



## Common Fixed Point Theorems in $\mathfrak{M}$ -Fuzzy Cone Metric Spaces

Jeyaraman Mathuraiveeran<sup>a</sup>, Suganthi Mookiah<sup>b</sup>,

<sup>a</sup>PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Raja Doraisingam Government Arts College, Sivagangai 630561, Affiliated to Alagappa University, Karaikudi, India.

<sup>b</sup>Research Scholar, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Raja Doraisingam Government Arts College, Sivagangai 630561. Affiliated to Alagappa University, Karaikudi, India.  
Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College, Melur 625106

---

### Abstract

This work aims to generalize the Banach contraction theorem to  $\mathfrak{M}$ -fuzzy cone metric spaces. We construct generalized  $\mathfrak{M}$ -fuzzy cone contractive conditions for three self mappings with which they have a unique common fixed point.

*Keywords:* Fixed point, Cone, Triangular, Fuzzy contractive, Symmetric.  
*2010 MSC:* 54H25, 47H10.

---

### 1. Introduction

Fuzzy sets that handle uncertainties well was introduced by Zadeh [10]. Huang and Zhang [4] introduced cone and defined cone metric spaces as a generalization of metric spaces [1]. Tarkan Oner et al. [9] introduced fuzzy cone metric spaces that generalized fuzzy metric spaces [2]. These ideas motivated the researchers to come up with several new ideas as they act as a base for introducing new concepts and proving many more new results. The aim here is to construct and prove  $\mathfrak{M}$ -Fuzzy Cone Banach Contraction Theorem and some common fixed point theorems for three self mappings which satisfy generalized contractive conditions in  $\mathfrak{M}$ -Fuzzy Cone Metric Spaces and to provide an example to exhibit the same.

---

*Email addresses:* jeya.math@gmail.com (Jeyaraman Mathuraiveeran), vimalsugan@gmail.com (Suganthi Mookiah)

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 1.** [4] Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a real Banach space and  $\mathcal{C}$  be a subset of  $\mathfrak{B}$ .  $\mathcal{C}$  is called a cone if and only if:

- [C1]  $\mathcal{C}$  is nonempty, closed and  $\mathcal{C} \neq \{0\}$ ,
- [C2]  $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, \rho, \sigma \geq 0, c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{C}$  imply  $\rho c_1 + \sigma c_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ ,
- [C3]  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $-c \in \mathcal{C}$  imply  $c = 0$ .

The cones considered here are subsets of a real Banach space and are with nonempty interiors.

**Definition 2.** An  $\mathfrak{M}$ -Fuzzy Cone Metric Space (briefly,  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCM Space) is a 3-tuple  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{M}, *)$  where  $\mathcal{Z}$  is an arbitrary set,  $*$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm,  $\mathcal{C}$  is a cone and  $\mathfrak{M}$  a fuzzy set in  $\mathcal{Z}^3 \times \text{int}(\mathcal{C})$  satisfying the following conditions: For all  $\zeta, \eta, \omega, u \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $c, c' \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C})$ ,

- [MFC1]  $\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \eta, \omega, c) > 0$ ,
- [MFC2]  $\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \eta, \omega, c) = 1$  if and only if  $\zeta = \eta = \omega$ ,
- [MFC3]  $\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \eta, \omega, c) = \mathfrak{M}(p\{\zeta, \eta, \omega\}, c)$ , where  $p$  is a permutation,
- [MFC4]  $\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \eta, \omega, c + c') \geq \mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \eta, u, c) * \mathfrak{M}(u, \omega, \omega, c')$ ,
- [MFC5]  $\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \eta, \omega, \cdot) : \text{int}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous.

Then  $\mathfrak{M}$  is called an  $\mathfrak{M}$ -Fuzzy Cone Metric on  $\mathcal{Z}$ . The function  $\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \eta, \omega, c)$  denotes the degree of nearness between  $\zeta, \eta$  and  $\omega$  with respect to  $c$ .

