



## A new structure of spaces using the framework of generalized $\Delta$ -operator method

Abdul Hamid Ganie<sup>1</sup>, Aesha Mohammad Najem<sup>2</sup>, Dowlath Fathima<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup>Department of Basic Science, College of Science and Theoretical Studies, Saudi Electronic University, Zip Code 11673, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

---

The focus of the study in this paper is to introduce the space  $\mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$ . The corresponding completeness property will be determined. Also, various topological properties will be enlightened.

*Key words and phrases:* Beppo–Levi’s space; Paranormed spaces; Lacunary sequences

*Mathematics Subject Classification (2020):* 46B45; 46A45; 46B99.

---

### 1. Introduction and Background

A sequence space is a function space whose entries are functions from non-negative integers numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  to the field  $K$  of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  or of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . An interesting topological structures of Banach spaces is visible through Opial property, Fatou property along with their generalization. It is the Opial structure which plays a vital role in Banach spaces as such spaces with this property attain the weak fixed point property. Recently, the author in [15],  $\ell_p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) attains this structure but the set  $L_p[0, 2\pi]$ , ( $p \neq 2, 1 < p < \infty$ ) does not. Also, the author in [8] proved that any infinite dimensional Banach space has an equivalent norm satisfying the Opial property. Further, in [19], the author has considered the uniform Opial property for Banach spaces in detail. Later, it was studied by various authors as can be seen in [1, 2, 13, 17], and many others.

Let  $\mathcal{G} = (\kappa_r)$  be a sequence of natural numbers with  $\kappa_0 = 0$ ,  $0 < \kappa_r < \kappa_{r+1}$  and  $h_r = \kappa_r - \kappa_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is called a lacunary sequence. The intervals computed by  $\mathcal{G}$  are abbreviated by  $\mathfrak{J}_r = (\kappa_{r-1}, \kappa_r]$  and the quotient  $\frac{\kappa_r}{\kappa_{r-1}}$  will be symbolized by  $q_r$ .

---

*Email addresses:* a.ganie@seu.edu.sa (Abdul Hamid Ganie); a.najem@seu.edu.sa (Aesha Mohammad Najem); d.fathima@seu.edu.sa (Dowlath Fathima)

Later, it was studied by different authors as can be seen in [4], [9] and many others for different domains. In [10], [11], the author examined geometric structures connecting lacunary sequences with Cesàro space by equipping Luxemburg norm.

In [12], the spaces  $\mathfrak{T}(\Delta)$  were studied and is defined as follows:

$$\mathfrak{T}(\Delta) = \{v = (v_i) \in \Lambda : (\Delta v_i) \in \mathfrak{T}\}$$

where  $\mathfrak{T} \in \{\ell_\infty, c, \mathfrak{C}_0\}$  and  $\Delta v_i = v_i - v_{i-1}$ .

Next for integer  $w \geq 0$ , the author in [5] had studied the following space:

$$\Delta^w(\mathfrak{T}) = \{v = (v_k) : (\Delta^w v) \in \mathfrak{T}\}, \text{ for } \mathfrak{T} = \ell_\infty, c \text{ and } \mathfrak{C}_0,$$

where  $\Delta^w v_i = \Delta^{w-1} v_i - \Delta^{w-1} v_{i+1}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also, let  $g = (g_j)$  be any fixed sequence of non-zero complex numbers, then as in [6], we have

$$\Delta_g^w(\mathfrak{T}) = \{v = (v_j) \in \Lambda : (\Delta_g^w v_j) \in \mathfrak{T}\},$$

where

$$\Delta_g^w v_j = \Delta_g^{w-1} v_j - \Delta_g^{w-1} v_{j+1} = \sum_{\mu=0}^w (-1)^\mu \binom{w}{\mu} g_{j+\mu} v_{j+\mu} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is shown that the space  $\Delta_g^s(\mathfrak{T})$  is Banach under the norm

$$\|v\|_\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^w |g_i v_i| + \|g v\|_\infty.$$

Many interesting structures towards this space can be searched in [4, 7, 14, 17, 23] and many others.

