Results in Nonlinear Analysis 7 (2024) No. 4, 64–69 https://doi.org/10.31838/rna/2024.07.04.008 Available online at www.nonlinear-analysis.com

Graded 2*r*-Ideals

Alaa Melhem 1 , Rashid Abu-Dawwas 2 , Diala Alghazo 3

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Jadara University, Irbid, Jordan; ^{2,3}Department of Mathematics, Yarmouk University, *Irbid 21163, Jordan.*

Let *G* be a group and *R* be a commutative *G*-graded ring with nonzero unity. In this article, we establish the concept of graded 2*r*-ideals, which lies somewhere between graded *r*-ideals and graded uniformly *pr*-ideals. A proper graded ideal *P* of *R* is said to be a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R* if whenever $x, y \in h(R)$ such that $xy \in P$, then either $x^2 \in P$ or $y \in zd(R)$, where $zd(R)$ is the set of all zero divisors of *R*. Several properties of graded 2*r*-ideals have been achieved, and various results have been investigated.

Key words and phrases: graded *r*-ideal, graded *pr*-ideal, graded uniformly *pr*-ideal *Mathematics Subject Classification 2020:* Primary 13A02; Secondary 13A15.

1. Introduction

Let *G* be a group and *R* be a commutative ring with nonzero unity 1. Then *R* is called *G*-graded if $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ with $R_g R_h \subseteq R_{gh}$ for all $g, h \in G$, where R_g is an additive subgroup of *R* for all $g \in G$. The elements of $R_{_g}$ are called homogeneous of degree *g*. If $a \in R$, then a can be written uniquely as $a = \sum a_{_g}$, *g G* Î where a_g is the component of *a* in R_g and $a_g = 0$ except for finitely many. The additive subgroup R_e is in fact a subring of R and $1 \in R_{_e}$. The set of all homogeneous elements of R is $\bigcup\limits_{g \in G}$ $R_{\scriptscriptstyle g}$ $\bigcup_{g \in G} R_g$ and is denoted by *h*(*R*). let *I* be an ideal of a *G*-graded ring *R*. Then *I* is called a graded ideal if $I = \bigoplus_{g \in G} (I \cap R_g)$, i.e., for $a \in I$, $a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g$, where $a_g \in I$, for all $g \in G$. An ideal of a graded ring is not necessarily a graded ideal. For more terminology, see [6, 7]. let *I* be a proper graded ideal of *R*. Then the graded radical of *I* is

Email addresses: a.melhem@jadara.edu.jo (Alaa Melhem)*; rrashid@yu.edu.jo (Rashid Abu-Dawwas); dialaalghazo73@ gmail.com (Diala Alghazo)

Grad(*I*), and is defined to be the set of all $r \in R$ such that for each $g \in G$, there exists a positive integer n_g satisfies $r_g^{n_g} \in I$. One can see that $Grad(I)$ is a graded ideal of *R*. Also, if $r \in h(R)$, then $r \in Grad(I)$ if and only if $r^n \in I$, for some positive integer *n*.

A proper graded ideal P of R is said to be graded prime if whenever $x, y \in h(R)$ such that $xy \in P$, then $x \in P$ or $y \in P$ [9]. A proper graded ideal P of R is called a graded r-ideal (graded pr-ideal) if $x, y \in h(R)$ such that $xy \in P$, then $x \in P$ or $y \in zd(R)$ ($x \in Grad(P)$ or $y \in zd(R)$), where $zd(R)$ is the set of all zero divisors of *R* [2]. Then in [3], a special class of graded *pr*-ideals that fixing the power of *x* in the above definition was introduced and examined. A proper graded ideal *P* of *R* is called a graded uniformly *pr*-ideal if there exists a positive integer *n* such that whenever $x, y \in h(R)$ with $xy \in P$, we have $x^n \in P$ or $y \in zd(R)$. The order of P is the smallest positive integer for which the aforementioned property holds. The next two examples show that a graded *r*-ideal is not necessarily graded prime:

Example 1.1. Let R be a graded ring. Then $I = \{0\}$ is a graded r-ideal of R: let $x, y \in h(R)$ such that $xy \in I$ and $y \notin zd(R)$. Then $xy = 0$, and then $x \in Ann(y) = {r \in R : ry = 0} = {0}$ as $y \notin zd(R)$, which *implies that* $x = 0 \in I$. On the other hand, I is not necessarily a graded prime ideal of R.

