Results in Nonlinear Analysis 2 (2019) No. 3, 143–146
Available online at www.resultsinnonlinearanalysis.com

Results in Nonlinear Analysis
ISSN 2636-7556

Peer Reviewed Scientific Journal

A note on Kannan type mappings with a F-contractive iterate

Selma Gülyaz-Özyurt[a]

[a] Department of Mathematics, Cumhuriyet University, Sivas, Turkey

Abstract

In this paper, we revisited fixed point theorem for Kannan type mapping with a contractive iterate at a point in the setting of F-construction. The given results extend and improve the related results in the literature.

Keywords: Fixed point; b-metric space; contractive iteration at a point.
2010 MSC: 47H10, 54H25

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

One of the outstanding extension of the Banach's fixed point theorem was given by Bryant [2]. Indeed, Bryant [2] just relaxed the continuity condition. More precisely, he proved that a mapping can not forms contraction although one of its iteration satisfies being a contraction.

Theorem 1. *[2] If f is a mapping of a complete metric space into itself and if, for some positive integer k, f^k is a contraction, then f has a unique fixed point.*

The following example indicates the aspect of Bryant [2].

Example 1. *[2] Let $T : [0, 2] \to [0, 2]$ be defined by*

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1], \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in (1, 2]. \end{cases}$$

Then 2nd iteration of T is equal to 0 for all $x \in [0, 2]$ although T is not continuous.

This interesting result of Bryant [2] was improved by Sehgal [5] by proposing the idea of the "contractive iterate at each point".

The significant result of Sehgal [5] is the following:

Email addresses: selmagulyaz@gmail.com (Selma Gülyaz-Özyurt), sgulyaz@cumhuriyet.edu.tr (Selma Gülyaz-Özyurt)

Received November 12, 2019, Accepted: November 22,2019, Online: November 24,2019.

Theorem 2. *Let (X,d) be a complete metric space, $q\in[0,1)$ and $T:X\to X$ be a continuous mapping. If for each $x\in X$ there exists a positive integer $k=k(x)$ such that*

$$d(T^{k(x)}x,T^{k(x)}y)\leq qd(x,y) \tag{1.1}$$

for all $y\in X$, then T has a unique fixed point $u\in X$. Moreover,for any $x\in X$, $u=\lim_{n\to\infty}T^nx$.

Throughout the paper, $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0$ denote the set of positive integers and the set of nonnegative integers. Similarly, let $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R}^+$ and $\mathbb{R}_0^+$ represent the set of reals, positive reals and the set of nonnegative reals, respectively. Throughout the paper, all consider set X is non-empty.

We start with the definition of auxiliary function that was used by Wardowski [6] to define the new type contraction.

Definition 1. *[6] Let $F:\mathbb{R}^+\to\mathbb{R}$ and we are considering the following conditions:*

$(F1)$ *F is strictly increasing, that is, for all $\xi,\eta\in\mathbb{R}_+$ if $\xi<\eta$ then $F(\xi)<F(\eta)$.*

$(F2)$ *For every sequence $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of positive real numbers*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}t_n=0 \text{ if and only if } \lim_{n\to\infty}F(t_n)=-\infty.$$

$(F3)$ *There is $k\in(0,1)$ such that $\lim_{t\to0^+}\left(t^kF(t)\right)=0$.*

Example 2. *[6] Let $F_i:\mathbb{R}^+\to\mathbb{R}$, for $i=1,2,3,4$, be mappings that are defined by*

(E1) $F_1(t)=\ln t$,

(E2) $F_2(t)=t+\ln t$,

(E3) $F_3(t)=-1/\sqrt{t}$,

(E4) $F_4(t)=\ln(t^2+t)$,

Then $F_1,F_2,F_3,F_4\in\mathcal{F}$.