**Example 3.** Let  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathbb{R}$  and consider the cone  $\mathcal{C} = [0, +\infty]$  in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Consider an increasing continuous function  $g : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and  $a, b > 0$ . Let the  $t$ -norm  $*$  be defined by  $\rho * \sigma = \rho\sigma$ . Define  $\mathfrak{M} : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \text{int}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \eta, \omega, c) = \left( \frac{(\min\{f(x), f(y), f(z)\})^a + \|g(c)\|}{(\max\{f(x), f(y), f(z)\})^a + \|g(c)\|} \right)^b$$

for all  $\zeta, \eta, \omega \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $c \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C})$ . Then  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{M}, *)$  is an  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCM Space.

**Definition 4.** A symmetric  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCM Space is an  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCM Space  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{M}, *)$  satisfying

$$\mathfrak{M}(\eta, \omega, \omega, c) = \mathfrak{M}(\omega, \eta, \eta, c), \text{ for all } \eta, \omega \in \mathcal{Z} \text{ and } c \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C}).$$

**Remark 5.** An  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCM Space is symmetric.

**Definition 6.** Let  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{M}, *)$  be an  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCM Space. A self mapping  $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  is said to be  $\mathfrak{M}$ -Fuzzy Cone Contractive (briefly,  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCC) if there exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}(\zeta), \mathcal{P}(\eta), \mathcal{P}(\omega), c)} - 1 \right) \leq k \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \eta, \omega, c)} - 1 \right),$$

for all  $\zeta, \eta, \omega \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $c \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Definition 7.** In an  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCM Space  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{M}, *)$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}$  is said to be triangular if, for all  $\zeta, \eta, \omega, u \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $c \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C})$ ,

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \eta, \omega, c)} - 1 \right) \leq \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \eta, u, c)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(u, \omega, \omega, c)} - 1 \right).$$

**Definition 8.** Let  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{M}, *)$  be an  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCM Space,  $\zeta' \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $\{\zeta_n\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

- (i)  $\{\zeta_n\}$  is said to converge to  $\zeta'$  if for all  $c \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_n, \zeta', \zeta', c)} - 1 \right) = 0$ . It is denoted by  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \zeta_n = \zeta'$  or by  $\zeta_n \rightarrow \zeta'$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .
- (ii)  $\{\zeta_n\}$  is said to be a Cauchy sequence if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{n+m}, \zeta_n, \zeta_n, c)} - 1 \right) = 0$ , for all  $c \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (iii)  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{M}, *)$  is called a complete  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCM space if every Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{Z}$  converges.

**Definition 9.** Let  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{M}, *)$  be an  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCM Space. A sequence  $\{\zeta_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{Z}$  is  $\mathfrak{M}$ -Fuzzy Cone Contractive if there exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+1}, c)} - 1 \right) \leq k \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{n-1}, \zeta_n, \zeta_n, c)} - 1 \right), \text{ for all } c \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C}).$$

### 3. Main Results

Let us first state and prove the  $\mathfrak{M}$ -fuzzy cone Banach contraction theorem in a complete  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCM Space.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{M}, *)$  be a complete  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCM Space in which  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCC sequences are Cauchy. Let  $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  be an  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCC mapping. Then  $\mathcal{P}$  has a unique fixed point.*

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta_0 \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $c \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C})$ . Define a sequence  $\{\zeta_n\}$  by

$$\zeta_n = \mathcal{P}^n \zeta_0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCC, we have

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta, \mathcal{P}^2\zeta, \mathcal{P}^2\zeta, c)} - 1 \right) \leq k \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \mathcal{P}\zeta, \mathcal{P}\zeta, c)} - 1 \right),$$

for all  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  and for some  $k \in (0, 1)$ . This gives

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+2}, \zeta_{n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) \leq k \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+1}, c)} - 1 \right).$$

This makes  $\{\zeta_n\}$  an  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCC sequence and by assumption  $\zeta_n \rightarrow \zeta$  for some  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ .

Now,

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta_n, \mathcal{P}\zeta, \mathcal{P}\zeta, c)} - 1 \right) \leq k \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_n, \zeta, \zeta, c)} - 1 \right).$$

As  $k < 1$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta_n, \mathcal{P}\zeta, \mathcal{P}\zeta, c)} - 1 \right) = 0.$$

That is,

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \mathcal{P}\zeta, \mathcal{P}\zeta, c)} - 1 \right) = 0, \text{ and which gives}$$

$$\mathcal{P}\zeta = \zeta.$$

Suppose  $\mathcal{P}\eta = \eta$ , for some  $\eta \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \zeta, \eta, c)} - 1\right) &= \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta, \mathcal{P}\zeta, \mathcal{P}\eta, c)} - 1\right) \\ &\leq k \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \zeta, \eta, c)} - 1\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta, \mathcal{P}\zeta, \mathcal{P}\eta, c)} - 1\right) \\ &\leq k^2 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \zeta, \eta, c)} - 1\right) \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ &\leq k^n \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \zeta, \eta, c)} - 1\right) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\zeta = \eta$ . ■

The following theorem considers three self mappings and proves the existence of their unique fixed point under a generalized contractive condition in a complete  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCM Space.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{M}, *)$  be a complete  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCM Space where  $\mathfrak{M}$  is triangular. If  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  is such that for all  $\zeta, \eta, \omega \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $c \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C})$ ,*

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta, \mathcal{Q}\eta, \mathcal{R}\omega, c)} - 1\right) \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} k_1 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \eta, \omega, c)} - 1\right) + k_2 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \eta, \mathcal{R}\omega, c)} - 1\right) \\ + k_3 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \mathcal{Q}\eta, \omega, c)} - 1\right) + k_4 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta, \eta, \omega, c)} - 1\right) \end{array} \right\} \tag{2.1}$$

where  $k_i \in [0, +\infty], i = 1, \dots, 4$  and  $k_1 + 2(k_2 + k_3) + k_4 < 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  have a unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta_0 \in \mathcal{Z}$  be arbitrary. Let the sequence  $\{\zeta_n\}$  be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{3n+1} &= \mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n}, \\ \zeta_{3n+2} &= \mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, \text{ and,} \\ \zeta_{3n+3} &= \mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2} \text{ for } n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1\right) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n}, \mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1\right) \\ &\leq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} k_1 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1\right) + k_2 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1\right) \\ + k_3 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1\right) + k_4 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1\right) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} k_1 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1\right) + k_2 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1\right) \\ + k_3 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1\right) + k_4 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1\right) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} k_1 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1\right) \\ + k_2 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1\right) + k_3 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1\right) \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left\{ \begin{aligned} &k_1 \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &+ k_2 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) \right] \\ &+ k_3 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{aligned} &(k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &+ (k_2 + k_3) \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) \end{aligned} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) \leq \frac{k_1 + k_2 + k_3}{1 - (k_2 + k_3)} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1 \right). \tag{2.2}$$

Again, from (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) \leq \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq \left\{ \begin{aligned} &k_1 \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) + k_2 \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &+ k_3 \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) + k_4 \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{aligned} &k_1 \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) + k_2 \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &+ k_3 \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) + k_4 \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &\leq \left\{ \begin{aligned} &k_1 \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &+ k_2 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) \right] \\ &+ k_3 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{aligned} &(k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &+ (k_2 + k_3) \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) \end{aligned} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives,

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) \leq \frac{k_1 + k_2 + k_3}{1 - (k_2 + k_3)} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right). \tag{2.3}$$

Again, using (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+4}, \zeta_{3n+4}, c)} - 1 \right) \leq \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n+3}, \mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq \left\{ \begin{aligned} &k_1 \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) + k_2 \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &+ k_3 \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) + k_4 \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{aligned} &k_1 \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &+ k_2 \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+4}, c)} - 1 \right) + k_3 \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+4}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &\leq \left\{ \begin{aligned} &k_1 \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &+ k_2 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+4}, \zeta_{3n+4}, c)} - 1 \right) \right] \\ &+ k_3 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+4}, \zeta_{3n+4}, c)} - 1 \right) \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{aligned} &(k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &+ (k_2 + k_3) \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+4}, \zeta_{3n+4}, c)} - 1 \right) \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

This gives,

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+4}, \zeta_{3n+4}, c)} - 1 \right) \leq \frac{k_1 + k_2 + k_3}{1 - (k_2 + k_3)} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right). \tag{2.4}$$

Put  $\mathfrak{M}_n = \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+1}, c)} - 1 \right)$  and  $k = \frac{k_1+k_2+k_3}{1-(k_2+k_3)}$ . Then from (2.2) to (2.4) we have the following inequalities:

For  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}_{3n+1} &\leq k\mathfrak{M}_{3n}, \\ \mathfrak{M}_{3n+2} &\leq k\mathfrak{M}_{3n+1}, \text{ and,} \\ \mathfrak{M}_{3n+3} &\leq k\mathfrak{M}_{3n+2}. \end{aligned}$$

These inequalities together gives that

$$\mathfrak{M}_{n+1} \leq k\mathfrak{M}_n \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \tag{2.5}$$

which makes  $\{\zeta_n\}$  an  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCC sequence.

