Results in Nonlinear Analysis 7 (2024) No. 3, 29–34 https://doi.org/10.31838/rna/2024.07.03.005 Available online at www.nonlinear-analysis.com



Results in Nonlinear Analysis

Peer Reviewed Scientific Journal

Some generalized singular value and norm inequalities for sums and products of matrices

Mahdi Taleb Alfakhr¹, Panackal Harikrishnan²

¹Department of Mathematics, Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, Iran; ²Department of Mathematics, Manipal Institute of Technology, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, India.

This work presents a generalized singular value and norm inequalities associated with 2×2 positive semidefinite block matrices.

Keywords and phrases: Singular value, Unitarily, Invariant norm, Positive operator Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): Primary 47A30, Secondary 15A18, 47A63, 47TB10.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ stand for the space of $n \times n$ complex matrices. We consider unitarily invariant norm ||A||| = ||UAV||| for all A, U and $V \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ where U, V are unitary matrices. For two Hermitian matrices $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, one can write $A \leq B$ to mean B - A is positive semidefinite. Especially $A \geq 0$ indicates that A is positive semidefinite. Also, A > 0 is named positive definite. The singular value of A is restricted by $s_1(A) \geq s_2(A) \geq \ldots s_n(A) \geq 0$, that is the eigenvalues of the positive semidefinite matrix $|A| = (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (the absolute value of A), arranged in decreasing order are repeated according to multiplicity. Note that $s_j(A) = s_j(A^*) = s_j(A)$ for j = 1, 2, ..., n. The operator norm of A is represent by $||A|| = s_1(A)$. We use the direct sum notation $A \oplus B$ for the block-diagonal matrix $\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$.

Email addresses: mehdifakhr11@gmail.com (Mahdi Taleb Alfakir); pk.harikrishnan@manipal.edu (Harikrishnan Panackal)*

For the general theory of unitarily invariant norms and more additional results about 2×2 positive semidefinite block matrices and related inequalities, we refer the reader to [4], [7] and [8]. It is evident that if A, B and $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ B^* & X \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$, then A and X are positive semidefinite.

In [11] the first author showed that for $A, B, X \in \mathcal{M}_{p}(\mathbb{C})$ and f and g be non-negative continuous functions on $[0,\infty)$ that satisfy the relation f(t)g(t) = t for all $t \in [0,\infty)$, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^* f\left(\left|X^*\right|\right)^2 A & A^* X^* B \\ B^* X A & B^* g\left(\left|X\right|\right)^2 B \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.1)

is positive semidefinite.

As an immediate consequence of the min-max principle (see, e.g., [2, p.75]), if $A, B, X \in \mathcal{M}_{p}(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$s_{j}(AXB) \leq \|A\| \|B\| s_{j}(X) \tag{1.2}$$

for j = 1, 2, ..., n. For $1 \le p < \infty$, the Schatten *p*-norm of *A* is described by $||A||_p = (tr |A|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, where *tr* is the usual trace functional. One can see that

$$||A \oplus B|| = \max(||A||, ||B||)$$

and

$$\|A \oplus B\|_{p} = (\|A\|_{p}^{p} + \|B\|_{p}^{p})^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$
 (1.3)

It has been established by Bhatia and Kittaneh in [4] that if $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$2\left\|\left|A^*B\right|\right| \leq \left\|AA^* + BB^*\right\|$$
(1.4)

and

$$\left\| A^*B + B^*A \right\| \leq \left\| AA^* + BB^* \right\|$$

$$\tag{1.5}$$

for every unitarily invariant norm.

A singular value inequality due to [5] states that if $A, B, C, S, K \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ are such that $\begin{vmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{vmatrix} \ge 0$, then

$$s_j\left(S^*BK + K^*B^*S\right) \le s_j\left(\left(S^*AS + K^*CK\right) \oplus \left(S^*AS + K^*CK\right)\right)$$
(1.6)

for j = 1, 2, ..., n.

In [8, Theorem 2.1] it has been shown that if $A_i, B_i, X_i \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ for j = 1, 2, ..., n, then

$$s_{j}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}^{*} X_{i} B_{i}\right) \leqslant \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left|A_{i}^{*}\right|^{2}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left|B_{i}^{*}\right|^{2}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} s_{j}\left(\bigoplus_{i=n}^{n} X\right)$$
(1.7)

for j = 1, 2, ..., n.

The above inequalities have attracted the attention of several mathematicians. Different proofs and stronger versions of the inequalities mentioned above have been given; see [3,8,10,12].

In this paper, we generalize some inequalities dealing with 2×2 positive semidefinite block matrices in a different perspective.

