



η - approximation, η -co-approximation and δ -orthogonal in bounded space

Alaa Adnan Auad^a, Mohammed A. Hilal^b, Abdulsattar Ali Hussein^c

^aDepartment of Mathematics, College of Education for pure science, University of Anbar-Iraq; ^bMiddle Technical University, Technical Institute of Baquba, Dayala – Iraq; ^cUniversity of Anbar, Education College for women, Ramadi, Iraq

Abstract

This article aims to establish some relationships have been established of the concepts η -approximation, η -co-approximation and η -orthogonal with the images under isometry mappings, whereas these results are generalization and expansion of η -approximation, η -co-approximation and δ -orthogonal.

Key words: η -approximation, η -co-approximation, η -orthogonal, bounded function and bounded space.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 41-XX, 65D15

1. Introduction

The study of best approximation for bounded functions in metric space, normed space and weighed spaces has been the center of sober research activity. In actuality, the approximation theory field has practical importance in mathematics.

In 1988 Roshed [1], studied M-metric space in terms of proximality properties of certain sets, Cybenk in 1989 [2] explain that limited number of linear combinations of mix two concepts of stable unvaried and set affine mappings can estimated every connected function of n real mutable with backing in the unite hypercube, Rezapour in 2007 [3] given some results about characterization of best

Email addresses: alaa.adnan.auad@uoanbar.edu.iq (Alaa Adnan Auad)*; mohammed_azeez_hilal@mtu.edu.iq (Mohammed A. Hilal); edw.abdulsattar@uoanbar.edu.iq (Abdulsattar Ali Hussein)

approximation in cone-metric space, Konovolov in 2008 [4] obtain on the degree of best approximation of monotone radial functions in measurable space by polynomials, Gumus in 2012 [5] presented the set of all t-better approximation on uncertain n-areas of normed, Naser in 2015 [6] proposed the problem of t-better approximation compact sets and t-aproximal sets in fuzzy metric space, in 2015 Narange [7] discussed some results about the existence and uniqueness of best approximation and best co-approximation in metric linear space, also Auad and Al. Jumaili in 2017 [8] established the set of polynomials to approximate unbounded functions in measured space via modulus of smoothness, Auad and Abduljabbar in 2018 [9] they investigated that degree of best algebraic approximation equivalent degree of best trigonometric approximation in the same space.

Auad and Abdulsttar in 2019 [10] they provide some ideas of best simultaneous approximation of unbounded functions with multi variables in weighted space by using two different definitions and found the relationship between best simultaneous approximation with best approximation, Auad and Fayyadh in 2021 [11] they introduce the outline of direct and invers theorems of unbounded functions in weighted spaces through the modulus of smoothens functions.

In this work, we introduced some concepts that related approximation of functions as η -approximation, η -co-approximation and δ -orthogonal through which the bounded by using isometry operators within bounded functions space. Also.

Let $S_{b,\eta}$ be the space of all bounded functions and $Y_{b,\eta}$ subset of it. For any $\eta > 0$, the function $\mu_0 \in Y_{b,\eta}$ is said to be an η -approximation (η -co-approximation) respectively to function $\varphi_b \in S_{b,\eta}$, if

$$\| \mu_0 - \varphi_b \|_{b,\delta} = \inf \{ \| \mu - \varphi_b \|_{b,\delta} + \delta, \forall \mu \in Y_{b,\eta} \} \tag{1}$$

$$\| \mu_0 - \mu \|_{b,\eta} = \inf \{ \| \mu - \varphi_b \|_{b,\eta} + \delta, \forall \mu \in Y_{b,\eta} \}. \tag{2}$$

The set of all η -approximation (η -co-approximation) from subset $Y_{b,\eta}$ to function $\bar{\varphi}_b$ in $S_{b,\eta}$ are called $\bar{\eta}$ -proximal (η -co-proximal) respectively and denoted of them via $F_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)$ & $G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)$ respectively.

If $F_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)$ & $G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)$ are both non-empty sets and for $\varphi_b \uparrow$ belong to finite dimensional space $S_{b,\delta}$, then its easy to see that be function of best δ -approximation of φ_b always exists but the function of η -co-approximation may or may not found.