Example 1.2. Let R be a graded ring and $0 \neq x \in h(R)$. Then Ann(*x*) is a graded r-ideal of R: Ann(*x*) *is a graded ideal of R by* ([3], Lemma 2.15). Let $a, b \in h(R)$ such that $ab \in Ann(x)$ and $b \notin \mathcal{Zd}(R)$. Then $abx = 0$, and then $ax \in Ann(b) = \{0\}$, which implies that $ax = 0$, and hence $a \in Ann(x)$. On the other *hand, Ann*(*x*) *is not necessarily a graded prime ideal of R*.

In this article, we follow [4] to establish the concept of graded 2*r*-ideals, which lies somewhere between graded *r*-ideals and graded uniformly *pr*-ideals. A proper graded ideal *P* of *R* is said to be a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R* if whenever $x, y \in h(R)$ such that $xy \in P$, then either $x^2 \in P$ or $y \in zd(R)$. Several properties of graded 2*r*-ideals have been achieved, and various results have been investigated.

2. Graded 2*r***-Ideals**

In this section, we introduce and examine the concept of graded 2*r*-ideals.

Definition 2.1. *Let R be a graded ring. Then a proper graded ideal P of R is said to be a graded* 2*r*-*ideal of R if whenever* $x, y \in h(R)$ *such that* $xy \in P$ *, then either* $x^2 \in P$ *or* $y \in zd(R)$ *.*

Clearly, graded *r*-ideals are graded 2*r*-ideals. However, the next example shows that a graded 2*r*-ideal is not necessarily a graded *r*-ideal:

Example 2.2. Consider $R = K[x,y]$, where K is a field, and $G = \mathbb{Z}$. Then R is G-graded by $R_n = \bigoplus\limits_{i+j=n, i, j \geq 0} Kx^iy^j$, *for all n* $\in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$, and $R_n = 0$, *otherwise. Consider the graded ideal* $I = \langle xy \rangle$ *of R. Then RII is a G*-graded ring by $(R/I)_n = (R_n + I) / I$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. *Consider the graded prime ideals* $P = \langle x + I \rangle$ and $Q = \langle y + I \rangle$ of R/I. We show that $zd(R/I) = P \cup Q$. Let $f + I \in zd(R/I)$. Then there exists $g + I \in R/I$ such that $g + I \neq 0 + I$ and $(f + I)(g + I) = 0 + I$, and then $fg \in I$ with $g \notin I$. So, $fg = xyh$, for some $h \in R$, *and then x divides fg and y divides fg*, *which implies that x divides f or x divides g*, *and y divides f or y* divides g. If x divides g and y divides g, then xy divides g, and then $g \in I$, which is a contradiction. *So, x divides f or y divides f, which implies that* $f + I \in P \cup Q$. *Thus,* $zd(R) \subset P \cup Q$ *. Let* $f + I \in P \cup Q$. Then $f+I\in P$ or $f+I\in Q$. If $f+I\in P$, then $f+I=(x+I)(h+I)=xh+I$, for some $h\in R$, and then $f - xh \in I$ which implies that $f - xh = xyt$, for some $t \in R$, and then $f = xh + xyt =$, so $yf = xy(h + yt) \in I$, *and thus* $(y+I)(f+I) = yf + I = 0 + I$ *with* $y+I \neq 0+I$ *as* $y \notin I$, *which means that* $f+I \in zd(R)$. *Similarly, if* $f + I \in Q$ *, then* $f + I \in zd(R)$ *. Hence,* $zd(R/I) = P \cup Q$ *. Now, we show that* P^2 *is a graded* 2r-ideal of R/I. Let $f+I$, $g+I \in h(R/I)$ such that $(f+I)(g+I) \in P^2$. Assume that $g+I \notin zd(R/I)$. Then $g + I \notin P$. *Since* $(f + I)(g + I) \in P^2 \subseteq P$ and P is graded prime, we have $f + I \in P$, which implies that $(f+I)^2 \in P^2$. Hence, P^2 *is a graded 2r-ideal of R/I. On the other hand,* P^2 *is not a graded r-ideal of R/I* since $x + I$, $x + y + I \in h(R/I)$ such that $(x + I)(x + y + I) = x^2 + xy + I = x^2 + I = (x + I)^2 \in P^2$, $x + I \notin P^2$ *and* $x + y + I \notin zd(R/I)$.