Definition 2. *[6] Let (X,d) be a metric space. A map $T:X\to X$ is said to be an $F-$contraction on (X,d) if there exists $F\in\mathcal{F}$ and $\tau>0$ such that for all $x,y\in X$*

$$d(Tx,Ty)>0\Rightarrow\tau+F(d(Tx,Ty))\leq F(d(x,y)) \tag{1.2}$$

From $(F1)$ and $(F2)$ easily conclude that every F-contraction is a contractive mapping, that is, for all $x,y\in X$ with $Tx\neq Ty$, we have

$$d(Tx,Ty)<d(x,y)$$

Theorem 3. *[6] Let T be a self-mapping on a complete metric space (X,d). If T forms an $F-$contraction, then it possesses a unique fixed point u. Moreover, for any $x\in X$ the sequence $\{T^nx\}$ is convergent to u.*

Remark 1. *From $(F1)$ and (1.2) it follows that*

$$\begin{aligned}F(d(Tx,Ty)) &\leq F(d(x,y))-\tau<F(d(x,y))\Rightarrow\\ &\Rightarrow d(Tx,Ty)<d(x,y)\end{aligned}$$

for all $x,y\in X$ such that $Tx\neq Ty$. Also, T is a continuous operator.

In this paper, we combine the notions of F-contraction, Kannan type mapping in the setting of a complete metric space. This paper can be considered as a continuation of the recent result [4].

2. Main results

Theorem 4. *Let (X,d) be a complete metric space and $T:X\to X$ a mapping which satisfies the condition: If there exists $F\in\mathcal{F}$ and $\tau>0$ such that for each $x\in X$ there is a positive integer $n(x)$ such that for all $y\in X$*

$$d(T^{n(x)}(x),T^{n(x)}(y))>0\Rightarrow\tau+F(d(T^{n(x)}(x),T^{n(x)}(y)))\leq F(K(x,y)),\tag{2.1}$$

where $K(x,y):=\max\{d(T^{n(x)}(x),x),d(y,T^{n(x)}(y))\}$. Then, T has a unique fixed point $z\in X$ and $T^n(x_0)\to z$ for each $x_0\in X$, as $n\to\infty$.

Proof. We shall construct a sequence $\{x_k\}$ in the following way. For the chooses arbitrary point $x_0\in X$ with $n_0=n(x_0)$, we set $x_1=T^{n_0}x_0$ and inductively we get

$$x_{i+1}=T^{n_i}x_i \text{ with } n_i=n(x_i).$$

We assert that $x_i\neq x_{i+1}$ for all $i\in\mathbb{N}_0$. Suppose, on the contrary, there exists $i_0\in\mathbb{N}_0$ such that $x_{i_0}=x_{i_0+1}=T^{n_{i_0}}x_{i_0}$. Then, x_{i_0} turns to be a fixed point of $T^{n_{i_0}}$. On the other hand,

$$Tx_{i_0}=T(T^{n_{i_0}}x_{i_0})=T^{n_{i_0}}(Tx_{i_0}).$$

Thus, Tx_{i_0} form a fixed point of $T^{n_{i_0}}$. If $Tx_{i_0}\neq x_{i_0}$, then we conclude that T has a fixed point and that terminate the proof. Suppose, on the contrary, that $Tx_{i_0}\neq x_{i_0}$ and hence $d(T^{n_{i_0}}(Tx_{i_0}),T^{n_{i_0}}(x_{i_0}))>0$. Then, by (2.13) we have

$$\tau+F(d(x_{i_0},Tx_{i_0}))=\tau+F(d(T^{n_{i_0}}x_{i_0},T^{n_{i_0}}Tx_{i_0}))\leq F(K(x_{i_0},Tx_{i_0})),\tag{2.2}$$

with $K(x,y):=\max\{d(T^{n_{i_0}}(x_{i_0}),x_{i_0}),d(Tx_{i_0},T^{n_{i_0}}(Tx_{i_0}))\}$=0, a contradiction. Consequently, we deduce that

$$x_i\neq x_{i+1}\text{ for all }i\in\mathbb{N}_0.\tag{2.3}$$

Taking the expression (2.3) into account (2.13) implies that

$$d(x_{i+1},x_i)>0\Rightarrow\tau+F(d(x_{i+1},x_i))\leq F(K(x_i,x_{i-1})),\tag{2.4}$$

where $K(x_i,x_{i-1})=\max\{d(x_i,x_{i-1}),d(x_{i+1},x_i)\}$. It is clear that if $K(x_i,x_{i-1})=d(x_{i+1},x_i)$ yields a contradiction. Thus, $K(x_i,x_{i-1})=d(x_i,x_{i-1})$ and (2.4) implies that

$$F(\delta_i)\leq F(\delta_{i-1})-\tau\leq F(\delta_{i-1})-2\tau\leq\ldots\leq F(\delta_0)-i\tau,\tag{2.5}$$

where $\delta_j=d(T^{n_i}x_j,x_j)$ for all $j\in\mathbb{N}_0$.