For $\varphi_{b,1}, \varphi_{b,2} \in S_{b,\delta}$, if $\varphi_{b,1}$ orthogonal to $\varphi_{b,2}$ and denoted by $\varphi_{b,1} \perp_{\eta} \varphi_{b,2}$, then

$$\| \varphi_{b,1} \|_{b,\eta} \leq \| \varphi_{b,1} - \alpha \varphi_{b,2} \|_{b,\eta}, \text{ for all scalar } \alpha. \tag{3}$$

In general, if $U_{b,\eta}$ & $V_{b,\eta}$ are orthogonal non-empty subset of $S_{b,\delta}$ and denoted by $U_{b,\eta} \perp_{\eta} V_{b,\eta}$, then $u_b \perp_{\delta} v_b$ for $u_b \in U_{b,\eta}$ and $v_b \in V_{b,\eta}$.

We defined the following sets:

$$Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp_{\delta}} = \{ \varphi_b \in S_{b,\eta}, \varphi_b \perp_{\eta} Y_{b,\eta} \}.$$

$$Y_{\perp_{\eta}}^{b,\eta} = \{ \varphi_b \in S_{b,\eta}, Y_{b,\eta} \perp_{\eta} \varphi_b \}$$

We can define the isometry mapping by the following:

Let $(S_{b,\eta}, \| \cdot \|_{b,\eta})$ be a normed space. Then the mapping $\Psi : (S_{b,\eta}, \| \cdot \|_{b,\eta}) \rightarrow (S_{b,\eta}, \| \cdot \|_{b,\eta})$ is named isometry mapping (preserving mapping) if, for $p_{b,1}, p_{b,2} \in S_{b,\eta}$, $\| p_{b,1} - p_{b,2} \|_{b,\eta} = \| \Psi(p_{b,1}) - \Psi(p_{b,2}) \|_{b,\eta}$ and $\Psi(\| p_{b,1} \|_{b,\eta}) = \| p_{b,1} \|_{b,\eta}$.

2. Auxiliary Lemmas

In this section, some lemmas that we need it in our main results have been proven.

Lemma 2.1: Let $Y_{b,\eta}$ be a subset of $S_{b\eta}$ and $\mathcal{G}_0 \in Y_{b,\eta}$. Then m_0 is η -approximation to $p_b \in S_{b\eta}$ if and only if $(\mathcal{G}_0 - p_b) \in Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp_\eta}$.

Proof: Let \mathcal{G}_0 be an, η -approximation to p_b , from (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\Leftrightarrow \|\mathcal{G}_0 - p_b\|_{b,\eta} \leq \|\mathcal{G} - p_b\|_{b,\eta} + \eta \text{ for all } \mathcal{G} \in Y_{b,\eta} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \|\mathcal{G}_0 - p_b\|_{b,\eta} \leq \|(\mathcal{G}_0 + \alpha\mathcal{G}) - p_b\|_{b,\eta} + \eta \text{ for all } \mathcal{G} \in Y_{b,\eta} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \|(\mathcal{G}_0 - p_b) + \alpha\mathcal{G}\|_{b,\eta} + \eta \text{ for all } \mathcal{G} \in Y_{b,\eta} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{G}_0 - p_b) \perp_\eta \mathcal{G} \text{ for all } \mathcal{G} \in Y_{b,\eta} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{G}_0 - p_b) \in Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp_\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2: Let $F_{b,\eta}(p_b)$ be a η -proximal set in space $S_{b,\eta}$. Then $\mathcal{G}_0 \in F_{b,\eta}(p_b)$ if and only if $0 \in F_{b,\eta}(p_b - \mathcal{G}_0)$.

Proof: Let $0 \in F_{b,\eta}(p_b - \mathcal{G}_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Leftrightarrow (p_b - \mathcal{G}_0) - 0 \in Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp_\eta} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (p_b - \mathcal{G}_0) \in Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp_\eta} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{G}_0 \in F_{b,\eta}(p_b). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3: Let $G_{b,\eta}(p_b)$ be η -c-proximal set in space $S_{b,\eta}$ and $\mathcal{G}_0 \in Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp_\eta}$. Then $\mathcal{G}_0 \in Y_{b,\eta}$ is an η -co-approximation to p_b .