Even though the next result is an immediate consequence of the definition of graded 2*r*-ideals, it is an important fact since it emphasizes that the components of the graded 2*r*-ideals are entirely consisting of zero divisors.

Proposition 2.3. Let R be a graded ring and P be a graded 2r-ideal of R. Then $P_g \subseteq zd(R)$, for all $g \in G$.

Proof. Let $g \in G$ and $x \in P_g$. Then $1, x \in h(R)$ such that $1.x = x \in P$, and then since *P* is a graded 2*r*-ideal and $1^2 = 1 \notin P$, we have that $x \in zd(R)$. Hence, $P_g \subseteq zd(R)$.

For a graded ideal *P* of *R* and $a \in h(R)$, $(P : a) = \{r \in R : ra \in P\}$ is a graded ideal of *R* containing *P* ([3], Lemma 2.15).

Proposition 2.4. *Let R be a graded ring and P be a graded 2r-ideal of R. Then for every* $a \in h(R)$ *, either* $a^2 \in P$ *or* $((P : a))_g \subseteq zd(R)$, for all $g \in G$.

Proof. Let $a \in h(R)$ such that $a^2 \notin P$. Assume that $g \in G$ and $b \in ((P : a))_g$. Then $a, b \in h(R)$ such that $ab \in P$, and then since *P* is a graded 2*r*-ideal, we have that $b \in zd(R)$. Hence, $((P : a))_g \subseteq zd(R)$.

Theorem 2.5. Let *R* be a graded ring.

- (1) If *P* is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*, *then Grad*(*P*) is a graded *r*-ideal of *R*.
- (2) If *P* and *Q* are graded 2*r*-ideals of *R*, then $P \cap Q$ is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*.
- (3) If *P* and *Q* are graded *r*-ideals of *R*, then *PQ* is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*.
- (4) Let *P* and *Q* be proper graded ideals of *R* such that $P + Q = R$. If *PQ* is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*, then *P* and *Q* are graded 2*r*-ideals of *R*.
- (5) Let *P* and *Q* be proper graded ideals of *R* such that $P + Q = R$. If $P \cap Q$ is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*, then *P* and *Q* are graded 2*r*-ideals of *R*.
- (6) Every maximal graded 2*r*-ideal of *R* is a graded prime ideal of *R*.

Proof. (1) Let $x, y \in h(R)$ such that $xy \in Grad(P)$. Then $x^n y^n = (xy)^n \in P$, for some positive integer *n*, and then either $(x^n)^2 \in P$ or $y^n \in zd(R)$ as *P* is a graded 2*r*-ideal. If $(x^n)^2 \in P$, then $x^{2n} \in P$, which implies that $x \in Grad(P)$. If $y^n \in zd(R)$, then $y \in zd(R)$. Hence, $Grad(P)$ is a graded *r*-ideal of *R*.