2. Main Results

This section gives generalized singular value inequalities associated with 2×2 positive semidefinite block matrices. We should recall the following lemmas that are essential roles in our by-products. The first and second lemmas are presented in [5]. The third one has been proved in [1]. Finally, the fourth lemma is given in [6].

Lemma 2.1. Let $A,B,C \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be such that $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$, then $B^*B \le C^{\frac{1}{2}}U^*AUC^{\frac{1}{2}}$

for some unitary matrix U.

Lemma 2.2. Let $A, B, C, X, Y \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be such that $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$, then

$$\pm (X^*BY + Y^*B^*X) \leq X^*AX + Y^*CY$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be such that A is Hermitian, $A \ge 0$ and $\pm B \le A$, then

$$2s_i(B) \le s_i((A+B) \oplus (A-B))$$

for j = 1, 2, ..., n.

Lemma 2.4. Let X be Hermitian, then

$$\prod_{j=1}^k s_j(X) = \max \left| \det \left(U^* X U \right) \right|$$

where maximum is taken over $n \times k$ matrices U for which $U * U = I_k$ (I_k is identity matrix of order k) $1 \le k \le n$.

In the following, we aim to provide a generalized singular value and norm inequalities associated with 2×2 block matrices.

Theorem 2.1. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\left|\det\left(B^*\left|A\right|^2 B\right)\right| \le \det\left|B\right|^2 \prod_{j=1}^k s_j^2(A)$$
(2.1)

for j = 1, 2, ..., n and $1 \le k \le n$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 in [13] we showed that for *A*, *B* and *X* in $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and *f* and *g* be non-negative continuous functions on $[0,\infty)$ that satisfy the relation f(t)g(t) = t for all $t \in [0,\infty)$, the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^* f\left(\left|X^*\right|\right)^2 A & A^* X^* B \\ B^* X A & B^* g\left(\left|X\right|\right)^2 B \end{bmatrix} \geqslant 0.$$

$$(2.2)$$

Now by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$B^{*}X|A|^{2} X^{*}B \leq \left(\left(Bg\left(|X| \right)^{2} B \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} U^{*}Af\left(\left| X^{*} \right| \right)^{2} AU\left(Bg\left(|X| \right)^{2} B \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

Put $X = I_n$. It follows that

$$B^{*}|A|B \leq \left(\left(B^{*}B\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}U^{*}|A|^{2}U\left(B^{*}B\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
ight)$$

Finally, from lemma 2.4 and some property of determine function, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left|\det\left(B^*\left|A\right|^2B\right)\right| \leq \left|\det\left(\left|B\right|U^*\left|A\right|^2U\left|B\right|\right)\right| = \left|\det\left|B\right|\right|\left|\det U^*\left|A\right|^2U\right|\left|\det\left|B\right|\right| \\ = \det\left|B\right|^2\prod_{j=1}^k s_j^2(A) \end{split}$$

for j = 1, 2, ..., n and $1 \le k \le n$

Theorem 2.2. Let $A, B, X, S, K \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, f and g be non-negative continuous functions on $[0,\infty)$ that satisfy the relation f(t)g(t) = t for all $t \in [0,\infty)$. Then

$$\begin{split} 2s_{j}\left(S^{*}A^{*}X^{*}BK + K^{*}B^{*}XAS\right) \leqslant & s_{j}\left(\left(S^{*}A^{*}f\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right)^{2}AS + K^{*}B^{*}g\left(\left|X\right|\right)^{2}BK\right)\right.\\ & \left.+\left(S^{*}A^{*}X^{*}BK + K^{*}B^{*}XAS\right) \oplus \left(S^{*}A^{*}f\left(\left|X^{*}\right|\right)^{2}AS + K^{*}B^{*}g\left(\left|X\right|\right)^{2}BK\right)\right.\\ & \left.-\left(S^{*}A^{*}X^{*}BK + K^{*}B^{*}XAS\right)\right). \end{split}$$

Proof. We can obtain the result quickly from the positivity of [1.1], Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3.