As in [20], by  $\varepsilon$ -separated sequence with  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we mean a sequence  $\{\zeta_j\} \subset \Lambda$  such that

$$\text{sep}\{\zeta_j\} = \inf \{\|\zeta_i - \zeta_j\| : i \neq j\} > \varepsilon.$$

By  $\Omega$  we denote the space of all real sequences  $v = (v(j))_{j=0}^\infty$  and  $(\mathfrak{E}, \|\cdot\|)$  represent subspace of  $\Omega$  and a Banach space. For a unit sphere  $S(\mathfrak{E})$  and closed unit ball  $B(\mathfrak{E})$ , we call a sequence  $(v_n) \subset \mathfrak{E}$  is said to be  $\varepsilon$ -separated sequence for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , if separation of sequence  $(v_n)$  denoted by  $\text{sep}(v_n) = \inf \{\|v_n - v_m\| : n \neq m\} > \varepsilon$  [20].

In [15], the Opial property has been studied and was further studied in [19] and a powerful tool in deriving weak or strong convergence of iterative sequences is due to Opial. We call a Banach space  $\mathfrak{E}$  to attain the Opial property, if for every weakly null sequence  $(v_n) \subset \mathfrak{E}$  and every non-zero  $v \in \mathfrak{E}$ , we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\| < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n + v\|.$$

As in [3], a Banach sequence lattice  $\mathfrak{E}$  attains Fatou property, if for any  $y \in \Omega$  and sequence  $(y_n) \subset \mathfrak{E}_+$  with

$$\mathfrak{E}_+ = \{y \in \mathfrak{E} : y \geq 0\}$$

satisfying  $0 \leq y_n(j) \nearrow y(j)$ , that is,  $y_n(j)$  increases to  $y(j)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sup_n \|y_n\| < \infty$ , then,  $y \in \mathfrak{E}$ , and  $\|y\|_\mathfrak{E} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\|_\mathfrak{E}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{E}$  be a real vector space, then we call  $\tau : \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  a modular if it satisfies:

$$\psi(u) = 0 \text{ if and only if } u = 0.$$

$$\tau(\kappa u) = \tau(u) \text{ for all } \kappa \in \mathcal{F} \text{ with } |\kappa| = 1.$$

$$\tau(\kappa u + \lambda v) \leq \tau(u) + \tau(v) \text{ for all } u, v \in \mathfrak{E} \text{ and } \kappa, \lambda \geq 0 \text{ with } \kappa + \lambda = 1.$$

Moreover, the modular  $\tau$  is said to be convex if

$$\tau(\kappa u + \lambda v) \leq \kappa \tau(u) + \lambda \tau(v)$$

for all  $u, v \in \mathfrak{E}$  and  $\kappa, \lambda \geq 0$  with  $\kappa + \lambda = 1$ .

For any modular  $\tau$  on  $\mathfrak{E}$ , the space

$$\mathfrak{E}_p = \{u \in \mathfrak{E} : \tau(\kappa u) < \infty, \text{ for some } \kappa > 0\}$$

is called the modular space.

We say a modular  $\tau$  satisfies  $\delta_2$ -condition ( $\tau \in \delta_2$ ) if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists constants  $A \geq 2$  and  $B > 0$  such that

$$\tau(2v) \leq A\tau(v) + \varepsilon$$

for all  $v \in \mathfrak{E}_p$  with  $\tau(v) \leq B$ .

Also, we call  $\tau$  to satisfy strong  $\delta_2$ -condition ( $\tau \in \delta_2^s$ ) if  $\tau$  satisfies  $\delta_2$ -condition for all  $B > 0$  with  $A \geq 2$  dependent on  $A$ .