- (2) Let $x, y \in h(R)$ such that $xy \in P \cap Q$ and $y \notin zd(R)$. Then $xy \in P$ and $xy \in Q$, and then $x^2 \in P$ and $x^2 \in Q$, which implies that $x^2 \in P \cap Q$. Hence, $P \cap Q$ is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*.
- (3) Let $x, y \in h(R)$ such that $xy \in PQ$ and $y \notin zd(R)$. Then $xy \in P$ and $xy \in Q$, and then $x \in P$ and $x \in Q$, which implies that $x^2 = x.x \in PQ$. Hence, PQ is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*.
- (4) Since $P + Q = R$, $1 = x + y$, for some $x \in P$ and $y \in Q$, and then as $1 \in R_{\rho}$, $1 = 1 = (x + y) = x_{\rho} + y_{\rho}$. Note that, as *P* and *Q* are graded ideals, $x_e \in P$ and $y_e \in Q$. Let $a, b \in h(R)$ such that $ab \in P$ and $b \notin zd(R)$. Then $ay_e b \in PQ$, and then $a^2 y_e^2 \in PQ \subseteq P$, which implies that $a^2 = a^2 \cdot 1 = a^2 (x_a + y_a)^2 = a^2 x_a^2 + 2a^2 x_a y_a + a^2 y_a^2 \in P$. Hence, *P* is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*. Similarly, *Q* is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*.
- (5) Since $P + Q = R$, $P \cap Q = PQ$, and then the result holds from (4).
- (6) Let *P* be a maximal graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*. Assume that $a, b \in h(R)$ such that $ab \in P$ and $a \notin P$. Then *Grad*(*P*) is a graded *r*-ideal of *R* by (1), and then *Grad*(*P*) is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*, and so by maximality of *P*, $P = Grad(P)$ is a graded *r*-ideal of *R*, which implies that $(P : a)$ is a graded *r*-ideal of *R*, and then again by the maximality of *P*, $P = (P : a)$, and thus $b \in (P : a) = P$. Hence, *P* is a graded prime ideal of *R*.

Clearly, if *P* is a graded prime ideal of *R* with $P \bigcap h(R) \subseteq zd(R)$, then *P* is a graded *r*-ideal of *R*, and so *P* is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*. In the next result, we discuss the case when the graded 2*r*-ideals of *R* are all graded prime. Recall that a commutative graded ring *R* with unity is said to be a graded domain if *R* has no homogeneous zero divisors. Obviously, if *R* is a domain and *R* is graded, then *R* is a graded domain. However, a graded domain is not necessarily domain ([1], Example 2.4). The next proposition shows that the graded 2*r* ideals of *R* are all graded prime if and only if *R* is a graded domain.

Proposition 2.6. *Let R be a graded ring. Then the followings statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every graded* 2*r*-*ideal of R is a graded prime ideal of R*.
- (2) *R is a graded domain.*
- (3) {0} *is the only graded* 2*r*-*ideal of R*.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Since {0} is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*, {0} is a graded prime ideal of *R*, and then *R* is a graded domain.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let *P* be a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R* and $a \in P$. Then for any $g \in G$ $a_g \in P_g \subseteq zd(R) = \{0\}$ by Proposition 2.3. So, $a_g = 0$, for all $g \in G$, which implies that $a = 0$. Hence, $P = \{0\}$.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$: Let $x \in \mathring{h(R)} - \{0\}$. Then $Ann(x)$ is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*, and then $Ann(x) = \{0\}$. Thus, *x* is a regular element, and hence *R* is a graded domain. So, {0} is a graded prime ideal of *R* which is the only graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*.

A proper graded ideal P of R is said to be graded primary if whenever $x, y \in h(R)$ such that $xy \in P$, then either $x \in P$ or $y \in Grad(P)[8]$. Clearly, if *P* is a graded primary ideal of *R* with $P \cap h(R) \subset zd(R)$. then *P* is a graded *r*-ideal of *R*, and so *P* is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*. In the next result, we discuss the case when the graded 2*r*-ideals of *R* are all graded primary. Recall that a graded ring *R* is an HUNring if every homogeneous element of *R* is either unit or nilpotent. Indeed, *R* is an HUN-ring if and only if *nil*(*R*) is a graded maximal ideal of *R*. The next theorem shows that the graded 2*r* ideals of *R* are all graded primary if and only if *R* is a graded domain or an HUN-ring.