Now,  $\mathfrak{M}$  is triangular and the space  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{M}, *)$  is symmetric. Therefore we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_m, c)} - 1 \right) &\leq \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_n, \zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1}, c)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{n+1}, \zeta_m, \zeta_m, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+1}, c)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+1}, \zeta_m, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+1}, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &+ \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{n+2}, \zeta_m, \zeta_m, c)} - 1 \right) \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &\leq \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+1}, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &+ \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+2}, \zeta_{n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) + \dots + \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{m-1}, \zeta_m, \zeta_m, c)} - 1 \right) \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &= \mathfrak{M}_n + \mathfrak{M}_{n+1} + \dots + \mathfrak{M}_{m-1} \\ &\leq k^n \mathfrak{M}_0 + k^{n+1} \mathfrak{M}_0 + \dots + k^{m-1} \mathfrak{M}_0 \\ &\leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} \mathfrak{M}_0 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\{\zeta_n\}$  is Cauchy. As  $\mathcal{Z}$  is complete, there exists  $\dot{\zeta} \in \mathcal{Z}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_n, \dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1 \right) = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is triangular,

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1 \right) \leq \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1 \right). \tag{2.7}$$

From (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \\ &\leq \left\{ \begin{aligned} &k_1 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) + k_2 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \\ &+ k_3 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) + k_4 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, \dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{aligned} &k_1 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) + k_2 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \\ &+ k_3 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) + k_4 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &\rightarrow (k_2 + k_3) \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \leq (k_2 + k_3) \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right). \tag{2.8}$$

From (2.7) and (2.8), we have that

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \leq (k_2 + k_3) \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right).$$

Since  $k_2 + k_3 < 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) &= 0, \quad \text{and this gives} \\ \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta} &= \dot{\zeta}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is triangular,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right). \tag{2.9}$$

From (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) &= \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \\ &\leq \left\{ \begin{aligned} &k_1 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) + k_2 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \\ &+ k_3 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) + k_4 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2}, \dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{aligned} &k_1 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) + k_2 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \\ &+ k_3 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) + k_4 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &\rightarrow (k_2 + k_3) \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \leq (k_2 + k_3) \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right). \tag{2.10}$$

From (2.9) and (2.10), we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \leq (k_2 + k_3) \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right).$$

Since  $k_2 + k_3 < 1$ , we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) = 0, \quad \text{and this gives}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta} = \dot{\zeta}$$

Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is triangular,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right). \tag{2.11}$$

From (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) &= \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \\ &\leq \left\{ \begin{aligned} &k_1 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) + k_2 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \\ &+ k_3 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) + k_4 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n}, \dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{aligned} &k_1 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) + k_2 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \\ &+ k_3 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) + k_4 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &\rightarrow (k_2 + k_3) \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \leq (k_2 + k_3) \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right). \tag{2.12}$$

From (2.11) and (2.12), we have that

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \leq (k_2 + k_3) \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right).$$

Since  $k_2 + k_3 < 1$ , we have  $\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) = 0$ , and this gives

$$\mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta} = \dot{\zeta}.$$

Thus we have shown that

$$\mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta} = \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta} = \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta} = \dot{\zeta}.$$



Suppose  $\mathcal{P}\ddot{\zeta} = \mathcal{Q}\ddot{\zeta} = \mathcal{R}\ddot{\zeta} = \ddot{\zeta}$ . Then from (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) &= \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\ddot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\ddot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \\ &\leq \left\{ \begin{aligned} &k_1 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) + k_2 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\ddot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \\ &+ k_3 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) + k_4 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{aligned} &k_1 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) + k_2 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \\ &+ k_3 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) + k_4 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \end{aligned} \right\} \\ &= (k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4) \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) \leq (k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4) \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right)$ .

Therefore,  $\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, c)} - 1\right) = 0$ , since  $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 < 1$ .