Proof: Let $(p_b - \mathcal{G}_0) \in Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp_\eta}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow (p_b - \mathcal{G}_0) \perp_\eta Y_{b,\eta} \\ &\Rightarrow (p_b - \mathcal{G}_0) \perp_\eta \mathcal{G} \\ &\Rightarrow \|\mathcal{G}\|_{b,\eta} \leq \|\mathcal{G} - \alpha(p_b - \mathcal{G}_0)\|_{b,\eta} + \eta \text{ for } \mathcal{G} \in Y_{b,\eta} \text{ and } \alpha \text{ scalar} \\ &\Rightarrow \|\mathcal{G} + \alpha\mathcal{G}_0 - \alpha\mathcal{G}_0\|_{b,\eta} \leq \|\mathcal{G} - \alpha(p_b - \mathcal{G}_0)\|_{b,\eta} + \eta \text{ for } \mathcal{G} \in Y_{b,\eta} \text{ and } \alpha \text{ scalar} \\ &\Rightarrow \|\mathcal{G}_1 - \alpha\mathcal{G}_0\|_{b,\eta} \leq \|\mathcal{G}_1 - \alpha p_b\|_{b,\eta} + \eta \text{ for } \mathcal{G}_1 \in Y_{b,\eta} \text{ and } \alpha \text{ scalar} \\ &\Rightarrow \|\mathcal{G}_1 - \mathcal{G}_0\|_{b,\eta} \leq \|\mathcal{G}_1 - p_b\|_{b,\eta} + \delta \text{ for } \mathcal{G}_1 \in Y_{b,\eta} \\ &\Rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0 \text{ is an } \eta\text{-co-approximation to } p_b. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.4: Let $Y_{b,\eta}$ be subspace of bounded space $S_{b,\delta}$ and $p_b \in S_{b,\eta}$. Then for $\mathcal{G}_0 \in Y_{b,\eta}$, $p_b - \mathcal{G}_0 \perp_\eta Y_{b,\eta}$ if and only if $\alpha\mathcal{G}_0$ is an η -c-approximation to αp_b .