Theorem 2.7. *Let R be a graded ring. Then every graded* 2*r*-*ideal of R is a graded primary ideal of R if and only if R is a graded domain or an HUN-ring.*

Proof. Suppose that every graded 2*r*-ideal of *R* is a graded primary ideal of *R*. Then {0} is a graded primary ideal of *R*, and then $nil(R) = zd(R)$. Assume that *R* is neither graded domain nor HUN-ring. Let *M* be a graded maximal ideal of *R*. Then there exists $a \in M - nil(R)$, and then $a_g \notin nil(R)$, for some $g \in G$. Note that as *M* is a graded ideal, $a_g \in M$. Consider $0 \neq b \in nil(R)$, so $b_g \in nil(R)$ as $nil(R)$ is a graded ideal. Let *k* be the smallest positive integer such that $b_g^k = 0$. We show that $I = \langle a_g b_g^{k-1} \rangle$ is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*. Let *x*, $y \in h(R)$ such that $xy \in I$ and $y \notin zd(R)$. Then $x^2y^2 = 0$, and then as $\{0\}$ is a graded *r*-ideal, we have $x^2 = 0 \in I$. Hence, *I* is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*, and so *I* is a graded primary ideal of R with $Grad(I) = nil(R)$. Now, $a_g b_g^{k-1} \in I$ with $a_g \notin nil(R)$, so $b_g^{k-1} \in I$, which implies that $b_g^{k-1}(1 - ra_g) = 0$, for some $r \in R$, which means that $1 - ra_g \in zd(R) = nil(R) \subseteq M$, so $1 \in M$, which is a contradiction. Hence, *R* is a graded domain or an HUN-ring. Conversely, if *R* is a graded domain, then {0} is the only graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*, which is graded primary. If *R* is an HUN-ring, then every proper graded ideal of *R* is graded primary. In particular, every graded 2*r*-ideal of *R* is graded primary.

Let *R* be a *G*-graded ring and *I* be a graded ideal of *R*. Then *R*/*I* is a *G*-graded ring by $(R / I)_{g} = (R_{g} + I) / I$, for all $g \in G$ [7].

Proposition 2.8. Let $Q \subseteq P$ be two graded ideals of R. Then P/Q is a graded $2r$ -ideal of R/Q if and only *if for every x, y* \in *h*(*R*) *with xy* \in *P, we have x*² \in *P* or (*Q* : *y*) \neq *Q*.

Proof. Suppose that *P*/*Q* is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*/*Q*. Let *x*, $y \in h(R)$ with $xy \in P$ and $x^2 \notin P$. Then $(x+Q)(y+Q) \in P/Q$ and $(x+Q)^2 \notin P/Q$, and then $y+Q \in zd(R/Q)$, which implies that there exists $a \notin Q$ with $(a + Q)(y + Q) = 0 + Q$, so $ay \in Q$, which means that $a \in (Q : y)$. Hence, $(Q : y) \neq Q$. Conversely, let $x + Q$, $y + Q \in h(R/Q)$ such that $(x+Q)(y+Q) \in P/Q$ and $(x+Q)^2 \notin P/Q$. Then $xy \in P$ and $x^2 \notin P$, and then $(Q : y) \neq Q$. So, there exists $a \in (Q : y) - Q$, which implies that $(a+Q)(y+Q) = ay+Q = 0+Q$. Thus, $y + Q \in zd(R/Q)$, and hence P/Q is a graded 2*r*-ideal of R/Q .

Corollary 2.9. Let $Q \subseteq P$ be two graded ideals of R. If Q is a graded r-ideal of R and P/Q is a graded 2*r*-*ideal of R*/*Q*, *then P is a graded* 2*r*-*ideal of R*.

Proof. Let $x, y \in h(R)$ such that $xy \in P$ and $x^2 \notin P$. Then by Proposition 2.8, $(Q: y) \neq Q$, and then there exists $a \in (Q : y) - Q$, which implies that $a_{g} \notin Q$, for some $g \in G$, but $a_{g} \in (Q : y)$ as $(Q : y)$ is a graded ideal. Now, *Q* is a graded *r*-ideal with $a_g y \in Q$ and $a_g \notin Q$, so $y \in zd(R)$. Hence, *P* is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*.

