematics & Statistics*, Springer Cham, doi:10.1007/978-3-031-14969-6.
- [2] R. Agarwal, E. Karapınar, D. O'Regan, A. Roldan-Lopez-de-Hierro, (2015). *Fixed point theory in metric type spaces*. Cham: Springer.
- [3] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, *Fund. Math.* **3** (1922), 133–181.
- [4] B.C. Dhage, Generalized metric spaces and mapping with fixed point, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.* **84**, (1992), 329–336.
- [5] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, **7**, (2006), 289–297.
- [6] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, Vol. 2009, Article ID 917175, 2009, 10 pages.
- [7] Z. Mustafa, A new structure for generalized metric spaces with applications to fixed point theory, Ph.D. Thesis, The University of Newcastle, Australia, 2005.
- [8] Z. Mustafa, Common Fixed Points of Weakly Compatible Mappings in G -Metric Spaces, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 6, 2012, no. 92, 4589–4600
- [9] Z.Mustafa, H.Aydi and E. Karapınar Generalized Meir Keeler Type Contractions on G -metric spaces, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **219** (2013) no: 21, 10441–10447.
- [10] Z. Mustafa, H. Aydi, E. Karapınar, On common fixed points in image-metric spaces using (E.A) property, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **64** (2012) No:6, 1944–1956.
- [11] Z. Mustafa, H.Aydi and E. Karapınar, Mixed g -monotone property and quadruple fixed point theorems in partial ordered metric space, *Fixed Point theory and its application* 2012, 2012:71.
- [12] Z. Mustafa, M. Khandaqji, W. Shatanawi, Fixed Point Results on Complete G -metric spaces, *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica* **48** (2011), 304–319.
- [13] Z. Mustafa, Some New Common Fixed Point Theorems Under Strict Contractive Conditions in G -Metric Spaces, *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, Volume 2012, Article ID 248937, 21 pages.
- [14] Z. Mustafa, Mixed g -monotone property and quadruple fixed point theorems in partially ordered G -metric spaces using $(\phi-\psi)$ contractions, *Fixed point Theory and applications*, 2012, 2012:199.
- [15] Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat, and F. Awawdeh, Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, Vol 2008, Article ID 189870, 12 pages, 2008.
- [16] Z. Mustafa and H. Obiedat, A fixed point theorem of Reich in G -metric spaces, *CUBO*, **12(1)** (2010), 83–93.
- [17] Z. Mustafa, W. Shatanawi, and M. Bataineh, Existence of fixed point results in G -metric spaces, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, Vol 2009, Article ID 283028, 10 pages, 2009.
- [18] Z. Mustafa, W. Shatanawi, and M. Bataineh, Existence of fixed point results in G -metric spaces, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, Vol 2009, Article ID 283028, 2009, 10 pages.
- [19] H. Aydi, M. Postolache and W. Shatanawi, Coupled fixed point results for (ψ, ϕ) -weakly contractive mappings in ordered G -metric spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **63**, (2012),no:1, 298–309.
- [20] K.P.R.Rao, K.B. Lakshmi and Z. Mustafa, Fixed and related fixed point theorems for three maps in G -metric space, *Journal of Advance studies in Topology*, Vol. 3, No. 4, 2012, 12–19.

- [21] W. Shatanawi and Z. Mustafa, On coupled random fixed point results in partially ordered metric spaces, *Matematicki Vesnik*, **64** (2012), 139–146.
- [22] H. Aydi, B. Damjanović, B. Samet, and W. Shatanawi, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered G -metric spaces, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, **54**, (2011), 2443–2450.
- [23] N. V. Luong and N. X. Thuan, Coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered G -metric spaces, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, **55**, (2012) 1601–1609.
- [24] H. Aydi, E. Karapınar and W. Shatanawi, Tripled Fixed Point Results in Generalized Metric Spaces, Vol 2012, *J. Appl. Math.* Article Id: 314279, (2012).
- [25] H. Aydi, E. Karapınar and Z. Mustafa, On Common Fixed Points in G -Metric Spaces Using (E.A) Property, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **64**,(2012),no:6,1944–1956.
- [26] N. Tahat, H. Aydi, E. Karapınar and W. Shatanawi, Common fixed points for single-valued and multi-valued maps satisfying a generalized contraction in G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, Vol. 2012, 2012:48.