Proof: Let $\alpha\mathcal{G}_0$ is an η -c-approximation to αp_b ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \|\mathcal{G} - \alpha\mathcal{G}_0\|_{b,\eta} \leq \|\mathcal{G} - \alpha p_b\|_{b,\eta} + \eta \text{ for } \mathcal{G} \in Y_{b,\eta} \text{ and } \alpha \text{ scalar} \\ &\Rightarrow \|\mathcal{G} - \alpha\mathcal{G}_0\|_{b,\eta} \leq \|\mathcal{G} - \alpha\mathcal{G}_0 + \alpha\mathcal{G}_0 - \alpha p_b\|_{b,\delta} + \eta \text{ for } \mathcal{G} \in Y_{b,\eta} \text{ and } \alpha \text{ scalar} \\ &\Rightarrow \|\mathcal{G} - \alpha\mathcal{G}_0\|_{b,\eta} \leq \|\mathcal{G} - \alpha\mathcal{G}_0 + \alpha(\mathcal{G}_0 - p_b)\|_{b,\eta} + \eta \text{ for } \mathcal{G} \in Y_{b,\eta} \text{ and } \alpha \text{ scalar} \\ &\Rightarrow \|\mathcal{G} - \alpha\mathcal{G}_0\|_{b,\eta} \leq \|\mathcal{G}_1 - \alpha(p_b - \mathcal{G}_0)\|_{b,\eta} + \eta \text{ for } \mathcal{G} \in Y_{b,\eta} \text{ and } \alpha \text{ scalar} \\ &\Rightarrow p_b - \mathcal{G}_0 \perp_\eta Y_{b,\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely; assume $p_b - \mathcal{G}_0 \perp_\delta Y_{b,\eta}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow p_b - \mathcal{G}_0 \perp_{,\eta} \mathcal{G} \text{ for } \mathcal{G} \in \Upsilon_{b,\eta} \\ &\Rightarrow \|\mathcal{G}\|_{b,\eta} \leq \|\mathcal{G} - \alpha(p_b - \mathcal{G}_0)\|_{b,\eta} + \eta \text{ for } \mathcal{G} \in \Upsilon_{b,\eta} \text{ and } \alpha \text{ scalar} \\ &\Rightarrow \|\mathcal{G}\|_{b,\delta} \leq \|\mathcal{G} + \alpha\mathcal{G}_0 - \alpha p_b\|_{b,\delta} + \delta \text{ for } \mathcal{G} \in \Upsilon_{b,\eta} \text{ and } \alpha \text{ scalar} \\ &\Rightarrow \|\mathcal{G}\|_{b,\eta} \leq \|\mathcal{G}_1 - \alpha p_b\|_{b,\eta} + \delta \text{ for } \mathcal{G} \in \Upsilon_{b,\eta} \text{ and } \alpha \text{ scalar} \\ &\Rightarrow \|\mathcal{G}_1 - \alpha\mathcal{G}_0\|_{b,\eta} \leq \|\mathcal{G}_1 - \alpha p_b\|_{b,\eta} + \eta \text{ for } \mathcal{G} \in \Upsilon_{b,\eta} \text{ and } \alpha \text{ scalar} \\ &\Rightarrow \alpha\mathcal{G}_0 \text{ is an } \eta\text{-c-approximation to } \alpha p_b. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.5: Let p_b belong to the space $S_{b,\eta}$ and $\Upsilon_{b,\eta}$ subspace of $S_{b,\eta}$. Then \mathcal{G}_0 is an η -c-approximation to p_b if and only if 0 is an η -c-approximation to $p_b - \mathcal{G}_0$.

Proof: Let \mathcal{G}_0 be an η -c-approximation to p_b ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Leftrightarrow \|\mathcal{G} - \mathcal{G}_0\|_{b,\eta} \leq \|\mathcal{G} - p_b\|_{b,\eta} + \eta \text{ for } \mathcal{G} \in \Upsilon_{b,\eta} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \|\mathcal{G} - \mathcal{G}_0\|_{b,\eta} \leq \|(\mathcal{G} - \mathcal{G}_0) - (p_b - \mathcal{G}_0)\|_{b,\eta} + \eta \text{ for } \mathcal{G} \in \Upsilon_{b,\eta} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \|\mathcal{G}_1\|_{b,\eta} \leq \|\mathcal{G}_1 - (p_b - \mathcal{G}_0)\|_{b,\eta} + \eta \text{ for } \mathcal{G} \in \Upsilon_{b,\eta} \\ &\Leftrightarrow 0 \text{ is an } \eta\text{-c-approximation to } p_b - \mathcal{G}_0. \end{aligned}$$

3. Main Results

In this section, we introduced some theorems in which the estimation of the best δ -approximation, η -c-approximation and δ -orthogonal in bounded space $S_{b,\eta}$.

Theorem 3.1: Let Ψ be an isometry mapping on normed space $S_{b,\delta}$ and $G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)$ subspace δ -c-proximal of $S_{b,\eta}$. Then the followings are true

- i. $\Psi(G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)) \subseteq G_{b,\eta}(\Psi(\varphi_b))$.
- ii. If φ_b is Ψ -fixed, then $\Psi(G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)) \subseteq G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)$.
- iii. If φ_b is Ψ -fixed and $G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b) = \{\varphi_b\}$, then $\Psi(\varphi_b) = \varphi_b$.
- iv. If φ_b is Ψ -fixed and $\{q_b \in H; \Psi(q_b) = q_b\} \cap G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b) = \emptyset$, then either $G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)$ is empty set or $G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)$ has more than one element.

Proof: (i) Let $\tau_b \in G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b) \Rightarrow \Psi(\tau_b) \in \Psi(G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b))$.