Proposition 2.10. Let $f: R \to S$ be a graded ring homomorphism. Then $f^{\text{-1}}(P)$ is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*, *for every graded* 2*r*-*ideal P of S*, *if and only if f*(*x*) *is a regular element in S*, *for every homogeneous regular element x in R*.

Proof. Suppose that $f^{\text{-1}}(P)$ is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*, for every graded 2*r*-ideal P of *S*. Let *x* be a homogeneous regular element in *R*. Assume that $f(x)$ is not regular in *S*. Then $f(x) \in zd(S)$, and then there exists $s \in S - \{0\}$ such that $f(x)s = 0$. Since $s \neq 0$, $s_h \neq 0$, for some $h \in G$. On the other hand, $\sum_{g \in G} f(x) s_g = f(x) \left(\sum_{g \in G} s_g \right) = f(x) s =$ $\overline{}$ ö ø $(x) s_{g} = f(x) \left| \sum_{g} s_{g} \right| = f(x) s = 0$, but {0} is a graded ideal and $f(x) s_{g}$ is a homogeneous element, for all $g \in G$, so we have $f(x)s_g = 0$, for all $g \in G$. In particular, $f(x)s_h = 0$. So, $f(x) \in I = Ann(s_h)$. Now, *I* is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *S*, so $f⁻¹(I)$ is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R* with $x \in f⁻¹(I)$. Since *x* is homogeneous in *R*, $x \in R_g$, for some $g \in G$, and then by Proposition 2.3, $x = x_g \in (f^{-1}(I))_g \subseteq zd(R)$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $f(x)$ is regular in *S*. Conversely, let *P* be a graded 2*r*-ideal of *S*. Assume that $x, y \in h(R)$ such that $xy \in f^{-1}(P)$ and $y \notin zd(R)$. Then *y* is a homogeneous regular element in *R*, and then $f(y)$ is regular in *S*, so $f(y) \notin zd(S)$. Now, $f(x), f(y) \in h(S)$ such that $f(x)f(y) = f(xy) \in P$, and then $f(x^2) = (f(x))^2 \in P$, so $x^2 \in f^{-1}(P)$. Hence, $f^{-1}(P)$ is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*.

Recall that for a ring R , $S \subseteq R$ is said to be essential in R if $S \cap I \neq \{0\}$, for every nonzero ideal *I* of R .

Corollary 2.11. Let R be a graded ring such that R_{ρ} is essential in R. If P is a graded 2*r*-ideal of R, $then$ P_e *is an 2r-ideal of* R_e .

Proof. Define $f: R_e \to R$ by $f(x) = x$. Then *f* is a graded ring homomorphism. Let $x \in R_e$ be a regular element. Assume that $f(x)$ is not regular in *R*. Then there exists $r \in R - \{0\}$ such that $rx = rf(x) = 0$, so *r*∈ *Ann*_{*R*}(*x*), and then *Ann_R*(*x*) is a nonzero ideal of *R*. Thus $R_e \cap Ann_R(x) ≠ {0}$, which implies that there exists $t \in R_e - \{0\}$ such that $tx = 0$, which is a contradiction. So, $f(x)$ is regular in *R*. Hence, by Proposition 2.10, $f^{-1}(P) = P \cap R_e = P_e$ is an 2*r*-ideal of R_e .

Proposition 2.12. Let $f: R \to S$ be a graded ring epimorphism such that $f(zd(R)) \subseteq zd(S)$. If P is a *graded 2r-ideal of R and* $Ker(f) \subseteq P$ *, then* $f(P)$ *is a graded 2<i>r-ideal of S.*

Proof. Let $s, t \in h(S)$ such that $st \in f(P)$. Then there exist $x, y \in h(R)$ such that $f(x) = s$ and $f(y) = t$, and then $f(xy) = f(x)f(y) = st \in f(P)$, which implies that $xy \in P$ as $Ker(f) \subseteq P$. So, either $x^2 \in P$ or $y \in zd(R)$, and then either $s^2 = (f(x))^2 = f(x^2) \in f(P)$ or $t = f(y) \in f(zd(R)) \subseteq zd(S)$. Hence, $f(P)$ is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *S*.