- [27] H. Aydi, E. Karapınar and W. Shatanawi, Tripled common fixed point results for generalized contractions in ordered generalized metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2012:101.
- [28] H. Aydi, Generalized cyclic contractions in G -metric spaces, *The Journal of Nonlinear Science and Application*, in press.
- [29] R. Agarwal and E. Karapınar, Remarks on some coupled fixed point theorems in G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2013, 2013:2
- [30] E.Karapınar, B. Kaymakçalan, K. Tas, On coupled fixed point theorems on partially ordered G -metric spaces, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2012:200.
- [31] H.S. Ding, E.Karapınar, A note on some coupled fixed point theorems on G -metric space, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2012:170.
- [32] U. Gül, E.Karapınar, On almost contraction in partially ordered metric spaces viz implicit relation, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2012:217.
- [33] B. Samet, C. Vetro, F. Vetro, Remarks on G -metric spaces, *Int. J. Anal.*, 2013 (2013), Article ID 917158, 6 pages.
- [34] M. Jleli and B. Samet, Remarks on G -metric spaces and fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2012:210 (2012).
- [35] R. Saadati, S.M. Vaezpour, P. Vetro, B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point theorems in generalized partially ordered G -metric spaces, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* **52** (2010) 797–801.
- [36] M. Asadi, E. Karapınar and P.Salimi, A new approach to G -metric and related fixed point theorems, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013, 2013:454
- [37] R. Agarwal and E. Karapınar Further fixed point results on G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 2013:154 (2013).
- [38] M. A. Alghamdi and E. Karapınar G -beta-psi contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, (2013), 2013:70.
- [39] N.Bilgili and E. Karapınar Cyclic contractions via auxiliary functions on G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, (2013) 2013:49.
- [40] H-S. Ding and E. Karapınar Meir Keeler type contractions in partially ordered G -metric space, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 2013:35, (2013).
- [41] A. Roldan and E. Karapınar Some multidimensional fixed point theorems on partially preordered G^* -metric spaces under (ψ, φ) -contractivity conditions, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, (2013).
- [42] M. A. Alghamdi and E. Karapınar G -beta-psi contractive type mappings in G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, (2013) 2013:123.
- [43] M.Abbas, W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, Coupled fixed point of generalized contractive mappings on partially ordered G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2012, 2012:31
- [44] M. Abbas, T. Nazir, P. Vetro, Common fixed point results for three maps in G -metric spaces, *Filomat*, **25**:4 (2011), 1–17.
- [45] R. Agarwal and E. Karapınar, Remarks on some coupled fixed point theorems in G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2013, 2013:2
- [46] H. Aydi, W. Shatanawi, C. Vetro, On generalized weak G -contraction mapping in G -metric spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **62** (2011), 4223–4229.
- [47] H. Aydi, E. Karapınar, W.Shatnawi, Tripled Fixed Point Results in Generalized Metric Spaces, *J. Appl. Math.* 2012 (2012) Article Id: 314279
- [48] H. Aydi, E. Karapınar, Z. Mustafa, On Common Fixed Points in G -Metric Spaces Using (E.A) Property, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **64** (2012) No:6, 1944–1956.
- [49] H. Aydi, M. Postolache and W. Shatanawi, Coupled fixed point results for (ψ, ϕ) -weakly contractive mappings in ordered G -metric spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **63** (1), 2012, 298-309.
- [50] H. Aydi, E. Karapınar, W.Shatanawi, Tripled Fixed Point Results in Generalized Metric Spaces, *J. Appl. Math.*(2012) Article Id: 314279
- [51] H. Aydi, B. Damjanović, B. Samet and W. Shatanawi, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered G -metric spaces, *Math. Comput. Modelling* **54** (2011) 2443–2450.
- [52] V. Berinde, Generalized coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* **74** (2011) 7347–7355.
- [53] V. Berinde, Coupled fixed point theorems for Φ -contractive mixed monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* **75** (2012) 3218–3228.

- [54] V. Berinde, Coupled coincidence point theorems for mixed monotone nonlinear operators, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 2012, doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2012.02.012
- [55] Y.J.Cho, B.E Rhoades, R. Saadati, B. Samet, W. Shatanawi, Nonlinear coupled fixed point theorems in ordered generalized metric spaces with integral type, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2012, 2012:8.