We can choose ϕ_b any function contained in $G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)$ and $\Psi(G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)) = G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)$ implies there is function $\omega_b \in G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)$ such that $\Psi(\omega_b) = \phi_b$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(\tau_b) - \phi_b\|_{b,\eta} &= \|\Psi(\tau_b) - \Psi(\omega_b)\|_{b,\eta} = \|\tau_b - \omega_b\|_{b,\eta} \\ &\leq \|\varphi_b - \phi_b\|_{b,\eta} + \eta \\ &= \|\Psi(\tau_b) - \Psi(\omega_b)\|_{b,\eta} + \eta \\ &= \|\Psi(\tau_b) - \phi_b\|_{b,\eta} + \eta \text{ for all } \phi_b \in G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b) \\ &\Rightarrow \Psi(\tau_b) \in \Psi(G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)) \\ &\Rightarrow \tau_b \text{ is } \eta\text{-co-approximation to } \varphi_b. \end{aligned}$$

ii. Let $\tau_b \in G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)$, form (i) we have

$$\Psi(\tau_b) \in \Psi(G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)) \subseteq G_{b,\eta}(\Psi(\varphi_b)).$$

iii. From (ii), we have $\Psi(G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)) \subseteq G_{b,\eta}(\Psi(\varphi_b))$.

Since, $Q_{b,\delta}(\varphi_b) = \{\varphi_b\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } \varphi_b \in G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b) \Rightarrow \Psi(\varphi_b) \in \Psi(G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)) &\subseteq G_{b,\eta}(\Psi(\varphi_b)) = \{\varphi_b\} \\ &\Rightarrow G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b) = \{\varphi_b\}. \end{aligned}$$

iv. From ii, we have $\Psi(\varphi_b) \in \Psi(G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b))$, φ_b is Ψ -fixed

$$G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b) \cap \{\tau_b \in H; \Psi(\tau_b) = \tau_b\} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \Psi(\tau_b) \neq \tau_b.$$

Thus, if $\Psi(\tau_b) = \bar{\tau}_b$, then τ_b is an η -co-approximation to φ_b and there is no, η -co-approximation to φ_b .

$$\Rightarrow G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b) = \emptyset.$$

If $\Psi(\tau_b) \neq \bar{\tau}_b$, then $\bar{\varphi}_b$ has at least two -co-approximation.

Note: Its simple to note that similar results are true for $G_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)$.

Theorem 3.2: Let $S_{b,\eta}$ be the space of all bounded functions and $\Psi : S_{b,\eta} \rightarrow S_{b,\eta}$ is linear isometry operator. Then, for $\varphi_b, \tau_b \in S_{b,\eta}$

- i. $\varphi_b \perp_\eta \tau_b$ if and only if $\Psi(\varphi_b) \perp_\eta \Psi(\tau_b)$.
- ii. For a subspace $Y_{b,\eta}$ of the space $S_{b,\eta}$,

$$\Psi(Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp_\eta}) = (\Psi(Y_{b,\eta}))_{b,\eta}^{\perp_\eta}.$$

iii. For a subspace $Y_{b,\eta}$ of the space $S_{b,\eta}$, then

$$\Psi(Y_{b,\eta}^{b,\eta}) = (\Psi(Y_{b,\eta}))_{\perp_\eta}^{b,\eta}.$$

Proof: (i) We have for $\varphi_b, \tau_b \in S_{b,\eta}, \bar{\varphi}_b \perp_\eta \tau_b$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Leftrightarrow \|\varphi_b\|_{b,\eta} \leq \|\varphi_b - \alpha\tau_b\|_{b,\eta} + \eta, \text{ for any scalar, } \alpha \\ &\Leftrightarrow \|\Psi(\varphi_b)\|_{b,\eta} \leq \|\Psi(\varphi_b - \alpha\tau_b)\|_{b,\eta} + \eta \\ &= \|\Psi(\varphi_b) - \alpha\Psi(\tau_b)\|_{b,\eta} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \Psi(\varphi_b) \perp_\eta \Psi(\tau_b). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $\tau_b \in Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp_\eta} \ni \tau_b = \Psi(\varphi_b); \varphi_b \in Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp_\eta}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } \varphi_b \in Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp_\eta} &\Rightarrow \varphi_b \perp_\eta Y_{b,\eta} \Rightarrow \Psi(\varphi_b) \perp_\eta \Psi(Y_{b,\eta}) \\ &\Rightarrow \tau_b \perp_\eta \Psi(Y_{b,\eta}) \Rightarrow \tau_b \in (\Psi(Y_{b,\eta}))_{b,\eta}^{\perp_\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp_\eta}) \subseteq (\Psi(Y_{b,\eta}))_{b,\eta}^{\perp_\eta}$.