We get the following corollary as an application of Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 1. Let $A, B, X, S, K \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then,

$$2\left\| \left\| S^{*}A^{*}X^{*}BK + K^{*}B^{*}XAS \right\| \leq \left\| \left(S^{*}A^{*}f\left(\left| X^{*} \right| \right)^{2}AS + K^{*}B^{*}g\left(\left| X \right| \right)^{2}BK \right) + \left(S^{*}A^{*}X^{*}BK + K^{*}B^{*}XAS \right) \oplus \left(S^{*}A^{*}f\left(\left| X^{*} \right| \right)^{2}AS + K^{*}B^{*}g\left(\left| X \right| \right)^{2}BK \right) - \left(S^{*}A^{*}X^{*}BK + K^{*}B^{*}XAS \right) \right\|$$

for every unitarily invariant norm. In particular,

$$\left\| S^{*}A^{*}X^{*}BK + K^{*}B^{*}XAS \right\| \leq max \left(\left\| \left(S^{*}A^{*}X^{*}BK + K^{*}B^{*}XAS \right) + \left(S^{*}A^{*}f\left(\left| X^{*} \right| \right)^{2}AS + K^{*}B^{*}g\left(\left| X \right| \right)^{2}BK \right) \right\| \right) \\ \left(\left\| \left(S^{*}A^{*}X^{*}BK + KB^{*}XAS \right) - \left(S^{*}A^{*}f\left(\left| X^{*} \right| \right)^{2}AS + K^{*}B^{*}g\left(\left| X \right| \right)^{2}BK \right) \right\| \right) \right\| \right) \\ \leq n^{2} \left\| \left\| \left(S^{*}A^{*}X^{*}BK + KB^{*}XAS \right) - \left(S^{*}A^{*}f\left(\left| X^{*} \right| \right)^{2}AS + K^{*}B^{*}g\left(\left| X \right| \right)^{2}BK \right) \right\| \right) \right\| \right\|$$

and

$$\left\| S^{*}A^{*}X^{*}BK + K^{*}B^{*}XAS \right\|_{p} \leq \left(\left\| \left(S^{*}A^{*}X^{*}BK + KB^{*}XAS \right) + \left(S^{*}A^{*}f\left(\left| X^{*} \right| \right)^{2}AS + K^{*}B^{*}g\left(\left| X \right| \right)^{2}BK \right) \right\|_{p}^{p} + \left\| \left(S^{*}A^{*}X^{*}BK + KB^{*}XAS \right) - \left(S^{*}A^{*}f\left(\left| X^{*} \right| \right)^{2}AS + K^{*}B^{*}g\left(\left| X \right| \right)^{2}BK \right\|_{p}^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$for 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

$$(2.3)$$

Remark 2.1. Let A and B be in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Putting $f(t) = g(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $X = S = K = I_k$ in Theorem 2.2, we get

$$2s_{j}(A^{*}B + B^{*}A) \leq s_{j}\left(A^{*}A + B^{*}B\right) + \left((A^{*}B + B^{*}A) \oplus (A^{*}A + B^{*}B) - (A^{*}B + B^{*}A)\right)$$
$$= s_{j}\left(|A + B|^{2} \oplus |A - B|^{2}\right)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, which was given in [1, Theorem 2.7] and [9].

Remark 2.2. The inequality in Theorem 2.2 is sharper than [1.6]. In fact, for $A, B, X, S, K \in \mathcal{M}_{n}(\mathbb{C})$. and $f(t) = g(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in Theorem 2.2 and by the Weyls monotonicity principle, we have

$$sj((S^*A^*AS + K^*B^*BK) + (S^*A^*X^*BK + K^*B^*XAS) \oplus (S^*A^*AS + K^*B^*BK) - (S^*A^*X^*BK + KB^*XAS))$$

$$= s_j \begin{bmatrix} (S^*A^*AS + K^*B^*BK) + (S^*A^*X^*BK + K^*B^*XAS) & 0 \\ 0 & (S^*A^*AS + K^*B^*BK) - (S^*A^*X^*BK + K^*B^*XAS) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\leq s_j \begin{bmatrix} 2\left(S^*A^*AS + K^*B^*BK\right) & 0\\ 0 & 2\left(S^*A^*AS + K^*B^*BK\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

= $2sj\left(S^*A^*AS + K^*B^*BK \oplus S^*A^*AS + K^*B^*BK\right)$

Garg and Aujla gave the following lemma in [6].

Lemma 5. Let X be Hermitian and Y be positive definite with $\pm X < Y$, then $|\det(X)| < \det Y$.

Theorem 3. Let $A, B, X, S, K \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, f and g be non-negative continuous functions on $[0,\infty)$ that satisfy the relation f(t)g(t) = t for all $t \in [0,\infty)$. Then

For $1 \le k \le n$.