As $i\to\infty$ the inequality above yields that $\lim_{i\to\infty}F(d(x_{i+1},x_i))=-\infty$. On account of axiom $(F2)$, we conclude that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}d(x_{i+1},x_i)=0.\tag{2.6}$$

Taking the axiom $(F3)$ into the account, we find a $k\in(0,1)$ such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}(d(x_{i+1},x_i))^kF(d(x_{i+1},x_i))=0.\tag{2.7}$$

On the other hand, by regarding (2.5), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}&(d(x_{i+1},x_i))^kF(d(x_{i+1},x_i))-(d(x_{i+1},x_i))^kF(\delta_0)\\&\leq(d(x_{i+1},x_i))^k(F(\delta_0)-i\tau)-(d(x_{i+1},x_i))^kF(\delta_0)\\&=-(d(x_{i+1},x_i))^ki\tau\leq 0.\end{aligned}\tag{2.8}$$

Taking, (2.6) and (2.7), into account and by letting $n\to\infty$ in (2.8), we find

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}i(d(x_{i+1},x_i))^k=0.\tag{2.9}$$

Here, (2.9) implies that there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $i\delta_i^k \leq 1$ for all $i \geq n_1$. As a result, for all $i \geq n_1$, we have

$$(d(x_{i+1}, x_i))^k \leq \frac{1}{i^{1/k}}. \tag{2.10}$$

On account of (2.10), we shall show that the recursive sequence $\{x_i\}$ is Cauchy. Consider $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m > n \geq n_1$. Due to the estimation (2.10) together with the triangle inequality, we get that

$$d(x_m, x_n) \leq \delta_{m-1} + \delta_{m-2} + ... + \delta_n < \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \delta_j \leq \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^{1/k}}. \tag{2.11}$$

It is evident that the series $\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^{1/k}}$ converges. Thus, $\{x_i\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Owing to the completeness of (X, d), there exists $u \in X$ such that $\lim_{i\to\infty} x_i = x^*$.

in what follows, we show that x^* is a fixed point of $T^{n(x^*)}$. Indeed, due to the continuity of T, we have

$$d(Tx^*, x^*) = \lim_{i\to\infty} d(Tx_i, x_i) = \lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_{i+1}, x_i) = 0,$$

For the proving the uniqueness of the fixed point let us consider x^* and y^* be two distinct fixed point and $n = (x^*)$. So, we have $d(x^*, y^*) > 0$ and hence we get that

$$d(Tx^*, Ty^*) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau + F(d(Tx^*, Ty^*)) \leq F(K(x^*, y^*)), \tag{2.12}$$

where $K(x, y) = \max\{d(Tx^*, x^*), d(Ty^*, y^*)\} = 0$, a contradiction. □

Theorem 5. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \to X$ a mapping which satisfies the condition: If there exists $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\tau > 0$ such that for each $x \in X$ there is a positive integer $n(x)$ such that for all $y \in X$*

$$d(T^{n(x)}(x), T^{n(x)}(y)) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau + F(d(T^{n(x)}(x), T^{n(x)}(y))) \leq F(N(x, y)), \tag{2.13}$$

where $N(x, y) := \alpha d(T^{n(x)}(x), x) + \beta d(y, T^{n(x)}(y))$ and α, β are non-negative number with $0 \leq \alpha + \beta < 1$. Then, T has a unique fixed point $z \in X$ and $T^n(x_0) \to z$ for each $x_0 \in X$, as $n \to \infty$.

Sketch of the proof. Note that $N(x, y) \leq K(x, y)$ for all x, y and F is strictly increasing. Thus, by Theorem , we conclude the desired result.

References

[1] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, Fundamenta Mathematicae, **3** (1922), 133–181.

[2] V. W. Bryant, A remark on a fixed point theorem for iterated mappings, The American Mathematical Monthly, . **75** (1968) 399–400, .

[3] L. F. Guseman, Fixed point theorems for mappings with a contractive iterate at a point, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, **26**, (1970), 615-618.

[4] A. Özturk, A fixed point theorem for mappings with an F-contractive iterate, Advances in the Theory of Nonlinear Analysis and its Applications **3** (2019) No. 3, 231Ũ235.

[5] V. M. Sehgal, A fixed point theorem for mappings with a contractive iterate, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, **23** (1969), 631-634.

[6] D. Wardowski, Fixed Points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012:94 (2012).