Conversely, $\tau_b \in (\Psi(Y_{b,\eta}))_{b,\eta}^{\perp_\eta} \Rightarrow \tau_b \perp_\eta \Psi(Y_{b,\eta})$.

Since, Ψ is onto operator $\tau_b = \Psi(\varphi_b)$ for $\varphi_b \in S_{b,\eta}$

$$\Psi(\varphi_b) \perp_\eta \Psi(Y_{b,\eta}) \Rightarrow \Psi(\varphi_b) \perp_\eta \Psi(\tau_b), \text{ for } \tau_b \in Y_{b,\eta}.$$

Thus, $\| \Psi(\varphi_b) \|_{b,\eta} \leq \| \Psi(\varphi_b) - \alpha \Psi(\tau_b) \|_{b,\eta} + \eta$, for any scalar α

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_b \perp_{\eta} \tau_b, \text{ for } \tau_b \in \Upsilon_{b,\eta}, \varphi_b \perp_{\eta} \Upsilon_{b,\eta} \Rightarrow \varphi_b \in \Upsilon_{b,\eta}^{\perp_{\eta}}.$$

Now, $\tau_b = \Psi(\varphi_b) \in \Psi(\Upsilon_{b,\eta}^{\perp_{\eta}})$.

$$\Rightarrow (\Psi(\Upsilon_{b,\eta}^{\perp_{\eta}}))_{b,\eta}^{\perp_{\eta}} \subseteq \Psi(\Upsilon_{b,\eta}^{\perp_{\eta}}).$$

So, $(\Psi(\Upsilon_{b,\eta}))_{b,\eta}^{\perp_{\eta}} = \Psi(\Upsilon_{b,\eta}^{\perp_{\eta}})$.

(iii) Let $\tau_b \in \Psi(\Upsilon_{\perp_{\eta}}^{b,\eta}) \Rightarrow q_b = \Psi(\varphi_b) \in \Psi(\Upsilon_{\perp_{\eta}}^{b,\eta})$.

So, $\varphi_b \in \Upsilon_{\perp_{\eta}}^{b,\eta} \Rightarrow \Upsilon_{b,\eta} \perp_{\eta} \varphi_b \Rightarrow \Psi(\Upsilon_{b,\eta}) \perp_{\eta} \Psi(\varphi_b)$,

$$\Rightarrow \Psi(\Upsilon_{b,\eta}) \perp_{\eta} \tau_b \Rightarrow \tau_b \in (\Psi(\Upsilon_{b,\eta}))_{\perp_{\eta}}^{b,\eta}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Psi(\Upsilon_{\perp_{\eta}}^{b,\eta}) \subseteq (\Psi(\Upsilon_{b,\eta}))_{\perp_{\eta}}^{b,\eta}.$$

Conversely, $\tau_b \in (\Psi(\Upsilon_{b,\eta}))_{\perp_{\eta}}^{b,\eta} \Rightarrow \Psi(\Upsilon_{b,\eta}) \perp_{\delta} \tau_b$, we have Ψ is onto, $\tau_b = \Psi(\varphi_b)$; $\varphi_b \in \mathcal{S}_{b,\eta}$

and $\Psi(\Upsilon_{b,\eta}) \perp_{\eta} \Psi(\varphi_b)$

$$\Rightarrow \Psi(\tau_b) \perp_{\delta} \Psi(\varphi_b), \tau_b \in \Upsilon_{b,\eta}$$