Let *R* and *S* be two *G*-graded rings. Then $R \times S$ is a *G*-graded ring by $(R \times S)_{g} = R_{g} \times S_{g}$, for all $g \in G$. A graded ring R is said to be a cross product if $R_{_g}$ contains a unit, for all $g \in G[7]$.

Proposition 2.13. *Let R and S be two G*-*graded rings such that R and S are cross products. Assume that* P and Q are two graded ideals of R and S respectively. Then $P \times Q$ is a graded $2r$ -ideal of $R \times S$ if *and only if P is a graded* 2*r*-*ideal of R and Q* = *S or Q is a graded* 2*r*-*ideal of S and P* = *R or P and Q are graded* 2*r*-*ideals of R and S respectively*.

Proof. Suppose that $P \times Q$ is a graded 2*r*-ideal of $R \times S$. Then $P \times Q$ should be proper, and then $P \neq R$ or $Q \neq S$. Assume that $P \neq R$. Let $x, y \in h(R)$ such that $xy \in P$ and $y \notin zd(R)$. Then $y \in R_{\mu}$, for some $h \in G$. Since *S* is a cross product, S_h contains a unit, say *s*. Now, $(x,0),(y,s) \in h(R \times S)$ such that $(x,0)(y,s) = (xy,0) \in P \times Q$ and $(y,s) \notin zd(R \times S)$, so $(x,0)^2 = (x^2,0) \in P \times Q$, and then $x^2 \in P$. Hence, *P* is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *R*. Similarly, if $Q \neq S$, then *Q* is a graded 2*r*-ideal of *S*. Conversely, suppose that *P* and *Q* are graded 2*r*-ideals of *R* and *S* respectively. Let $(x,t), (y,s) \in h(R \times S)$ such that $(x, t)(y, s) = (xy, ts) \in P \times Q$ and $(y, s) \notin zd(R \times S)$. Then $x, y \in h(R)$, $t, s \in h(S)$ such that $xy \in P$, $ts \in Q$, $y \notin zd(R)$ and $s \notin zd(S)$. Thus, $x^2 \in P$ and $t^2 \in Q$, and then $(x,t)^2 = (x^2,t^2) \in P \times Q$. Hence, $P \times Q$ is a graded 2*r*-ideal of $R \times S$. Similarly for the other cases.

Let *R* be a *G*-graded ring. Assume that *M* is a left unitary *R*-module. Then *M* is said to be *G*-graded if $M = \bigoplus_{g \in G} M_g$ with $R_g M_h \subseteq M_{gh}$, for all *g*, $h \in G$, where M_g is an additive subgroup of *M*, for all $g \in G.$ The elements of $M_{_g}$ are called homogeneous of degree $g.$ It is clear that $M_{_g}$ is an $R_{_e}$ -submodule of *M*, for all $g \in G$. We assume that $h(M) = \bigcup_{g} M_g$. Let *N* be an *R*-submodule of a graded *R*-module *M*. Then *N* is said to be a graded *R*-submodule if $N = \bigoplus_{g \in G} (N \cap M_g)$, i.e., for $x \in N$, $x = \sum_{g \in G} x_g$, where $x_g \in N$, for all $g \in G$. It is known that an *R*-submodule of a graded *R*-module is not necessarily graded. The idealization $R(+)M = \{(r,m) : r \in R, m \in M\}$ of M is a commutative ring with componentwise addition and multiplication; $(x, m_1) + (y, m_2) = (x + y, m_1 + m_2)$ and $(x, m_1)(y, m_2) = (xy, xm_2 + ym_1)$, for each $x, y \in R$ and $m_1, m_2 \in M$. Let *G* be an abelian group and *M* be a *G*-graded *R*-module. Then $x = R(+)M$ is *G*-graded by $x_g = R_g(+)M_g$, for all $g \in G$. If *P* is a graded ideal of *R* and *N* is a graded *R*-submodule of *M*, then $P(\dot{+})N$ is a graded ideal of $R(\dot{+})M$ provided that $PM \subseteq N$ [10]. Note that $zd(R(+)M) = \{(x,m): x \in zd(R) \cup zd(M), m \in M\}, \text{ where } zd(M) = \{x \in R: xm = 0 \text{ for some } 0 \neq m \in M\}$ ([5], Theorem 3.5).