- [56] B. S. Choudhury, A. Kundu, A Coupled coincidence point result in partially ordered metric spaces for compatible mappings, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **73** (2010) 2524–2531.
- [57] B.S. Choudhury and P. Maity, Coupled fixed point results in generalized metric spaces, *Math. Comput. Modelling* **54** (2011) 73–79.
- [58] Lj.B. Ćirić, A Generalization of Banach's contraction principle, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **45(2)** (1974) 267–273.
- [59] Lj.Ćirić, R.P. Agarwal and B. Samet, Mixed monotone-generalized contractions in partially ordered probabilistic metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2011, 2011:56.
- [60] H.-S. Ding and E. Karapınar, A note on some coupled fixed point theorems on G -metric space, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2012, 2012:170
- [61] H. K. Nashine, Coupled common fixed point results in ordered G -metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sc. Appl.* **1**(2012),1–13.
- [62] S.H. Rasouli and M. Bahrampour, A remark on the coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone operators in partially ordered metric spaces, *TJMCS.* **3(2)** (2011) 246–261.
- [63] B. Samet, Coupled fixed point theorems for a generalized Meir-Keeler contraction in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* **74** (2010) 4508–4517.
- [64] W. Shatanawi, Coupled fixed point theorems in generalized metric spaces, *Hacettepe Journal Math. Stat.* **40(3)** (2011) 441–447.
- [65] W. Shatanawi, Fixed point theory for contractive mappings satisfying Φ -maps in G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* Vol 2010, Article ID 181650, 9 pages, 2010.
- [66] W. Shatanawi, Some fixed point theorems in ordered G -metric spaces and applications, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* Vol (2011) Article ID 126205.
- [67] W. Shatanawi, Coupled fixed point theorems in generalized metric spaces, *Hacettepe Journal Math. Stat.* **40(3)** (2011) 441–447.
- [68] W. Shatanawi, M. Abbas and T. Nazir, Common coupled coincidence and coupled fixed point results in two generalized metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2011, 2011:80.
- [69] N. Tahat, H. Aydi, E. Karapınar, W. Shatanawi, Common fixed points for single-valued and multi-valued maps satisfying a generalized contraction in G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2012, 2012:48
- [70] N. Bilgili, E. Karapınar, B. Samet, Generalized $\alpha - \psi$ contractive mappings in quasi-metric spaces and related fixed-point theorems, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2014, 2014:36
- [71] E. Karapınar, P. Kumam, P. Salimi, On α - ψ -Meir-Keeler contractive mappings, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2013, 2013:94.
- [72] M. Turinici, Abstract comparison principles and multivariable Gronwall-Bellman inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **117** (1986) 100–127.
- [73] A.C.M. Ran, M.C.B. Reurings, A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **132** (2003) 1435–1443.
- [74] J.J. Nieto, R. Rodríguez-López, Contractive Mapping Theorems in Partially Ordered Sets and Applications to Ordinary Differential Equations. Order. **22** (2005) 223–239.
- [75] R. P. Agarwal, E. Karapınar, A.-F. Roldan-Lopez-de-Hierro, Last remarks on G -metric spaces and related fixed point theorems, *RACSAM- Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas*, 2016, Volume 110, Issue 2, pp 433–456 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-015-0242-6>
- [76] C. Pacurar, O. Popescu, Fixed point theorem for generalized Chatterjea type mappings, *Acta Mathematica Hungarica* **173(2)**, 500–509.
- [77] C.M. Pacurar, O. Popescu, Fixed points for three point generalized orbital triangular contractions, *arXiv preprint* (2024) arXiv:2404.15682.
- [78] E. Petrov, Fixed point theorem for mappings contracting perimeters of triangles, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **25** (2023) 1–11.
- [79] E. Petrov, Periodic points of mappings contracting total pairwise distance, *arXiv preprint* (2024) arXiv:2402.02536.
- [80] E. Petrov, R. K. Bisht, Fixed point theorem for generalized Kannan type mappings, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series 2* (2024), 1–18.
- [81] O. Popescu, C.M. Pacurar, Mappings contracting triangles, *arXiv preprint* (2024) arXiv:2403.19488.