Proof. From the positivity [1.1 and Lemma 2.2 for $n \times k$ matrices U for which $U^* U = I_k$ (I_k is identity matrix of order k) $1 \le k \le n$, we have

$$\pm U^* \left(S^*A^*X^*BK + K^*B^*XAS
ight)U \leqslant U^* \left(\left(S^*A^*f\left(\left|X^*\right|\right)^2AS + K^*B^*g\left(\left|X
ight|
ight)^2BK
ight) + \left(S^*A^*X^*BK + K^*B^*XAS
ight)U.$$

Now, from Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we get the result as follows:

$$\begin{split} \prod_{j=1}^{k} & s_{j} \left(S^{*}A^{*}X^{*}BK + K^{*}B^{*}XAS \right) \leqslant \det \left[U^{*} \left(\left(S^{*}A^{*}f \left(\left| X^{*} \right| \right)^{2}AS + K^{*}B^{*}g \left(\left| X \right| \right)^{2}BK \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \left(S^{*}A^{*}X^{*}BK + K^{*}B^{*}XAS \right) \right) U \right] \\ & \left. \leqslant \max \left| \det \left[V^{*} \left(\left(S^{*}A^{*}f \left(\left| X^{*} \right| \right)^{2}AS + K^{*}B^{*}g \left(\left| X \right| \right)^{2}BK \right) + \left(S^{*}A^{*}X^{*}BK + K^{*}B^{*}XAS \right) \right) V \right] \right] \\ & = \prod_{j=1}^{k} s_{j} \left(\left(S^{*}A^{*}f \left(\left| X^{*} \right| \right)^{2}AS + K^{*}B^{*}g \left(\left| X \right| \right)^{2}BK \right) + \left(S^{*}A^{*}X^{*}BK + K^{*}B^{*}XAS \right) \right) . \end{split}$$

for $n \times k$ matrices V for which $V^* V = I_k (I_k \text{ is identity matrix of order } k) \ 1 \le k \le n$

Remark 2.3. Let A and B be in $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Putting $f(t) = g(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $X = S = K = I_k$ in Theorem 2.3, we obtain

$$\prod_{j=1}^{k} s_{j} \left(A^{*}B + B^{*}A \right) \leqslant \prod_{j=1}^{k} s_{j} \left(A^{*}A + B^{*}B + A^{*}B + B^{*}A \right) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} s_{j} \left(\left| A + B \right|^{2} \right).$$

This inequality is a refinement of inequality [6, Theorem 2.8].

3. Acknowledgments

Authors thank the referees for their valuable suggestions to improve the paper. Author¹ acknowledges Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, Iran for their encouragement. The corresponding author² thank the Manipal Institute of Technology, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, for the kind support. The author² acknowledges SERB, Govt. of India for the Teachers Associateship for Research Excellence (TARE) fellowship TAR/2022/000219.

References

- [1] W. Audeh, F. Kittaneh, Singular value inequalities for compact operators, Linear Algebra Appl. 437 (2012), 2516–2522.
- [2] R. Bhatia, Matrix Analysis, Springer Verlag, New York, 1997.
- R. Bhatia, C. Davis, More matrix forms of the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, Matrix Anal. Appl. 14 (1993), 132–136.
- [4] R. Bhatia, F. Kittaneh, On the singular values of a product of operators, Matrix Anal. Appl. 11 (1990), 272–277.
- [5] A. Burqan, F. Kittaneh, Singular value and norm inequalities associated with 2×2 positive semidefinite block matrices, Electron. J. Linear Algebra. 32 (2017), 116–124.
- [6] I. Garg, J. S. Aujla, Some singular value inequalities, Linear Multilinear Algebra. 66(4) (2018), 776–784.
- I. C. Gohberg, M. G. Krein, Introduction to the theory of linear Nonselfadjoint operators, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rl, (1969).
- [8] O. Hirzallah, F. Kittaneh, Inequalities for sums and direct sums of Hilbert space operators, Linear Algebra Appl. 424 (2007), 71–82.
- [9] F. Kittaneh, Some norm inequalities for operators, Canad. Math. Bull. 42(1), (1999), 87-96.
- [10] H. R. Moradi, W. Audeh, and M. Sababheh, Singular values inequalities via matrix monotone functions, Anal. Math. Phys. 13, 71 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1007/s13324-023-00832-8
- [11] M. E. Omidvar, M. S. Moslehian, and A. Niknam, Unitarily invariant norm inequalities for operators, Egyptian Math. Soc. 20 (2012), 38–42.
- [12] M. Sababheh, S. Furuichi, S. Sheybani, and H. R. Moradi, *Singular values inequalities for matrix means*, J. Math. Inequal. **16(1)** (2022), 169–179.
- [13] M. Taleb Alfakhr, M. E. Omidvar, Singular value inequalities for Hilbert space operators, Filomat. 32(8) (2018), 2861–2866.