Hence we can conclude that  $\dot{\zeta}$  is the unique common fixed point of  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$ . ■

**Corollary 3.** Let  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{M}, *)$  be a complete  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCM Space where  $\mathfrak{M}$  is triangular. If  $\mathcal{P} : X \rightarrow X$  is such that for all  $\zeta, \eta, \omega \in X$  and  $c \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C})$ ,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta, \mathcal{P}\eta, \mathcal{P}\omega, c)} - 1\right) \leq \left\{ \begin{aligned} &k_1 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \eta, \omega, c)} - 1\right) + k_2 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \eta, \mathcal{P}\omega, c)} - 1\right) \\ &+ k_3 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \mathcal{P}\eta, \omega, c)} - 1\right) + k_4 \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta, \eta, \omega, c)} - 1\right) \end{aligned} \right\},$$

where  $k_i \in [0, +\infty], i = 1, \dots, 4$  and  $k_1 + 2(k_2 + k_3) + k_4 < 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}$  has unique fixed point.

**Corollary 4.** Theorem 2 gives Theorem 1 when  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{R}$  and  $k_2 = k_3 = k_4 = 0$ .

where  $\mathcal{C} =$  and a continuous  $t$ -norm  $*$ .

**Example 5.** Consider  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{M}, *)$  in which  $\mathfrak{M} : \mathcal{Z}^3 \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \eta, \omega, c) = \frac{\|c\|}{\|c\| + (|\zeta - \eta| + |\eta - \omega| + |\omega - \zeta|)} \text{ for all } \zeta, \eta, \omega \in \mathcal{Z} \text{ and } c \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C})$$

where  $\mathcal{Z} = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then it is clear that  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{M}, *)$  is a complete  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCM Space and that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is triangular. Consider the self mappings  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  from  $\mathcal{Z}$  to  $\mathcal{Z}$ , given by  $\mathcal{P}(1) = 1, \mathcal{P}(2) = 2, \mathcal{P}(3) = 1, \mathcal{Q}(1) = 1, \mathcal{Q}(2) = 2, \mathcal{Q}(3) = 2, \mathcal{R}(1) = 3, \mathcal{R}(2) = 2$  and  $\mathcal{R}(3) = 2$ . Then each one of  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  is not  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCC and it is not possible for the  $\mathfrak{M}$ -fuzzy cone Banach contraction theorem to assure the existence of their respective fixed points. But  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  together satisfies the condition (2.1) with  $k_1 = \frac{1}{10}, k_2 = \frac{1}{25}, k_3 = \frac{1}{25}$  and  $k_4 = \frac{3}{5}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  have a unique common fixed point which is 2.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{M}, *)$  be a complete  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCM Space where  $\mathfrak{M}$  is triangular. If  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{R} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  is such that for all  $\zeta, \eta, \omega \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $c \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C})$ ,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta, \mathcal{Q}\eta, \mathcal{R}\omega, c)} - 1\right) \leq k \left(\frac{1}{\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega)} - 1\right), \tag{6.1}$$

where  $\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega) = \min\{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \mathcal{Q}\eta, \mathcal{R}\omega, c), \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta, \eta, \mathcal{R}\omega, c), \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta, \mathcal{Q}\eta, \omega, c)\}$  and  $k \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  have unique common fixed point.

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta_0 \in \mathcal{Z}$  be arbitrary. Define the sequence  $\{\zeta_n\}$  as in Theorem (2).

From (6.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) &= \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n}, \mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq k \left( \frac{1}{\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega)} - 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where,  $\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega) = \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, c), \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, c), \\ \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n}, \mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, c) \end{array} \right\}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c), \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c), \\ \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+1}, c) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c), \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Case(i)**  $\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega) = \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) &\leq k \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq k \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n}, c)} - 1 \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) \leq \frac{k}{1-k} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1 \right).$$

**Case(ii)**  $\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega) = \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) &\leq k \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right), \text{ and, this gives} \\ \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) &= 0, \text{ which is absurd.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) \leq \frac{k}{1-k} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1 \right). \tag{6.2}$$