Throughout the text, we use the following notions:

$v\#_r = (v(1), v(2), \dots, v(r), 0, 0, \dots)$  – called a truncation of  $v$  at  $r$ ,

$v\#_{\mathbb{N}-r} = (0, 0, \dots, 0, v(r+1), v(r+2), \dots)$  – called a truncation of  $v$  at  $r$ ,

$v\#_I = \{v = (v(r))_{r=1}^\infty : v(r) \neq 0 \text{ for all } r \subseteq \mathbb{N} \text{ and } v(r) = 0 \text{ for all } r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I\}$ ,

$\text{supp } v = \{r \in \mathbb{N} : v(r) \neq 0\}$

and  $cl\mathfrak{E}$  represents closure of a set  $\mathfrak{E}$ .

Also, by  $p = (p_j)$  we represent the bounded sequence with  $p_j > 1$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## 2. The space $\mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$

In this section, we introduce the space  $\mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$  and show that it attains uniform Opial property, paranormed structure and some other structures as well.

Following authors as in [1, 22–23], we define the space  $\mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w) = \left\{ v \in \Omega : \tau_{\Delta_g^w}(\gamma v) < \infty \text{ for some } \gamma > 0 \right\},$$

where  $p = (p_i)$  is a sequence of positive real numbers with  $p_i \geq 1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $g = (g_i)$  is a sequence such that  $g_i \neq 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s > 0$  equipped with Luxemburg norm

$$\|v\| = \inf \left\{ \gamma > 0 : \tau_{\Delta_g^w} \left( \frac{v}{\gamma} \right) \leq 1 \right\},$$

where

$$\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(v) = \sum_{r=1}^w |g(r)v(r)| + \sum_{i=1}^\infty \left( \frac{1}{h_i} \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{J}_i} k^{-s} |\Delta_g^w v(k)| \right)^{p_i}.$$

**Theorem 2.1** The functional  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}$  on  $\mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$  is convex modular.

Proof. Since  $g_i \neq 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we see

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\Delta_g^w} = 0 &\Leftrightarrow \sum_{r=0}^w |g(r)u(r)| + \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left( \frac{1}{h_k} \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{J}_k} j^{-s} |\Delta_g^w u(j)| \right)^{p_k} = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sum_{r=0}^w |g(r)u(r)| = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left( \frac{1}{h_k} \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{J}_k} j^{-s} |\Delta_g^w u(j)| \right)^{p_k} = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow u(r) = 0 \text{ for } r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, w \ \& \ \Delta_g^w u(j) = 0 \ \forall j \in \mathfrak{J}_k, k \in \mathbb{N} \\ &\Leftrightarrow u = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is trivial that  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(\beta u) = \tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u)$  for all scalars  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| = 1$ .

Using linearity of  $\Delta_g^w$  and convexity of map  $\tau \rightarrow |\tau|^{p_i}$ , and for  $u, v \in \mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$  with  $a \geq 0, b \geq 0$  and  $a + b = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\Delta_g^w}(au + bv) &= \sum_{j=0}^w |g(j)(au(j) + bv(j))| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{h_k} \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{J}_k} |a\Delta_g^w u(j) + b\Delta_g^w v(j)| \right)^{p_k} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^w (|ag(j)u(j)| + |bg(j)v(j)|) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{h_k} \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{J}_k} |a\Delta_g^w u(j)| + |b\Delta_g^w v(j)| \right)^{p_k} \\ &\leq a \left[ \sum_{j=0}^w |g(j)u(j)| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{h_k} \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{J}_k} |\Delta_g^w u(j)| \right)^{p_k} \right] + b \left[ \sum_{j=0}^w |g(j)v(j)| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{h_k} \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{J}_k} |\Delta_g^w v(j)| \right)^{p_k} \right] \\ &= a\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u) + b\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(v). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}$  is a convex modular on  $\mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$ .  $\diamond$

We state the following important results Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 with out proof as are direct consequences of Theorem 2.1:

**Theorem 2.2** For  $u \in \mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$ , the modular  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}$  on  $\mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$  satisfies the following properties:

- (i) if  $0 < \beta < 1$ , then  $\beta^w \tau_{\Delta_g^w} \left( \frac{u}{\beta} \right) \leq \tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u)$  and  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(\beta u) \leq \beta \tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u)$ .
- (ii) if  $\beta > 1$ , then  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u) \leq \beta^w \tau_{\Delta_g^w} \left( \frac{u}{\beta} \right)$ .
- (iii) if  $\beta \geq 1$ , then  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u) \leq \beta \tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u) \leq \tau_{\Delta_g^w}(\beta u)$ .
- (iv) if  $\|u\| < 1$ , then  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u) \leq \|u\|$ .
- (v) if  $\|u\| > 1$ , then  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u) \geq \|u\|$ .
- (vi) if  $\|u\| = 1$ , then  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u) = 1$ .

**Theorem 2.3** For any  $u, v \in \mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$ , if  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w} \in \Delta_2^s$ , then for any  $L > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\left| \tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u + v) - \tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u) \right| < \varepsilon,$$

with  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u) \leq L$  and  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(v) \leq \delta$ .

**Theorem 2.4**

- (i) If  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w} \in \Delta_2^s$ , then for any  $u \in \mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$ ,  $\|u\| = 1$  if and only if  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w} = 0$ .
- (ii) If  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w} \in \Delta_2^s$ , then for any  $(u_n) \in \mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$ ,  $\|u_n\| \rightarrow 0$  if and only if  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w} \rightarrow 0$ .
- (iii) If  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w} \in \Delta_2^s$ , then for any  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $\|u\| \geq 1 + \delta$  whenever  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w} \geq 1 + \varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 2.5** For  $\mathfrak{M} = \max(1, \mathfrak{H} = \sup_i p_i)$ , the space  $\mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$  is complete paranormed space (not necessarily total paranormed) with

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\Delta_g^w}(u) = \sum_{j=0}^w |g(j)u(j)| + \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{h_i} \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{J}_i} k^{-s} \left| \tau_{\Delta_g^w} u(k) \right| \right)^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}}}.$$

*Proof.* Using classical techniques, it can be proved and hence is omitted.

**Theorem 2.6** The space  $\mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$  attains Fatou property.

For  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we suppose that  $u_j \in \mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$  and let  $\mathcal{H} = \sup_j \|u_j\| < \infty$  and  $0 \leq u_j(i) \nearrow u(i)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $\mathfrak{B} = \sup_n \|u_n\|$ , and since  $\|u_n\| \leq \mathfrak{B} < \infty$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that  $0 \leq \frac{u_n}{\mathfrak{B}} \leq \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}$ . Thus,  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w} \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|} \leq 1$  and since  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}$  is monotone, we get

$$\tau_{\Delta_g^w} \left( \frac{u_n}{\mathfrak{B}} \right) \leq \tau_{\Delta_g^w} \left( \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|} \right) \leq 1.$$

Employing the Beppo Levi theorem (see, [18]) and the fact that  $\mathfrak{B}^{-1}u_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}^{-1}u$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that

$$\tau_{\Delta_g^w} \left( \frac{u}{\mathfrak{B}} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{\Delta_g^w} \left( \frac{u_n}{\mathfrak{B}} \right) = \sup_n \tau_{\Delta_g^w} \left( \frac{u_n}{\mathfrak{B}} \right) \leq 1.$$

This shows that  $\|u\| \leq \mathfrak{B}$  and  $(\|u_n\|)$  is non-decreasing, so we have  $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \mathfrak{B} = \sup_n \|u_n\|$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now, we have by using norm definition that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\| &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \tau_{\Delta_g^w} \left( \frac{u_n}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \sum_{j=0}^w \left| \frac{u_n(j)}{\lambda} \right| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{h_k} \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{J}_k} k^{-s} \left| \frac{\Delta_g^w u_n(j)}{\lambda} \right| \right)^{p_k} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \sum_{j=0}^w \left| \frac{u(j)}{\lambda} \right| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{h_k} \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{J}_k} k^{-s} \left| \frac{\Delta_g^w u(j)}{\lambda} \right| \right)^{p_k} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \tau_{\Delta_g^w} \left( \frac{u}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\} = \|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we see that  $\sup_n \|u_n\| \leq \|u\|$ . Hence, we conclude that  $\|u\| = \sup_n \|u_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|$ .