Proposition 2.14. *Let G be an abelian group*, *M be a G*-*graded R*-*module and P be a graded ideal of R. Then* $P(+)M$ *is a graded 2r-ideal of* $R(+)M$ *if and only if for every x, y* \in *h*(*R*) *with xy* \in *P, we have* $x^2 \in P$ *or* $y \in zd(R) \cup zd(M)$.

Proof. Suppose that $P(+)M$ is a graded 2*r*-ideal of $R(+)M$. Let $x, y \in h(R)$ with $xy \in P$ and $x^2 \notin P$. Then $(x, 0)(y, 0) = (xy, 0) \in P(+)M$ and $(x, 0)^2 = (x^2, 0) \notin P(+)M$. Hence, $(y, 0) \in zd(R(+)M)$, which gives that $y \in zd(R) \cup zd(M)$. Conversely, let $(x, m), (y, t) \in h((R(+)M)$ such that $(x, m)(y, t) = (xy, xt + ym)$ $\in P(+)M$ and $(y,t)\notin zd(R(+)M)$. Then $xy \in P$ and $y \notin zd(R) \cup zd(M)$. Hence, $x^2 \in P$, and then $(x,m)^2 =$ $(x^2, 2xm) \in P(+)M$. Thus, $P(+)M$ is a graded 2*r*-ideal of $R(+)M$.

Corollary 2.15. *Let G be an abelian group and M be a G*-*graded R*-*module. If P is a graded* 2*r*-*ideal of R*, *then P*(+)*M is a graded* 2*r*-*ideal of R*(+)*M*.

Corollary 2.16. Let G be an abelian group and M be a G-graded R-module such that $zd(M) \subset zd(R)$. *Then P is a graded* 2*r*-*ideal of R if and only if P*(+)*M is a graded* 2*r*-*ideal of R*(+)*M*.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express sincere gratitude to the anonymous referees for their insightful comments and suggestions that greatly contributed to improving the quality of this article. Their thorough review and valuable feedback were instrumental in shaping the final version of this work.

References

- [1] R. Abu-Dawwas, On graded strongly 1-absorbing primary ideals, *Khayyam Journal of Mathematics*, **8 (1)** (2022), 42–52.
- [2] R. Abu-Dawwas and M. Bataineh, graded *r*-ideals, *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics*, **14 (2)** (2019), 1–8.
- [3] R. Abu-Dawwas and M. Refai, Graded uniformly *pr*-ideals, *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, **58 (1)** (2021), 195–204.
- [4] K. Alhazmy, F. A. A. Almahdi, E. M. Bouba and M. Tamekkante, On 2*r*-ideals in commutative rings with zero-divisors, *Open Mathematics*, **21** (2023), 20220576.
- [5] D. D. Anderson and M. Winders, Idealization of a module, *Journal of Commutative Algebra*, **1 (1)** (2009), 3–56.
- [6] R. Hazrat, graded rings and graded Grothendieck groups, Cambridge University press, 2016.
- [7] C. Nastasescu and F. Oystaeyen, Methods of graded rings, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1836, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [8] M. Refai and K. Al-Zoubi, On graded primary ideals, *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, **28 (3)** (2004), 217–229.
- [9] M. Refai, M. Hailat and S. Obiedat, Graded radicals and graded prime spectra, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, (2000), 59–73.
- [10] R. N. Uregen, U. Tekir, K. P. Shum and S. Koc, On graded 2-absorbing quasi primary ideals, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, **43 (4)** (2019), 601–613.