From (6.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) &= \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq k \left( \frac{1}{\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega)} - 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where,  $\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega) = \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2}, c), \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2}, c), \\ \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c) \end{array} \right\}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c), \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c), \\ \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c), \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Case(i)**  $\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega) = \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) &\leq k \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq k \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, c)} - 1 \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) \leq \frac{k}{1-k} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right).$$

**Case(ii)**  $\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega) = \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) &\leq k \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right), \text{ and, this gives} \\ \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) &= 0, \text{ which is absurd.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) \leq \frac{k}{1-k} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right). \tag{6.3}$$

Again, from (6.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+4}, \zeta_{3n+4}, c)} - 1 \right) &= \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n+3}, \mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq k \left( \frac{1}{\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega)} - 1 \right), \\ \text{where, } \Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega) &= \min \left\{ \frac{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n+3}, \mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n+3}, c), \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n+3}, c),}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)}, \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \frac{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+4}, \zeta_{3n+4}, c), \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+4}, c),}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+4}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)}, \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+4}, \zeta_{3n+4}, c), \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+4}, c) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Case(i)**  $\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega) = \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+4}, \zeta_{3n+4}, c)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+4}, \zeta_{3n+4}, c)} - 1 \right) &\leq k \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+4}, \zeta_{3n+4}, c)} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq k \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+4}, \zeta_{3n+4}, \zeta_{3n+3}, c)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+4}, \zeta_{3n+4}, c)} - 1 \right) \leq \frac{k}{1-k} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right).$$

**Case(ii)**  $\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega) = \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+4}, c)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+4}, \zeta_{3n+4}, c)} - 1 \right) &\leq k \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+4}, c)} - 1 \right), \text{ and, this gives} \\ \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+4}, \zeta_{3n+4}, c)} - 1 \right) &= 0, \text{ which is absurd.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+4}, \zeta_{3n+4}, c)} - 1 \right) \leq \frac{k}{1-k} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \zeta_{3n+3}, \zeta_{3n+2}, c)} - 1 \right). \tag{6.4}$$

From (6.2), (6.3) and (6.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+2}, \zeta_{n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) &\leq \frac{k}{1-k} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+1}, c)} - 1 \right), \text{ and, this gives,} \\ \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+2}, \zeta_{n+2}, c)} - 1 \right) &\leq \left( \frac{k}{1-k} \right)^n \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_1, c)} - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The above two inequalities imply that  $\{\zeta_n\}$  is  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCC and Cauchy. Therefore there is an element  $\dot{\zeta} \in \mathcal{Z}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_n, \dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1 \right) = 0. \tag{6.5}$$

Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is triangular,

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1 \right) \leq \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \zeta_{3n+2}, t)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1 \right). \tag{6.6}$$

From (6.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1 \right) &= \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq k \left( \frac{1}{\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega)} - 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where, } \Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega) &= \min \{ \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, t), \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, t), \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{Q}\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, t), \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, t), \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, t) \} \\ &\rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, t) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1 \right) \leq k \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1 \right). \tag{6.7}$$

From (6.5), (6.6) and (6.7), we have that

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1 \right) \leq k \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1 \right). \tag{6.8}$$

Therefore,

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1 \right) = 0$$

This gives  $\mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta} = \dot{\zeta}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is triangular,

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1 \right) \leq \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \zeta_{3n+3}, t)} - 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1 \right). \tag{6.9}$$

From (6.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1 \right) &= \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1 \right) \\ &\leq k \left( \frac{1}{\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega)} - 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where, } \Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega) &= \min \{ \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, t), \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, t), \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{R}\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, t) \} \\ &= \min \{ \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+2}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, t), \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, t), \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, t) \} \\ &\rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, t) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+3}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1 \right) \leq k \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1 \right). \tag{6.10}$$

From (6.5), (6.9) and (6.10), we have that

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1\right) \leq k \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1\right).$$

Therefore,  $\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1\right) = 0$ , and this gives,

$$\mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta} = \dot{\zeta}. \tag{6.11}$$

Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is triangular,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1\right) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \zeta_{3n+1}, t)} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1\right). \tag{6.12}$$

From (6.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1\right) &= \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1\right) \\ &\leq k \left(\frac{1}{\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega)} - 1\right), \end{aligned}$$

where,  $\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega) = \min \{ \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, t), \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, t), \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta_{3n}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, t) \}$   
 $= \min \{ \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, t), \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, t), \mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, t) \}$   
 $\rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, t)$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta_{3n+1}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1\right) \leq k \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1\right). \tag{6.13}$$