**Theorem 2.7** If  $\limsup_r p_r < \infty$ , then the space  $\mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$  has uniform Opial property.

*proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be any arbitrary number and  $u \in \mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$  with  $\|u\| \geq \varepsilon$ . Let  $(u_n)$  be any weakly null sequence in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w))$ .

Since,  $\limsup_r p_r < \infty$ , that is,  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w} \in \delta_2^s$ , by Theorem 2.4(ii), for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that for each  $u \in \mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$  we have  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u) \geq \delta$ .

Again, since  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w} \in \delta_2^s$ , by Theorem 2.3 for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta)$  such that

$$\left| \tau_{\Delta_g^w}(x+y) - \tau_{\Delta_g^w}(x) \right| < \frac{\delta}{4}, \tag{1}$$

whenever  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(x) \leq 1$  and  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(y) \leq \delta$  and  $u, w \in \mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$ .

Since  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u) < \infty$ , so there exists a natural number  $\mathfrak{J}_0$  such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^w |g(j)u(j)| + \sum_{i=\mathfrak{J}_0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{h_i} \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{J}_i} k^{-s} |\Delta_g^w u(k)| \right)^{p_i} \leq \frac{\delta_1}{4}. \tag{2}$$

From (2), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &\leq \sum_{j=0}^w |g(j)u(j)| + \sum_{i=0}^{\mathfrak{J}_0} \left( \frac{1}{h_i} \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{J}_i} k^{-s} |\Delta_g^w u(k)| \right)^{p_i} + \sum_{i=\mathfrak{J}_0+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{h_i} \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{J}_i} k^{-s} |\Delta_g^w u(k)| \right)^{p_i} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\mathfrak{J}_0} \left( \frac{1}{h_i} \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{J}_i} k^{-s} |\Delta_g^w u(k)| \right)^{p_i} + \frac{\delta_1}{4} \end{aligned}$$

giving

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\mathfrak{J}_0} \left( \frac{1}{h_i} \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{J}_i} k^{-s} |\Delta_g^w u(k)| \right)^{p_i} \geq \delta - \frac{\delta_1}{4} > \delta - \frac{\delta}{4} = \frac{3\delta}{4}. \tag{3}$$

By the linearity of the operator  $\Delta_g^w$  and weak convergence implies coordinatewise convergence, that is,  $u_n \rightarrow 0$  weakly implies  $u_n(i) \rightarrow 0$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , so there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$ , we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\mathfrak{J}_0} \left( \frac{1}{h_i} \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{J}_i} k^{-s} |\Delta_g^w u_n(k) + \Delta_g^w u(k)| \right)^{p_i} > \frac{3\delta}{4}. \tag{4}$$

Again, using the fact that  $u_n^w \rightarrow 0$ , we can choose  $\mathfrak{J}_0$  such that

$$\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u|_{\mathfrak{J}_0}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

So, there exists a  $n_1 > n_0$  such that

$$\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u|_{\mathfrak{J}_0}) \leq \delta_1 \text{ for all } n \geq n_1.$$

Because  $(u_n) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w))$ , that is,  $\|u\| = 1$ , so by Theorem 2.3(i), we have  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u|_{\mathfrak{J}_0}) = 1$ . This implies that there exists  $\mathfrak{J}_0$  such that

$$\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u|_{\mathbb{N}-\mathfrak{J}_0}) \leq 1.$$