$$\Rightarrow \| \Psi(\tau_b) \|_{b,\delta} \leq \| \Psi(\tau_b) - \alpha \Psi(\varphi_b) \|_{b,\eta} + \eta, \text{ for any } \alpha \text{ and } \tau_b \in \Upsilon_{b,\eta}$$

$$\Rightarrow \| \tau_b \|_{b,\delta} \leq \| \tau_b - \alpha \varphi_b \|_{b,\eta} + \delta, \text{ for any } \alpha \text{ and } \tau_b \in \Upsilon_{b,\eta}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Upsilon_{b,\eta} \perp_{\delta} \varphi_b \Rightarrow \varphi_b \in \Upsilon_{\perp_{\eta}}^{b,\eta}, \text{ we have } \tau_b = \Psi(\varphi_b) \in \Psi(\Upsilon_{\perp_{\eta}}^{b,\eta})$$

$$\Rightarrow (\Psi(\Upsilon_{b,\eta}))_{\perp_{\eta}}^{b,\eta} \subseteq \Psi(\Upsilon_{\perp_{\eta}}^{b,\eta})$$

We obtain, $(\Psi(\Upsilon_{b,\eta}))_{\perp_{\eta}}^{b,\eta} = \Psi(\Upsilon_{\perp_{\eta}}^{b,\eta})$.

Theorem 3.3: Let $\Upsilon_{b,\eta}$ be a subspace of the space $\mathcal{S}_{b,\eta}$ and $\eta > 0$. Then

- i. $\Upsilon_{b,\eta}$ is η -aproximal if and only if $\mathcal{S}_{b,\delta} = \Upsilon_{b,\eta} + \Upsilon_{b,\eta}^{\perp_{\eta}}$.
- ii. $\Upsilon_{b,\eta}$ is η -Chebyshev if and only if $\mathcal{S}_{b,\delta} = \Upsilon_{b,\eta} \oplus \Upsilon_{b,\eta}^{\perp_{\eta}}$.
- iii. $\Upsilon_{b,\eta}$ is η -semi Chebyshev if and only if for $p_b \in \mathcal{S}_{b,\eta}$ has at most one sum decomposition $\Upsilon_{b,\eta} + \Upsilon_{b,\eta}^{\perp_{\eta}}$.

Proof: (i) Let $\Upsilon_{b,\eta}$ be an η -aproximal set and $\varphi_b \in \mathcal{S}_{b,\eta}$. We have $F_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b) \neq \emptyset$ implies, there is function $\tau_b \in F_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)$ such that $\varphi_b - \tau_b \in \Upsilon_{b,\eta}^{\perp_{\delta}}$ & $\varphi_b = \tau_b + (\varphi_b - \tau_b) \in \Upsilon_{b,\eta} + \Upsilon_{b,\eta}^{\perp_{\eta}}$, implies, $\mathcal{S}_{b,\eta} \subseteq \Upsilon_{b,\eta} + \Upsilon_{b,\eta}^{\perp_{\delta}}$, we have always $\Upsilon_{b,\eta} + \Upsilon_{b,\eta}^{\perp_{\eta}} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{b,\eta}$.

So, $\mathcal{S}_{b,\eta} = \Upsilon_{b,\eta} + \Upsilon_{b,\eta}^{\perp_{\eta}}$.

Conversely, let $S_{b,\eta} = Y_{b,\eta} + Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp\eta}$, $p_b \in S_{b,\eta}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \varphi_b \in Y_{b,\eta} + Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp\eta} \\ &\Rightarrow \varphi_b = \tau_b + (\varphi_b - \tau_b) \\ &\Rightarrow \varphi_b - \tau_b \in Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp\eta} \ \& \ \tau_b \in F_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b) \\ &\Rightarrow Y_{b,\eta} \text{ is } \eta\text{-aproximal.} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $Y_{b,\eta}$ be an \perp_η -Chebyshev in $S_{b,\eta}$. Then $Y_{b,\eta}$ is η -aproximal of $S_{b,\eta}$, from (i) we have $S_{b,\eta} = Y_{b,\eta} + Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp\eta}$.