From (6.5), (6.12) and (6.13), we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1\right) \leq k \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1\right).$$

Therefore,  $\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta}, t)} - 1\right) = 0$ , and this gives

$$\mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta} = \dot{\zeta}. \tag{6.14}$$

From (6.8), (6.11) and (6.14), we get  $\mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta} = \mathcal{Q}\dot{\zeta} = \mathcal{R}\dot{\zeta} = \dot{\zeta}$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{P}\ddot{\zeta} = \mathcal{Q}\ddot{\zeta} = \mathcal{R}\ddot{\zeta} = \ddot{\zeta}$ . Then from (6.1),

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, t)} - 1\right) = \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\ddot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\ddot{\zeta}, t)} - 1\right) \leq k \left(\frac{1}{\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega)} - 1\right),$$

where,  $\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega) = \min \{ \mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\ddot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\ddot{\zeta}, t), \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \mathcal{R}\ddot{\zeta}, t), \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\dot{\zeta}, \mathcal{Q}\ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, t) \}$   
 $= \min \{ \mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, t), \mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, t), \mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, t) \}$   
 $= \mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, t).$

Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, t)} - 1\right) \leq k \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, t)} - 1\right).$$

Hence,  $\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\dot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, \ddot{\zeta}, t)} - 1\right) = 0$ , and this gives,

$$\dot{\zeta} = \ddot{\zeta}.$$

Hence we can conclude that  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  have a unique common fixed point. ■

**Example 7.** Consider the  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCM Space given in Example (5) with  $\mathcal{Z} = [0, +\infty]$  and the self mappings  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  from  $\mathcal{Z}$  to  $\mathcal{Z}$ , given by  $\mathcal{P}\zeta = \frac{2}{3}\zeta + 1$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}\eta = \frac{1}{3}\eta + 2$ , and  $\mathcal{R}\omega = 3$ . It is easily seen that condition (6.1) holds and therefore  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  have a unique common fixed point and it is 3.

**Corollary 8.** Let  $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{M}, *)$  be a complete  $\mathfrak{M}$ -FCM Space where  $\mathfrak{M}$  is triangular. If  $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  is such that for all  $\zeta, \eta, \omega \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $c \in \text{int}(\mathcal{C})$ ,

$$\left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta, \mathcal{P}\eta, \mathcal{P}\omega, c)} - 1 \right) \leq k \left( \frac{1}{\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega)} - 1 \right)$$

where  $\Psi(\zeta, \eta, \omega) = \min\{\mathfrak{M}(\zeta, \mathcal{P}\eta, \mathcal{P}\omega, c), \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta, \eta, \mathcal{P}\omega, c), \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{P}\zeta, \mathcal{P}\eta, z, c)\}$  and  $k \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}$  has a unique fixed point.

### Conclusion:

We constructed  $\mathfrak{M}$ -fuzzy cone Banach contraction theorem and theorems which assure the common fixed points for three self mappings under generalized fuzzy contractive conditions in  $\mathfrak{M}$ -fuzzy cone metric spaces. This work can be either extended or generalized to various kinds of other spaces.

### References

- [1] M. Fréchet, Sur quelques points de calcul fonctionnel, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 22(1906), 1–74.
- [2] A. George and P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 64(1994), 395–399.
- [3] V. Gregori, A. Sapena, On fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 125(2002), 245–252.
- [4] L. Huang, X. Zhang, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 332(2007), 1468–1476.
- [5] O. Kaleva, and S. Seikkala, On fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 12 (1984) 215–229.
- [6] O. Kramosil, J. and Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika 11 (1975) 326–334.
- [7] Saif U. Rehman et.al., Common fixed point theorems for a pair of self mappings in fuzzy cone metric spaces, Abs. Appl. Analysis, 2019(2841606)(2019), 10 pages.
- [8] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, Fixed point theorem in M-fuzzy metric spaces with property (E), Adv. Fuzzy Math., 1(1)(2006), 55–65.
- [9] Tarkan Oner et. al., Fuzzy cone metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 8(2015), 610-616.
- [10] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform. and Control, 8(1965), 338–353.