Now choose  $\mathfrak{v} = u_n|_{\mathbb{N}-\mathfrak{J}_0}$  and  $\mathfrak{w} = u_n|_{\mathfrak{J}_0}$ . Then,  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(\mathfrak{v}) \leq 1$  and  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(\mathfrak{w}) \leq \delta_1$  for  $\mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{w} \in \mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$ . So from equation (1), for all  $n \geq n_1$ , we have

$$\left| \tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u_n|_{\mathbb{N}-\mathfrak{J}_0} + u_n|_{\mathfrak{J}_0}) - \tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u_n|_{\mathbb{N}-\mathfrak{J}_0}) \right| < \frac{\delta}{4},$$

which implies that

$$\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u_n) - \frac{\delta}{4} < \tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u_n|_{\mathbb{N}-\mathfrak{J}_0}) \text{ for all } n \geq n_1.$$

That is,

$$\sum_{i=0}^w |u_n(i)| + \sum_{i=\mathfrak{J}_0+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{h_i} \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{J}_i} k^{-s} |\Delta_g^w u_n(k)| \right)^{p_i} > 1 - \frac{\delta}{4} \text{ for all } n \geq n_1. \quad (5)$$

Again, since  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u_n|_{\mathbb{N}-\mathfrak{J}_0}) \leq 1$  and  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u_n|_{\mathbb{N}-\mathfrak{J}_0}) \leq \frac{\delta_1}{4} < \delta_1$ , so from (1), we have

$$\left| \tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u_n|_{\mathbb{N}-\mathfrak{J}_0} + u|_{\mathbb{N}-\mathfrak{J}_0}) - \tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u_n|_{\mathbb{N}-\mathfrak{J}_0}) \right| < \frac{\delta}{4}$$

which shows that

$$\left| \tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u_n|_{\mathbb{N}-\mathfrak{J}_0} + u|_{\mathbb{N}-\mathfrak{J}_0}) > \tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u_n|_{\mathbb{N}-\mathfrak{J}_0}) \right| - \frac{\delta}{4}. \quad (6)$$

Now, from equations (4–6) and the linearity property of the operator  $\Delta_g^w$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u_n + u) &= \sum_{j=0}^w |u_n(j) + u(j)| + \sum_{i=0}^{\mathfrak{J}_0} \left( \frac{1}{h_i} \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{J}_i} k^{-s} |\Delta_g^w u_n(k) + \Delta_g^w u(k)| \right)^{p_i} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=\mathfrak{J}_0+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{h_i} \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{J}_i} k^{-s} |\Delta_g^w u_n(k) + \Delta_g^w u(k)| \right)^{p_i} \\ &> \sum_{i=0}^{\mathfrak{J}_0} \left( \frac{1}{h_i} \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{J}_i} k^{-s} |\Delta_g^w u_n(k) + \Delta_g^w u(k)| \right)^{p_i} + \tau_{\Delta_g^w}(u_n|_{\mathbb{N}-\mathfrak{J}_0}) - \frac{\delta}{4} \\ &> \frac{3\delta}{4} + \left( 1 - \frac{\delta}{4} \right) - \frac{\delta}{4} = 1 + \frac{\delta}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since,  $\tau_{\Delta_g^w} \in \delta_2^s$ , so by Lemma 2.3(ii), there is a  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\|u_n - u\| \geq 1 + \lambda$ , hence for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  that

$$\liminf \|u_n + u\| \geq 1 + \lambda.$$

Choosing different values of  $m, s, g$ , we have following deductions:

**Deduction 2.8** Choosing  $s = 0$  and  $g = 1$ , the space  $\mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$  then reduced to what has been introduced in [11].

**Deduction 2.9** Choosing  $s = 0, w = 0, g = 1$  and  $\mathfrak{S} = (2^r)$ , the space  $\mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$  then reduced to what has been introduced in [22].

**Deduction 2.10** Choosing  $w = 0$  and  $g = 0$ , the space  $\mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$  then reduced to what has been introduced in [16].

**Deduction 2.11** Choosing  $s = 0, w = 1, g = 0, p_r = p$  and  $\mathfrak{S} = (2^r)$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , the space  $\mathcal{L}_s^g(p, \Delta_g^w)$  then reduced to what has been introduced in [21].

## Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the reviewers for their meticulous reading and suggestions which improved the presentation of the paper.

## Funding

The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at Saudi Electronic University for funding this research (8367).

## References

- [1] S. Banach and S. Saks, Sur la convergence forte dans les champs  $L^p$ , *Studia Math.* 2 (1930), 51–57.
- [2] J.A. Clarkson, Uniformly convex spaces, *Trans Amer Math Soc.* 40 (1936), 396–414.
- [3] Y. Cui, H. Hudzik H N. Petrot , S. Suantai and A. Szymaszkiewicz, Basic topological and geometric properties of Cesàro-orlicz spaces, *Proc Indian Acad Sci. (Math Sci.)*, 115(4) (2005), 461–476.
- [4] M. Et, Generalized Cesàro difference sequence spaces of non-absolute type involving lacunary sequences. *Appl Math Comput.* 219(17) (2013), 9372–9376.
- [5] M. Et and R. Çolak, On some generalized difference sequence spaces, *Soochow J. Math.* 21 (1995), 377–386.
- [6] M. Et and A. Esi, On Köthe Toeplitz duals of generalized difference sequence spaces, *Bull. Malaysian Math. Sc. Soc.* (2)23 (2000), 25–32.
- [7] D. Fathima and A. H. Ganie, On some new scenario of  $\Delta$ -spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 14 (2021), 163–167.
- [8] C. Franchetti, Duality mapping and homeomorphisms in banach theory, in proceedings of researchworkshop on banach spaces theory. University of Iowa. 1981.
- [9] A. R. Freedman, J. J. Sember and M. Raphael Some cesaro-type summability spaces. *Proc Lond Math Soc.* 37(3) (1978), 508–520.
- [10] V. Karakaya, Some geometric properties of sequence spaces involving lacunary sequence. *J Inequal Appl.* Article ID 081028 (2007), 1–8.
- [11] M. Karakas, M. Et, and V. Karakaya, Some geometric properties of a new difference sequence space involving lacunary sequences. *Acta Math Sci Ser. B Engl Ed.* 33(6) (2013), 1711–1720.
- [12] H. Kizmaz, On certain sequence spaces. *Canad Math Bull.* 24(1) (1981), 169–176.
- [13] M. Mursaleen, R. Cólak and M. Et, some geometric Inequalities in a new banach sequence space. *J Inequal Appl.* Article ID 86757 (2007), 1–6.
- [14] M. Mursaleen, A. H. Ganie, N. A. Sheikh, New type of generalized difference sequence space of non-absolute type and some matrix transformations, *Filomat.* 28 (2014), 1381–1392.
- [15] Z. Opial, Weak convergence of the sequence of the successive approximations for non expansive mappings. *Bull AmerMath Soc.* 73 (1967) 591–597.
- [16] M. Ozturk and M. Basarir, On k-NUC property in some sequence spaces involving lacunary sequence. *Thai J. Math.* 5(1) (2007), 127–136.
- [17] N. Petrot and S. Suantai, Some geometric properties in orlicz-cesàro spaces. *Sci Asia.* 31 (2005), 173–177.
- [18] B. Pierre, Probability theory and stochastic processes, Springer Nature Switzerlang, April, 2020.
- [19] S. Prus, Banach spaces with uniform opial property. *Nonlinear Anal Theory Appl.* 18(8) (1992), 697–704.
- [20] S. Rolewicz, On-uniform convexity and drop property. *Studia Math.* 87(2) (1987), 181–191.
- [21] J. S. Shiue, Cesàro sequence spaces. *Tamkang J. Math.* 1 (1970), 143–150.
- [22] S. Suantai, On some convexity properties of generalized cesaro sequence space. *Georgian Math J.* 10 (2003), 193–200.
- [23] B. C. Tripathy, A. Esi, A new type of difference sequence spaces, *Int. J. Sci. Technol.* 1 (2006), 11–14.