Let $\varphi_b \in S_{b,\eta} \ni \varphi_b = \phi_b + u_b = \omega_b + v_b$;

$$\begin{aligned} &u_b, v_b \in Y_{b,\eta} \ \& \ \phi_b, \omega_b \in Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp\eta} \\ &\Rightarrow \phi_b - \omega_b = u_b - v_b \in Y_{b,\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

Since, $r_b \in Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp\eta} \Rightarrow r_b - 0 \in Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp\eta} \Rightarrow 0 \in F_{b,\eta}(\phi_b)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow u_b \in F_{b,\eta}(\phi_b + u_b) \\ &\Rightarrow u_b \in F_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we prove that $v_b \in F_{b,\eta}(\varphi_b)$, since $Y_{b,\eta}$ is an η -Chebyshev,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow u_b = v_b \\ &\Rightarrow \phi_b - \omega_b \\ &\Rightarrow \varphi_b \in S_{b,\eta}, \text{ has a unique representation} \\ &\Rightarrow S_{b,\eta} = Y_{b,\eta} \oplus Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let $S_{b,\eta} = Y_{b,\eta} \oplus Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp\eta}$, we need to prove that $Y_{b,\eta}$ is an η -Chebyshev.

From (i), $S_{b,\eta} = Y_{b,\eta} + Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp\eta} \Leftrightarrow Y_{b,\eta}$ is an η -proximal.

Let $\varphi_b \in S_{b,\eta}$ has two different η -approximation in $Y_{b,\eta}$ as u_b, v_b

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \varphi_b - u_b = \varphi_b - v_b \in Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp\eta} \\ &\Rightarrow p_b = u_b + p_b - v_b, \text{ this is contradiction to our assumption.} \\ &\Rightarrow \varphi_b \text{ has a unique representation} \\ &\Rightarrow S_{b,\eta} = Y_{b,\eta} \oplus Y_{b,\eta}^{\perp\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) The proof of this case, immediately from (i) & (ii).

4. Conclusion

These concepts η -approximation, η -co-approximation and η -orthogonal of bounded functions in the space $S_{b,\eta}$ have been estimated and isometry operator preserving of this concepts it is also easy to extend our main results to weighed space containing unbounded functions.

References

- [1] K. Roshed, 1988, *Best approximation in metric space, proceeding of American Mathematical society*, 103(2), 579–586.
- [2] G. Cybenko, 1989, *Approximation by superpositions of a sigmoidal function*, Math. Control signals and system, 2, 303–314.
- [3] S. Rezapour, 2007, *Best approximation in cone metric spaces*, Mathematica Moravica, 11, 85–88.
- [4] V. Konovalov, D. Leviatan and V. Maiorov, *Approximation by polynomials and ridge functions of classes of s -monotone radial functions*, Journal of approximation theory, 152, 20–51.
- [5] H. Gumus and C. Yildiz, 2012, *Some results on t -best approximation in fuzzy n -normed spaces*, Iranian journal of fuzzy systemes, 9(2), 137–146.
- [6] Naser and M. Hamid, 2015, *On best approximation in fuzzy metric spaces*, kybernetika, 5(2), 374–386.
- [7] T. Nararge and S. Gupta, 2015, *Proximality and co-proximality in metric space*, Journal of applied Mathematics and informatics, vol. LXIX(1), 83–90.
- [8] Alaa A. Auad and Alaa M. F. AL. Jumaili, 2017, *On Co-Positive Approximation of Unbounded Functions in Weighted Spaces*, International Journal of Applied Engineering Research ISSN 0973-4562 12(21), 11392–11396.
- [9] Auad A. and Abduljabbar R., 2018, *On direct theorems for best polynomial approximation*, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, Volume 1003, Issue 1, article id. 012054 .
- [10] Auad A. and Abdulsttar H., 2019, *Best simultaneous approximation in weighted space*, IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 1234 (2019) 012111.
- [11] Alaa A. and Mohammed F., 2021, *The direct and converse theorems for best approximation of algebraic polynomial in $L_{(p, \alpha)}(X)$* , Journal of Physics: Conference Series 1879 (2021) 032010.